

# A NEW CLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS WITH TWO FIXED POINTS

S. R. KULKARNI AND U. H. NAJK

Department of Mathematics, Willingdon College, Sangli (Maharashtra)

(Received 19 April 1995; accepted 17 January 1996)

This paper deals with functions of the form  $f(z) = a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  ( $a_n \geq 0$ ). We examine the subclass for which  $(1-\mu)f(z_0)/z_0 + \mu f'(z_0) = 1$  ( $-1 < z_0 < 1$ ) with reference to fractional derivatives. We have obtained coefficient bounds, distortion theorem and a few characterisation theorems.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0)$$

which are univalent in the unit disk  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

Silverman<sup>1</sup> studied the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = a_1 z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0) \quad \dots (1.1)$$

where, either

$$f(z_0) = z_0 \quad (-1 < z_0 < 1; z_0 \neq 0)$$

or

$$f'(z_0) = 1 \quad (-1 < z_0 < 1).$$

Further Uralegaddi and Somanatha<sup>3</sup> generalized this class by considering the functions of the form defined by (1.1) with

$$(1 - \mu) f(z_0)/z_0 + \mu f'(z_0) = 1 \quad \dots (1.2)$$

where

$$-1 < z_0 < 1, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1.$$

*Definition 1* — A function  $f(z)$  is said to be convex of order  $\alpha$ , if

$$\operatorname{Re}\{1 + z f''(z)/f'(z)\} > \alpha \quad (z \in D : 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \quad \dots (1.3)$$

*Definition 2* — The fractional integral of order  $\delta$  is defined, for a function  $f(z)$ , by

$$D_z^{(-\delta)} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\theta)}{(z - \theta)^{(1-\delta)}} d\theta \quad \dots (1.4)$$

where  $\delta > 0$ ,  $f(z)$  is analytic function in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z - \theta)^{\delta-1}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z - \theta)$  to be real when  $(z - \theta) > 0$ .

*Definition 3* — The fractional derivative of order  $\delta$  is defined, for a function  $f(z)$ , by

$$D_z^\delta f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \delta)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\theta)}{(z - \theta)^\delta} d\theta \quad \dots (1.5)$$

where  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ,  $f(z)$  is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of  $(z - \theta)^{-\delta}$  is removed as in Definition 2 above.

*Definition 4* — A function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) and satisfying (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$  if

$$\Gamma(2 - \delta) z^{\delta-1} D_z^\delta f(z) = a_1 \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

where  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $D$  with  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$  and  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ .

## 2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

*Theorem 1* — The function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) belongs to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$  if and if only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1-B)}{(A-B)} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1-\mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \right\} a_n \leq 1 \quad \dots (2.1)$$

where

$$\phi(\delta, n) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)}.$$

PROOF : Suppose that  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$ , then we have

$$F(z) = a_1 \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \quad \dots (2.2)$$

where  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $D$  with  $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$  and

$$F(z) = \Gamma(2 - \delta) z^{\delta-1} D_z^\delta f(z) = a_1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n z^{n-1},$$

and

$$\phi(\delta, n) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)}.$$

Equation (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{F(z) - a_1}{BF(z) - a_1A} \right| = |w(z)| < 1. \quad \dots (2.3)$$

Since  $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$  for any  $z$ , we have from (2.3)

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n z^{n-1}}{(A-B)a_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B\phi(\delta, n) a_n z^n} \right\} \leq 1. \quad \dots (2.4)$$

Choose values of  $z$  on the real axis so that  $F(z)$  is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.4) and letting  $z \rightarrow 1$  through the real values, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-B)\phi(\delta, n) a_n \leq a_1(A-B). \quad \dots (2.5)$$

Finally substituting  $a_1 = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1-\mu) + n\mu] a_n z_0^{n-1}$  in (2.5), we get (2.1).

Conversely suppose that (2.1) holds. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(z) - a_1| - |BF(z) - a_1A| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n z^{n-1} \right| - \left| (A-B)a_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B\phi(\delta, n) a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-B)\phi(\delta, n) a_n - a_1(A-B) \\ &\leq 0, \text{ by hypothesis.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by maximum modulus theorem, we get

$$\left| \frac{F(z) - a_1}{BF(z) - a_1A} \right| < 1 \text{ for all } z \in D,$$

which consequently implies that there exists an analytic function  $w(z)$  such that  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  and that

$$\frac{F(z) - a_1}{BF(z) - a_1A} = w(z)$$

which in turn implies that  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$ .

*Corollary* — Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) belong to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$ . Then

$$a_n \leq \{[(1 - B)/(A - B)] \phi(\delta, n) - [(1 - \mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1}\}^{-1} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \frac{(1 - B) \phi(\delta, n)z - (A - B)z^n}{\{(1 - B) \phi(\delta, n) - [(1 - \mu) + n\mu] (A - B) z_0^{n-1}\}} \quad \dots (2.6)$$

### 3. A DISTORTION THEOREM

*Theorem 2* — Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) belong to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$ . Then

$$|f(z)| \geq a_1 \left( |z| - \frac{(A - B)(2 - \delta)}{2(1 - B)} |z|^2 \right) \quad \dots (3.1)$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq a_1 \left( |z| - \frac{(A - B)(2 - \delta)}{2(1 - B)} |z|^2 \right) \quad \dots (3.2)$$

for  $z \in D$ . Also

$$|D_z^\delta f(z)| \geq \frac{a_1}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} \left( |z|^{1-\delta} - \frac{(A - B)}{(1 - B)} |z|^{2-\delta} \right) \quad \dots (3.3)$$

and

$$|D_z^\delta f(z)| \geq \frac{a_1}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} \left( |z|^{1-\delta} + \frac{(A - B)}{(1 - B)} |z|^{2-\delta} \right) \quad \dots (3.4)$$

whenever  $z \in D$ .

**PROOF :** In view of eqn. (2.5) and the fact that  $\phi(\delta, n)$  is non-decreasing for  $n \geq 2$ , we have

$$\frac{2(1 - B)}{(2 - \delta)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) (1 - B) a_n \leq a_1 (A - B) \quad \dots (3.5)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{a_1 (A - B) (2 - \delta)}{2(1 - B)} \dots (3.6)$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$|f(z)| \geq a_1 |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \geq a_1 \left( |z| - \frac{(A - B) (2 - \delta)}{2(1 - B)} |z|^2 \right) \dots (3.7)$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq a_1 |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq a_1 \left( |z| + \frac{(A - B) (2 - \delta)}{2(1 - B)} |z|^2 \right) \dots (3.8)$$

Further, by using second inequality in (3.5), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2 - \delta) z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z)| &\geq a_1 |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n |z|^n \\ &\geq a_1 |z| - |z|^2 \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n \right) \\ &\geq a_1 (|z| - [(A - B)/(1 - B)] |z|^2) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (3.3).

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(2 - \delta) z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z)| &\leq a_1 |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n |z|^n \\ &\leq a_1 |z| + |z|^2 \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi(\delta, n) a_n \right) \\ &\leq a_1 (|z| + [(A - B)/(1 - B)] |z|^2) \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.4). Hence the proof is complete.

*Corollary* — Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) belong to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is included in a disk with its centre at origin and radius  $r$  given by

$$r = a_1 (1 + [(A - B) (2 - \delta)/2(1 - B)])$$

and  $D_z^\delta f(z)$  is included in a disk with its centre at the origin and radius  $\mathcal{R}$  given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{a_1}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} \{1 + [(A - B)/(1 - B)]\}.$$

4. RADIUS OF CONVEXITY

*Theorem 4* — Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) belong to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$ . Then  $f(z)$  is convex in the disk

$$|z| < r = r(\delta, A, B) = \inf_n \left[ \frac{(1-B)\phi(\delta, n)}{n^2(A-B)} \right]^{(1/[n-1])} \quad (n \geq 2). \quad \dots (4.1)$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (2.6).

**PROOF :** To prove this result it is sufficient to prove that  $|zf''(z)/f'(z)| \leq 1$  for  $|z| < r(\delta, A, B)$ .

A simple calculation gives us

$$|zf''(z)/f'(z)| \leq \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n |z|^{n-1} \right) / \left( a_1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1} \right).$$

Clearly,  $|zf''(z)/f'(z)| \leq 1$  if

$$\left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_n |z|^{n-1} \right) \leq \left( a_1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n-1} \right). \quad \dots (4.2)$$

Using  $a_1 = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n [(1-\mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1}$  in (3.1), we are lead to

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \{n^2 |z|^{n-1} - [(1-\mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1}\} \leq 1. \quad \dots (4.3)$$

By Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left\{ \frac{(1-B)}{(A-B)} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1-\mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \right\} \leq 1.$$

Hence (4.3) will hold, if

$$n^2 |z|^{n-1} - [(1-\mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \leq \frac{(1-B)}{(A-B)} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1-\mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1}$$

or equivalently

$$|z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{(1-B)}{n^2(A-B)} \phi(\delta, n)$$

which in turn implies the assertion of the theorem.

5. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF THE CLASS  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$

*Theorem 5* — Let  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, -1 \leq B' < A' \leq 1$ . Then

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0) = \rho(\mu, \delta, A', B', z_0) \quad \dots (5.1)$$

if and only if

$$\frac{(A - B)}{(1 - B)} = \frac{(A' - B')}{(1 - B')} \quad \dots (5.2)$$

Furthermore

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0) = \rho(\mu, \delta, [2(A - B)/(1 - B)] - 1, -1, z_0). \quad \dots (5.3)$$

PROOF : Let  $f(z) \in \rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$  and (5.2) hold true. Then by Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left\{ \frac{(1 - B)}{(A - B)} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1 - \mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left\{ \frac{(1 - B')}{(A' - B')} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1 - \mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \right\} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f(z) \in \rho(\mu, \delta, A', B', z_0)$ .

Similarly it can be shown that  $f(z) \in \rho(\mu, \delta, A', B', z_0)$  implies  $f(z) \in \rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$  under the condition (5.2). Hence (5.2) implies (5.1).

Conversely suppose that (5.1) holds true. We note that the function defined by (1.1) belonging to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0)$  will belong to  $\rho(\mu, \delta, A', B', z_0)$  only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left\{ \frac{(1 - B)}{(A - B)} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1 - \mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \left\{ \frac{(1 - B')}{(A' - B')} \phi(\delta, n) - [(1 - \mu) + n\mu] z_0^{n-1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(A - B)}{(1 - B)} = \frac{(A' - B')}{(1 - B')} \quad \dots (5.4)$$

with similar arguments, we can deduce

$$\frac{(A' - B')}{(1 - B')} = \frac{(A - B)}{(1 - B)} \quad \dots (5.5)$$

Inequalities (5.4) and (5.5) together yield (5.2). Finally, for  $B' = -1$ , we get (5.3).

*Theorem 5* — Let  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1, -1 \leq B < A_1 \leq A_2 \leq 1$ . Then

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A_1, B, z_0) \subseteq \rho(\mu, \delta, A_2, B, z_0). \quad \dots (5.6)$$

The proof of Theorem 5 is a simple application of Theorem 1.

*Theorem 6* — Let  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A \leq 1$ . Then

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B_1, z_0) \supseteq \rho(\mu, \delta, A, B_2, z_0). \quad \dots (5.7)$$

PROOF : By using Theorem 4, we have

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B_1, z_0) = \rho(\mu, \delta, [2(A - B_1)/(1 - B_1)] - 1, -1, z_0)$$

and

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A, B_2, z_0) = \rho(\mu, \delta, [2(A - B_2)/(1 - B_2)] - 1, -1, z_0).$$

And noting that

$$0 \leq [2(A - B_2)/(1 - B_2)] - 1 \leq [2(A - B_1)/(1 - B_1)] - 1 \leq 1, \quad \dots (5.8)$$

Theorem 6 leads us to (5.7).

*Corollary* — Let  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_1 \leq A_2 \leq 1$ . Then

$$\rho(\mu, \delta, A_1, B_2, z_0) \subseteq \rho(\mu, \delta, A_1, B_1, z_0) \subseteq \rho(\mu, \delta, A_2, B_1, z_0).$$

Now we state two theorems which can be easily obtained respectively on the lines of proofs of Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 in Srivastava and Owa<sup>2</sup>.

*Theorem 7* — Let  $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq 1$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . Then

$$\rho(\mu, \delta_1, A, B, z_0) \supseteq \rho(\mu, \delta_2, A, B, z_0).$$

*Theorem 8* — Let  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ . Then

$$\rho(\mu, 1, A, B, z_0) \subseteq \rho(\mu, \delta, A, B, z_0).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Silverman, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **219** (1976), 387-95.
2. H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Comm. Math. Univ. Sancti paulli.* **35(2)** (1986), 175-88.
3. B. A. Uraleghaddi and C. Somanatha, *Tamkang J. Math.* **24** (1) (1993), 57-66.