

ON THE UNIVALENCE OF SOME ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

by V. N. KULKARNI and S. R. SWAMY, *Department of Mathematics,
Karnataka University, Dharwar 580003*

(Received 29 November 1977)

Let $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ be analytic and satisfy

$$(A) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z) h(z)} \right\} > 0$$

or

$$(B) \quad \left| \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z) h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$$

for $|z| < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. We determine the values of R such that $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z| < R$ under the assumption that

$$(i) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < 1, \text{ where } s_1(z) \text{ is starlike of order } \alpha, \\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \text{ and } h(z) \text{ is starlike of order } \beta. 0 \leq \beta \leq 1,$$

or

$$(ii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < 1, \text{ where } s_1(z) \text{ is starlike of order } \alpha, \\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{h(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < 1, \text{ where } s_2(z) \text{ is starlike} \\ \text{of order } \beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1.$$

§1. A function $h(z) = z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is said to be starlike of order β , $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, for $|z| < 1$ if $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right\} > \beta$ for $|z| < 1$. If $\beta = 1$, then $h(z) = z$.

Ratti (1968) has obtained discs of univalence and starlikeness for certain classes of functions $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ analytic in $|z| < 1$. Some of his results required that either

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0 \text{ or } \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$$

for $|z| < 1$, where $\operatorname{Re} \{g(z)/z\} = \operatorname{Re} \{1 + b_2z + \dots\} > 0$.

This was suggested in the theorems obtained by MacGregor (1963a, b). In a paper by Causey and Merkes (1970) the expression $g(z)/z$ was replaced by $g(z)/s_1(z)$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike function of order α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Shah (1972) has obtained discs of univalence and starlikeness for certain classes of functions $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ analytic in $|z| < 1$. Some of his results required that either

$$(a) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{\lambda f(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z)} \right\} > 0$$

or

$$(b) \quad \left| \frac{f(z)}{\lambda f(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$$

for $|z| < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, where $\operatorname{Re} \{g(z)/z\} > 0$. Swamy (1977) has obtained discs of univalence and starlikeness for certain classes of functions $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ analytic in $|z| < 1$. Some of his results required that either

$$(A) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z) h(z)} \right\} > 0$$

or

$$(B) \quad \left| \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda) g(z) h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$$

for $|z| < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, where

$$(i) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{g(z)/z\} > 0, \text{ for } |z| < 1, \text{ and } h(z) \text{ is starlike of order } \beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1,$$

or

$$(ii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{g(z)/z\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < 1, \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \{h(z)/z\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < 1.$$

In this paper the expressions $g(z)/z$ and $h(z)/z$ are replaced by $g(z)/s_1(z)$ and $h(z)/s_2(z)$ respectively, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $s_2(z)$ is starlike of order β , $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

§2. We require the following lemmas for our discussion :

Lemma 1 (Shah 1972) — If $P(z) = 1 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic and satisfies $\operatorname{Re} (P(z)) > \alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, for $|z| < 1$, then we have for $|z| < 1$

$$\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2n |z|^n (1 - \alpha)}{(1 - |z|^n) [1 + (1 - 2\alpha) |z|^n]}.$$

Lemma 2 (Shah 1972) — Under the hypothesis of Lemma 1 we have for $|z| < 1$

$$\operatorname{Re} (p(z)) \geq \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1) |z|^n}{1 + |z|^n}.$$

Lemma 3 (Shah 1972) — If $\phi(z) = 1 + d_n z^n + d_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic and $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, then

$$[1 - \lambda |\phi(z)|]^{-1} \leq (1 - |z|^n) / [(1 - |z|^n) - \lambda(1 + |z|^n)]$$

for $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)]^{1/n}$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

Now we prove the following results.

§3. *Theorem 1* — Suppose that $f(z) = z + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + b_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + c_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $h(z)$ is starlike of order β , $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for

(i) $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1)]^{1/n}$ if $\alpha + \beta = 3/2$

and

(ii) $|z| < R^{1/n}$ if $\alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$

where

$$R = \frac{\{A^2 + 4(1 - \lambda^2)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)\}^{1/2} - A}{2(1 + \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)}$$

with

$$A = 4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3).$$

PROOF : Let $\phi(z) = zf(z)/[\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)]$. Then $\phi(z)$ is analytic and $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) > 0$ for $|z| < 1$. Now

$$[1 - \lambda\phi(z)]zf(z) = (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)\phi(z). \tag{1}$$

Multiplying the logarithmic derivative of both sides of equation (1) by z we obtain

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{z\phi'(z)/\phi(z)}{1 - \lambda\phi(z)} - 1. \tag{2}$$

Equation (2) is valid for those z for which $1 - \lambda\phi(z) \neq 0$ and $|z| < 1$. Since $|\phi(z)| \leq (1 + |z|^n)/(1 - |z|^n)$, $1 - \lambda\phi(z) \neq 0$ in particular, if $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)]^{1/n}$. Let $p(z) = g(z)/s_1(z)$. So

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{zs_1'(z)}{s_1(z)}.$$

Therefore (2) reduces to

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zs_1'(z)}{s_1(z)} + \frac{zP'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{z\phi'(z)/\phi(z)}{1 - \lambda\phi(z)} - 1 \tag{3}$$

and this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right\} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zs'_1(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| - \left| \frac{z\phi'(z)/\phi(z)}{1 - \lambda\phi(z)} \right| - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By using Lemma 2, Lemma 1 with $\alpha = 0$, and Lemma 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} + \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} \\ &\quad - \frac{2n|z|^n}{1 - |z|^{2n}} - \frac{2n|z|^n}{(1 - |z|^{2n}) - \lambda(1 + |z|^n)^2} - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

provided that $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)]^{1/n}$.

After some simplification (5) reduces to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{(1 + \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)|z|^{3n} + [2n\lambda + 4n + 1 + \lambda \\ &\quad - 2(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)]|z|^{2n} \\ &\quad + [2n\lambda - 4n - 2 + (1 - \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)]|z|^n + (1 - \lambda)}{(1 - |z|^{2n})[(1 - |z|^n) - \lambda(1 + |z|^n)]}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Hence $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$ if $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)]^{1/n}$ and if

$$\begin{aligned} G(|z|^n) &= (1 + \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)|z|^{3n} \\ &\quad + [4n + 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - 2(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)]|z|^{2n} \\ &\quad + [2n\lambda - 4n - 2 + (1 - \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)]|z|^n \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let $|z|^n = t$ and consider the cubic polynomial $G(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $G(0) = 1 - \lambda > 0$, $G[(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)] = -4n\lambda(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)^2 < 0$ and $G(1) = 4n\lambda > 0$, it follows that $G(t_1) = 0$ for some t_1 such that $0 < t_1 < (1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$ and $G(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_1$ and $G(t) < 0$ for $t_1 < t < (1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$. Hence $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$ for these z for which only the inequality (7) is true. Now the inequality (7) holds if, in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{3n} \\
 &+ [4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - 2(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^{2n} \\
 &+ [2n\lambda - 4n - 2 + (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n \\
 &+ (1 - \lambda) > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(|z|^n - 1) [(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{2n} \\
 &+ \{4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)\} |z|^n \\
 &+ (\lambda - 1)] > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{2n} \\
 &+ [4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n \\
 &+ (\lambda - 1) < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds if

$$|z|^n < (1 - \lambda) / [4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1]$$

when $\alpha + \beta = 3/2$ and

$$|z|^n < \frac{\{A^2 + 4(1 - \lambda^2) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)\}^{1/2} - A}{2(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)}$$

when $\alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$, where $A = 4n - 2n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)$ and this completes the proof.

For $\alpha = 1$, the above result reduces to a result of Swamy (1977, Theorem 1). For $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$, the above result reduces to a result of Shah (1972, Theorem 1). If we put $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1 we obtain the following result which, when $n = 1, \beta = 1$ reduces to a result of Causey and Merkes (1970, Theorem 3.1).

Corollary 1 — Suppose that $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $h(z)$ is starlike of order $\beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)h(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for

$$(i) \quad |z| < [1/(4n + 1)]^{1/n} \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 3/2$$

and

$$(ii) \quad |z| < R^{1/n} \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$$

where

$$R = \frac{\{4n^2 + 8n + 1 + (\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 4n - 2)\}^{1/2} - (2n + 2 - (\alpha + \beta))}{(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)} \dots(8)$$

The functions

$$f(z) = z(1 - z^n)^2 / (1 + z^n)^{(4-2\alpha-2\beta+2n)/n},$$

$$g(z) = z(1 - z^n) / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha+n)/n},$$

$$s_1(z) = z / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha)/n},$$

and

$$h(z) = z / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\beta)/n}$$

satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 1 and the derivative of $f(z)$ vanishes at $z = [1/(4n + 1)]^{1/n}$ when $\alpha + \beta = 3/2$, and at $z = R^{1/n}$ when $\alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$, where R is as stated in (8). This shows that Corollary 1 is sharp and hence Theorem 1 is sharp at least for $\lambda = 0$.

Theorem 2 — Suppose that $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{h(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_2(z)$ is starlike of order β , $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for

$$(i) \quad |z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1)]^{1/n} \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 3/2$$

and

$$(ii) \quad |z| < R^{1/n} \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$$

where

$$R = \frac{\{A^2 + 4(1 - \lambda^2)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)\}^{1/2} - A}{2(1 + \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)}$$

with

$$A = 6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda)(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3).$$

PROOF : Let $p(z) = g(z)/s_1(z)$ and $q(z) = h(z)/s_2(z)$. Then proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zs'_1(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} + \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zs'_2(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right| - \left| \frac{z\phi'(z)/\phi(z)}{1 - \lambda\phi(z)} \right| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, Lemma 1 with $\alpha = 0$, and Lemma 3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1) |z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} + \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1) |z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} \\ &\quad - \frac{2n |z|^n}{1 - |z|^{2n}} - \frac{2n |z|^n}{1 - |z|^{2n}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2n |z|^n}{(1 - |z|^{2n}) - \lambda(1 + |z|^n)^2} - 1. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(9)$$

provided that $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)]^{1/n}$. After some simplification (9) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{3n} \\ &\quad + [6n + 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - 2(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^{2n} \\ \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{+ [4n\lambda - 6n - 2 + (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n + (1 - \lambda)}{(1 - |z|^{2n}) [(1 - |z|^n) - \lambda(1 + |z|^n)]}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(10)$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$$

for those z for which $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)]^{1/n}$ and the right side of inequality (10) is greater than zero. The latter holds if

$$\begin{aligned} G(|z|^n) &= (1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{3n} \\ &\quad + [6n + 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - 2(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^{2n} \\ &\quad + [4n\lambda - 6n - 2 + (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(11)$$

Let $|z|^n = t$ and consider the cubic polynomial $G(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $G(0) = 1 - \lambda > 0$, $G[(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)] = -4n\lambda(1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)^2 < 0$, and $G(1) = 8n\lambda > 0$, it follows that $G(t_1) = 0$ for some t_1 such that $0 < t_1 < (1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$ and $G(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_1$ and $G(t) < 0$ for $t_1 < t < (1 - \lambda)/(1 + \lambda)$. Hence

$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$ for those z for which only the inequality (11) holds. Now the inequality (11) holds if, in particular

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{3n} \\ &\quad + [6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - 2(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^{2n} \\ &\quad + [4n\lambda - 6n - 2 + (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & (|z|^n - 1) \{ (1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{2n} \\ & \quad + [6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n \\ & \quad + (\lambda - 1) \} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{2n} \\ & \quad + [6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)] |z|^n \\ & \quad + (\lambda - 1) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds if

$$|z|^n < [(1 - \lambda)/(6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1)] \text{ when } \alpha + \beta = 3/2$$

and

$$|z|^n < \frac{\{A^2 + 4(1 - \lambda^2) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)\}^{1/2} - A}{2(1 + \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)}$$

when $\alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$, where $A = 6n - 4n\lambda + \lambda + 1 - (1 - \lambda) (2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)$ and this completes the proof.

For $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ the above result reduces to a result of Swamy (1977, Theorem 3). If we put $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2 — Suppose that $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{h(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_2(z)$ is starlike of order $\beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)h(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for

$$(i) \quad |z| < [1/(6n + 1)]^{1/n} \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta = 3/2$$

and

$$(ii) \quad |z| < R^{1/n} \text{ if } \alpha + \beta \neq 3/2,$$

where

$$R = \frac{\{9n^2 + 12n + 1 + (\alpha + \beta) (\alpha + \beta - n - 2)\}^{1/2} + (\alpha + \beta - 3n - 2)}{(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)}.$$

... (12)

The functions

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z(1 - z^n)^3 / (1 + z^n)^{(4-2\alpha-2\beta+3n)/n}, \\ g(z) &= z(1 - z^n) / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha+n)/n}, \\ s_1(z) &= z / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha)/n}, \\ h(z) &= z(1 - z^n) / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\beta+n)/n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$s_2(z) = z / (1 + z^n)^{(2-2\beta)/n}$$

satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2 and $f'(z)$ vanishes at $z = [1/(6n + 1)]^{1/n}$ when $\alpha + \beta = 3/2$ and at $z = R^{1/n}$, where R is as stated in (12), when $\alpha + \beta \neq 3/2$. This shows that Corollary 2 is sharp and hence Theorem 2 is sharp at least for $\lambda = 0$.

Theorem 3 — Suppose that $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $h(z)$ is starlike of order β , $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\left| \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z| < R^{1/n}$, where R is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)R^3 + [2n\lambda - n + 1 + \lambda - 2(\alpha + \beta - 1)]R^2 \\ &+ [2n\lambda - 3n + \lambda - 2 + 2(1 - \lambda)(\alpha + \beta - 1)]R + (1 - \lambda) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

PROOF : Let

$$\psi(z) = \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)} - 1. \tag{14}$$

By hypothesis $\psi(z)$ is analytic and $|\psi(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$ and hence by a result of Goluzin (1945) we have that for $|z| < 1$

$$|\psi'(z)| \leq n|z|^{n-1} (1 - |\psi(z)|^2) / (1 - |z|^{2n}) \tag{15}$$

and by Schwarz's Lemma for $|z| < 1$

$$|\psi(z)| \leq |z|^n. \tag{16}$$

Now from (14) we obtain

$$[1 - \lambda(1 + \psi(z))]zf(z) = (1 - \lambda)g(z)h(z)(1 + \psi(z)). \tag{17}$$

Multiplying the logarithmic derivative of both sides of (17) by z we obtain

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{(1 + \psi(z))(1 - \lambda - \lambda\psi(z))} - 1. \tag{18}$$

If we let $g(z) = p(z) s_1(z)$, then we have from (18)

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zs_1'(z)}{s_1(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{(1 + \psi(z))(1 - \lambda - \lambda\psi(z))} - 1$$

and this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right\} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zs_1'(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \frac{z\psi'(z)}{(1 + \psi(z))(1 - \lambda - \lambda\psi(z))} \right| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, Lemma 1 with $\alpha = 0$, we get in view of (15) for $|z| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} + \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} \\ &\quad - \frac{2n|z|^n}{1 - |z|^{2n}} - \frac{n|z|^n(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|^{2n})|1 + \psi(z)||1 - \lambda - \lambda\psi(z)|} - 1 \\ &\geq \frac{2 + (2\alpha + 2\beta - 2)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} - \frac{2n|z|^n}{1 - |z|^{2n}} \\ &\quad - \frac{n|z|^n(1 + |\psi(z)|)}{(1 - |z|^{2n})|1 - \lambda - \lambda\psi(z)|} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By using (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{2 + (2\alpha + 2\beta - 2)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} - \frac{2n|z|^n}{1 - |z|^{2n}} \\ &\quad - \frac{n|z|^n}{(1 - |z|^n)(1 - \lambda - \lambda|z|^n)} - 1 \\ &= \frac{\lambda(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)|z|^{3n} + [2n\lambda - n + 1 + \lambda - 2(\alpha + \beta - 1)]|z|^{2n} \\ &\quad + [2n\lambda - 3n + \lambda - 2 + 2(1 - \lambda)(\alpha + \beta - 1)]|z|^n + (1 - \lambda)}{(1 - |z|^{2n})(1 - \lambda - \lambda|z|^n)} \end{aligned}$$

valid for $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/\lambda]^{1/n}$. Hence $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$ if $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/\lambda]^{1/n}$ and if

$$\begin{aligned} G(|z|^n) &= \lambda(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)|z|^{3n} \\ &\quad + [2n\lambda - n + 1 + \lambda - 2(\alpha + \beta - 1)]|z|^{2n} \\ &\quad + [2n\lambda - 3n + \lambda - 2 + 2(1 - \lambda)(\alpha + \beta - 1)]|z|^n \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Let $|z|^n = t$ and consider the cubic polynomial $G(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $G(0) = 1 - \lambda > 0$, and $G[(1 - \lambda)/\lambda] = -[n(1 - \lambda)]/\lambda^2 < 0$, it follows that $G(t_1) = 0$ for some t_1 such that $0 < t_1 < (1 - \lambda)/\lambda$ and $G(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_1$

and $G(t) < 0$ for $t_1 < t < (1 - \lambda)/\lambda$. Hence $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z| < R^{1/n}$, in view of inequality (19), where R is the smallest positive root of the equation (13).

For $\alpha = 1$ the above result reduces to a result of Swamy (1977, Theorem 4). For $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ the above result reduces to a result of Shah (1972, Theorem 4). If we put $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3 we obtain the following result which, when $n = 1, \beta = 1$ reduces to a result of Causey and Merkes (1970, Theorem 3.3).

Corollary 3 — Suppose $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots, g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $h(z)$ is starlike of order $\beta, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\left| \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for

(i) $|z| < 1/5$ if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $n = 1$

and

(ii) $|z| < R^{1/n}$ if $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$

where

$$R = \frac{\{9n^2 + 28n + 4 + 4(\alpha + \beta)[\alpha + \beta - (2 + 3n)]\}^{1/2} + (2(\alpha + \beta - 4 - 3n))}{2(2(\alpha + \beta) + n - 3)} \dots(20)$$

The functions

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z(1 - z^n)^2/(1 + z^n)^{(4-2\alpha-2\beta+n)/n}, \\ g(z) &= z(1 - z^n)/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha+n)/n}, \\ s_1(z) &= z/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha)/n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(z) = z/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\beta)/n}$$

satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 3 and the derivative of $f(z)$ vanishes at $z = 1/5$ when $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $n = 1$, and at $z = R^{1/n}$, where R is as stated in (20). This shows that Corollary 3 is sharp and hence Theorem 3 is sharp at least for $\lambda = 0$.

Theorem 4 — Suppose $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots, g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{h(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_2(z)$ is starlike of order $\beta,$

$0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\left| \frac{zf(z)}{\lambda zf(z) + (1-\lambda)g(z)h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z| < R^{1/n}$, where R is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\lambda(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3)R^3 + [4n\lambda - n + 1 + \lambda - 2(\alpha + \beta - 1)]R^2 + [4n\lambda - 5n + \lambda - 2 + 2(1-\lambda)(\alpha + \beta - 1)]R + (1-\lambda) = 0. \dots(21)$$

PROOF : Let $p(z) = g(z)/s_1(z)$ and $q(z) = h(z)/s_2(z)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{zs'_1(z)}{s_1(z)} \dots(22)$$

and

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \frac{zs'_2(z)}{s_2(z)}. \dots(23)$$

Now proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3, we get

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{(1+\psi(z))(1-\lambda-\lambda\psi(z))} - 1.$$

By using (22) and (23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} &= \frac{zs'_1(z)}{s_1(z)} + \frac{zs'_2(z)}{s_2(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \\ &+ \frac{z\psi'(z)}{(1+\psi(z))(1-\lambda-\lambda\psi(z))} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zs'_1(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zs'_2(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \\ &- \left| \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right| - \left| \frac{z\psi'(z)}{(1+\psi(z))(1-\lambda-\lambda\psi(z))} \right| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, Lemma 1 with $\alpha = 0$, we get in views of (15) and (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} &\geq \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} + \frac{1 + (2\beta - 1)|z|^n}{1 + |z|^n} \\ &- \frac{2n|z|^n}{1 - |z|^n} - \frac{2n|z|^n}{1 - |z|^n} \\ &- \frac{n|z|^n}{(1 - |z|^n)(1 - \lambda - \lambda|z|^n)} - 1 \end{aligned} \dots(24)$$

valid for $|z| < [(1-\lambda)/\lambda]^{1/n}$.

After some simplification (24) reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\lambda(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{3n} + [4n\lambda - n + 1 + \lambda - 2(\alpha + \beta - 1)] |z|^{2n} + [4n\lambda - 5n + \lambda - 2 + 2(1 - \lambda)(\alpha + \beta - 1)] |z|^n + (1 - \lambda)}{(1 - |z|^{2n}) [1 - \lambda - \lambda |z|^n]} \dots(25)$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$$

for those z for which $|z| < [(1 - \lambda)/\lambda]^{1/n}$ and the right side of inequality (25) is greater than zero. The later holds if

$$\begin{aligned} G(|z|^n) &= \lambda(2\alpha + 2\beta - 3) |z|^{3n} \\ &+ [4n\lambda - n + 1 + \lambda - 2(\alpha + \beta - 1)] |z|^{2n} \\ &+ [4n\lambda - 5n + \lambda - 2 + 2(1 - \lambda)(\alpha + \beta - 1)] |z|^n \\ &+ (1 - \lambda) > 0. \end{aligned} \dots(26)$$

Let $|z|^n = t$ and consider the cubic polynomial $G(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $G(0) = 1 - \lambda > 0$ and $G[(1 - \lambda)/\lambda] = - (n(1 - \lambda))/\lambda^2 < 0$, it follows that $G(t_1) = 0$ for some t_1 such that $0 < t_1 < (1 - \lambda)/\lambda$ and $G(t) > 0$ for $0 \leq t < t_1$ and $G(t) < 0$ for $t_1 < t < (1 - \lambda)/\lambda$. Hence $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for $|z| < R^{1/n}$, in view of inequality (26), where R is the smallest positive root of the equation (21).

For $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ the above result reduces to a result of Swamy (1977, Theorem 6). If we put $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 4 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4 — Suppose $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, $g(z) = z + b_{n+1}z^{n+1} + b_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$, and $h(z) = z + c_{n+1}z^{n+1} + c_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots$ are analytic for $|z| < 1$. Let $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{g(z)}{s_1(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_1(z)$ is starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{h(z)}{s_2(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $|z| < 1$, where $s_2(z)$ is starlike of order β , $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\left| \frac{zf(z)}{g(z)h(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$, then $f(z)$ is univalent and starlike for

(i) $|z| < 1/7$ if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $n = 1$

and

(ii) $|z| < R^{1/n}$ if $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$

where

$$R = \frac{\{25n^2 + 44n + 4 + 4(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 2 - 5n)\}^{1/2} + [2(\alpha + \beta) - 4 - 5n]}{2[2(\alpha + \beta) + n - 3]} \dots(27)$$

The functions

$$f(z) = z(1 - z^n)^3/(1 + z^n)^{(4-2\alpha-2\beta+2n)/n},$$

$$g(z) = z(1 - z^n)/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha+n)/n},$$

$$s_1(z) = z/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\alpha)/n},$$

$$h(z) = z(1 - z^n)/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\beta+n)/n},$$

and

$$s_2(z) = z/(1 + z^n)^{(2-2\beta)/n}$$

satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 4 and $f'(z)$ vanishes at $z = 1/7$ when $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $n = 1$, and at $z = R^{1/n}$ when $\alpha + \beta \neq 1$, where R is as stated in (27). This shows that Corollary 4 is sharp and hence Theorem 4 is sharp at least for $\lambda = 0$.

REFERENCES

- Causey, W. M., and Merkes, E. P. (1970). Radii of starlikeness of certain classes of analytic functions. *J. Math. Analysis Applic.*, **31**, 579-86.
- Golusin, G. M. (1945). Some estimates of derivatives of bounded functions. *Mat. Sb., N.S.*, **16**, 295-306.
- MacGregor, T. H. (1963a). The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. *Proc. Am. math. Soc.*, **14**, 514-520.
- MacGregor, T. H. (1963b). The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions II. *Proc. Am. math. Soc.*, **14**, 521-24.
- Ratti, J. S. (1968). The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. *Math. Z.*, **107**, 241-48.
- Shah, G. M. (1972). On the univalence of some analytic functions. *Pacif. J. Math.*, **43**, 239-50.
- Swamy, S. R. (1977). On the univalence of some analytic functions. Submitted to *Trans. Am. math. Soc.*