

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR SELF-RECIPROCAL POLYNOMIALS

ABDUL AZIZ AND B. A. ZARGAR

Post-Graduate Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir,
Srinagar 190 006

(Received 27 March 1995; after revision 21 November 1995;
accepted 7 March 1996)

Let $P(z) = P_1(z) + iP_2(z)$ be a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree n . In this paper we estimate maximum modulus of $P_1'(z) \pm P_2'(z)$ on the unit circle in terms of the maximum modulus of $P(z)$ on the unit circle and its degree and obtain a sharp result.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a polynomial of degree n and $p'(z)$ be its derivative. Concerning the estimate of $|p'(z)|$ on the unit circle $|z| = 1$, we have

$$\text{Max}_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \leq n \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \quad \dots (1)$$

Inequality (1) is an immediate consequence of S. Bernsteins theorem on the derivative of a trigonometric polynomial (for reference see Schaeffer⁷).

In (1) equality holds only for the polynomial $p(z) = a_n z^n$.

A polynomial $p(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$, of degree n , is said to be a 'self-reciprocal' polynomial of degree n if it satisfies

$$p(z) = z^n p(1/z), \quad \dots (2)$$

or equivalently,

$$a_j = a_{n-j} \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Polynomials $p(z)$ satisfying (2) and some related functions were studied by many investigators¹⁻⁶. Here we prove the following sharp result about polynomials satisfying (2).

Theorem 1 — If $P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j + ib_j) z^j = p_1(z) + ip_2(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n satisfying (2), then

$$\text{Max}_{|z|=1} |p_1'(z) + p_2'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \quad \dots (3)$$

and

$$\text{Max}_{|z|=1} |p_1'(z) - p_2'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |p(z)|. \quad \dots (4)$$

Both the estimates are sharp with equality for the polynomial $p(z) = z^n + 2iz^{n/2} + 1$, where $n = 2m$ and m is a positive integer.

2. LEMMAS

For the proof of this theorem we need the following lemmas. The first result is due to the first author (Aziz¹, Lemma 4).

Lemma 1 — Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree m . If for some positive integer $n \geq m$, $P(z) = z^n P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})$, then

$$\text{Max}_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

Lemma 2 — Let $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n (a_j + ib_j) z^j = P_1(z) + iP_2(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n , then for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$,

$$|P_1(e^{i\theta})|^2 + |P_2(e^{i\theta})|^2 \leq \left\{ \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right\}^2. \quad \dots (5)$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2 : Since

$$P_1(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j \text{ and } P_2(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j z^j$$

are polynomials with real coefficients, therefore, we have

$$\overline{P_1(\bar{z})} = P_1(z) \text{ and } \overline{P_2(\bar{z})} = P_2(z).$$

This implies for $z = e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$,

$$P_1(e^{-i\theta}) = \overline{P_1(e^{i\theta})} \text{ and } P_2(e^{-i\theta}) = \overline{P_2(e^{i\theta})}. \quad \dots (6)$$

If $M = \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$, then for $0 < \theta < 2\pi$, we have

$$|P_1(e^{i\theta}) + iP_2(e^{i\theta})| = |P(e^{i\theta})| \leq M \quad \dots (7)$$

and

$$|P_1(e^{-i\theta}) + iP_2(e^{-i\theta})| = |P(e^{-i\theta})| \leq M. \quad \dots (8)$$

Using (6) in (8) we get

$$|\overline{P_1(e^{i\theta})} + i\overline{P_2(e^{i\theta})}| \leq M$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |P_1(e^{i\theta}) - iP_2(e^{i\theta})| &= |\overline{P_1(e^{i\theta}) - iP_2(e^{i\theta})}| \\ &= |\overline{P_1(e^{i\theta})} + i\overline{P_2(e^{i\theta})}| \leq M. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (9)$$

From (7) and (9), it follows that for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$,

$$|P_1(e^{i\theta}) + iP_2(e^{i\theta})|^2 + |P_1(e^{i\theta}) - iP_2(e^{i\theta})|^2 \leq M^2 + M^2 = 2M^2.$$

Now using the identity

$$|A + B|^2 + |A - B|^2 = 2|A|^2 + 2|B|^2,$$

we get

$$2|P_1(e^{i\theta})|^2 + 2|P_2(e^{i\theta})|^2 \leq 2M^2 \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi,$$

which is equivalent to (5) and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Proof of Theorem 1 — Since $P(z) = P_1(z) + iP_2(z)$,

where $P_1(z)$ and $P_2(z)$ are polynomials of degree $\leq n$ with real coefficients, therefore,

$$G(z) = P_1(z) + P_2(z) \text{ and } H(z) = P_1(z) - P_2(z)$$

are also polynomials of degree at most n with real coefficients. Now by hypothesis, $P(z) = z^n P(1/z)$, which implies that

$$P_1(z) = z^n P_1(1/z) = \overline{z^n P_1(1/\overline{z})} \text{ and } P_2(z) = z^n P_2(1/z) = \overline{z^n P_2(1/\overline{z})},$$

and hence

$$\overline{z^n G(1/\overline{z})} = G(z) \text{ and } \overline{z^n H(1/\overline{z})} = H(z).$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the polynomials $G(z)$ and $H(z)$, we obtain

$$\text{Max}_{|z|=1} |P_1'(z) + P_2'(z)| = \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |G'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |G(z)| \quad \dots (10)$$

and

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P_1'(z) - P_2'(z)| = \max_{|z|=1} |H'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |H(z)|. \quad \dots (11)$$

Let $G(z)$ attain its maximum at $z = e^{i\alpha}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$, on $|z| = 1$, then with the help of Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| \right)^2 &= |G(e^{i\alpha})|^2 = |P_1(e^{i\alpha}) + P_2(e^{i\alpha})|^2 \\ &\leq (|P_1(e^{i\alpha})| + |P_2(e^{i\alpha})|)^2 \\ &\leq 2(|P_1(e^{i\alpha})|^2 + |P_2(e^{i\alpha})|^2) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (12)$$

and similarly we can show that

$$\left(\max_{|z|=1} |H(z)| \right)^2 \leq 2 \left(\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \right)^2. \quad \dots (13)$$

Using (13) in (10) and (12) in (11), we finally obtain

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P_1'(z) + P_2'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

and

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P_1'(z) - P_2'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

which are (3) and (4) respectively. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

1. Abdul Aziz, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 259-66.
2. C. Frappier and Q. I. Rahman, *Canad. J. Math.* **34** (1982), 932-44.
3. B. Datt, Ph.D. thesis, submitted to the Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, January 1976.
4. C. Frappier, Q. I. Rahman and St. Ruscheweyh, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **288** (1985), 69-99.
5. N. K. Govil, V. K. Jain and G. Labelle, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **57** (1976), 238-42.
6. V. K. Jain, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **59** (1993), 167-70.
7. A. C. Schaeffer, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **47** (1941), 567-79.