

## HOMOGENEOUS BANACH SPACES OF DISTRIBUTIONS

RAJENDRA SINHA AND VISHNU KANT

Department of Mathematics, University of Roorkee, Roorkee 247672

(Received 6 April 1984)

It is shown that if  $E$  is a Banach space of distributions, then  $E$  and  $E^*$  are  $(C, 1)$  complementary iff  $E$  is homogeneous. It is also shown that if a homogeneous Banach space of distributions is reflexive, then its dual is also homogeneous. A relationship between convolution and translation operators on these spaces is also established.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The space of distributions on  $G = R/2\pi Z$  is considered in Edwards (1967, vol. II, p. 50). In this paper we consider Banach spaces of distributions on  $G$ .  $BK$  spaces, which are nothing but generalizations of Banach spaces of distributions, were considered in Goes (1961, 1976). Using a result of Goes (1976) we can easily show that a Banach space of distributions is homogeneous iff the set of all trigonometric polynomials is dense in it. We show that the dual space of a Banach space of distributions is  $(C, 1)$  complementary iff the given space is homogeneous. We also show that if a homogeneous Banach space of distributions is reflexive, then its dual space is also homogeneous. A relationship between the convolution and translation operators is also established for these spaces.

### 2. DEFINITIONS, NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We refer to Edwards (1967) for all the standard definitions, notations and assumptions. In particular  $D$  will denote the space of all distributions on  $G$ .

Throughout the paper  $E$  will denote a Banach space of distributions satisfying the following properties :

$$(2.1) \quad C^\infty \subset E \subset D.$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{The inclusion map } i : E \rightarrow D \text{ is continuous.}$$

$$(2.3) \quad f \in E \Rightarrow T_x f \in E \text{ and } \|T_x f\|_E = \|f\|_E \text{ for all real } x, \text{ where } \|f\|_E \text{ denotes the norm of } f \text{ in } E.$$

$$(2.4) \quad f \in E \Rightarrow \check{f} \in E \text{ and } \|\check{f}\|_E = \|f\|_E, \text{ where } \check{f}(u) = f(\check{u}) \text{ for all } u \in C^\infty \\ \text{and } \check{u}(t) = u(-t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

It can be checked easily that the spaces  $C, M, C_m, D_m$  as defined in Edwards (1967, Vol. 11) are Banach spaces of distributions satisfying the above properties. Orlicz spaces, as defined in Zygmund (1968), also satisfy the above properties.

$E$  will be called homogeneous if for every  $f \in E$ , the function  $x \rightarrow T_x f$  is continuous from  $R$  to  $E$ .

We first establish some useful properties of  $E$  and  $E^*$  in the following two lemmas :

*Lemma 1*—(a) The inclusion map  $i : C^\infty \rightarrow E$  is continuous.

(b) If, for  $f \in C^\infty$ , we define  $j(f)(u) = u(f)$  for all  $u \in E$  then  $j : C^\infty \rightarrow E^*$  is one-one and continuous.

*PROOF* : (a) Let  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $C^\infty$  and  $i(u_n) = u_n \rightarrow v$  in  $E$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (2.2),  $u_n \rightarrow v$  in  $D$  and hence  $\hat{u}_n(k) \rightarrow \hat{v}(k)$  for all  $k \in Z$ . But  $\hat{u}_n(k)$  also tends to  $\hat{u}(k)$  for all  $k$ . Therefore  $\hat{u}(k) = \hat{v}(k)$  for all  $k$  and hence  $u = v$ . By the closed graph theorem,  $i$  is continuous.

(c) We can easily show that  $j(f) \in E^*$  for every  $f \in C^\infty$ . To show the continuity of  $j$ , let  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $C^\infty$  and  $j(f_n) \rightarrow g$  in  $E^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $g(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} j(f_n)(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(f_n) = u(f)$  for all  $u \in E$ . Hence  $g = j(f)$ . By the closed graph theorem,  $j$  is continuous.

Now suppose  $j(f_1) = j(f_2)$  for  $f_1, f_2$  in  $C^\infty$ . Then  $j(f_1)(u) = j(f_2)(u)$  for all  $u \in E$  i. e.  $u(f_1) = u(f_2)$  for all  $u \in E$ . Taking  $u = e_k$ , we get  $\hat{f}_1(k) = \hat{f}_2(k)$  for all  $k$ . Hence  $f_1 = f_2$ . Therefore  $j$  is one-one.

*Remark* : Given any  $f \in C^\infty$ , in view of the above lemma, we can identify  $j(f)$  with  $f$ , and hence, we can write  $u(f) = f(u)$  for all  $u \in E$ .

*Lemma 2*—(a)  $P$ , the set of all trigonometric polynomials, is dense in  $E$  iff  $C^\infty$  is dense in  $E$ .

(b) If  $C^\infty$  is dense in  $E$ , then  $j : E^* \rightarrow D$ , defined by  $j(F)(u) = F(u)$  for all  $u \in C^\infty$ , is one-one and continuous. So, in this case,  $E^*$  can also be thought of as a Banach space of distributions satisfying (2.1) and (2.2).

*PROOF* : (a) Necessity is obvious as  $P \subset C^\infty$ . Sufficiency can be proved easily using the Lemma 1 (a) and the fact that  $P$  is dense in  $C^\infty$ .

(b) Since  $C^\infty$  is dense in  $E$ , every continuous linear functional on  $E$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to  $C^\infty$ . This shows that  $j$  is one-one. The continuity of  $j$  can be proved using the closed graph theorem.

*Theorem 1*—Let  $P$  be dense in  $E$ . Then, for  $\mu \in M, f \in E$  and  $F \in E^*$ ,

(a)  $F^* f \in C$  and  $\| F^* f \|_\infty \leq \| F \|_{E_*} \| f \|_E$ .

(b)  $\mu^* F$ , defined for each  $u$  in  $E$  by  $\mu^* F(u) = \mu((F^* u)^{\vee\vee})$ , is a continuous linear functional on  $E$  and

$$\| \mu^* F \|_{E_*} \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| F \|_{E_*}.$$

(c)  $\mu^* f \in E$  and  $\| \mu^* f \|_E \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| f \|_E$ .

**PROOF :** (a) Since  $E^*$  can be thought of a Banach space of distributions  $F^* f$  is defined as a distribution [Edwards 1967, Vol. II, p. 73].

Let us define  $g(x) = F(T_x f)$  for all  $x$ .

Find  $\{u_n\} \subset P$  such that  $\| u_n - f \|_E \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now,  $F^* u_n(x) = F(T_x u_n)$  for all  $n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} | F^* u_n(x) - g(x) | &\leq \| F \|_{E_*} \| T_x(u_n - f) \|_E \\ &= \| F \|_{E_*} \| u_n - f \|_E \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x$ . Therefore

$$\| F^* u_n - g \|_\infty \leq \| F \|_{E_*} \| u_n - f \|_E.$$

The last expression tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $F^* f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^* u_n = g \in C$ .

(b) Clearly  $\mu^* F$  is a linear functional on  $E$ . Now for each  $u \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} | \mu^* F(u) | &= | \mu((F^* u)^{\vee\vee}) | \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| F^* u \|_\infty \\ &\leq \| \mu \|_1 \| F \|_{E_*} \| u \|_E \end{aligned}$$

by part (a). Hence

$$\| \mu^* F \|_{E_*} \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| F \|_{E_*}.$$

(c) First we note that, for any  $G \in E^*$ ,

$$G(\mu^* e_n) = G(\hat{\mu}(n) e_n) = \hat{\mu}(n) G(-n) = \mu^* G(e_n);$$

and hence, for any  $t \in P$ ,

$$| G(\mu^* t) | = | \mu^* G(t) | \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| G \|_{E_*} \| t \|_E.$$

Therefore  $\| \mu^* t \|_{E^{**}} \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| t \|_E$ . But  $\mu^* t \in PC E$ , hence

$$\| \mu^* t \|_E = \| \mu^* t \|_{E^{**}} \leq \| \mu \|_1 \| t \|_E.$$

Since  $P$  is dense in  $E$ ,  $t$  can be replaced by  $f$  in the above result.

## 3. HOMOGENEOUS BANACH SPACES OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Every Banach space of distributions satisfying the axioms (2.1) to (2.4) is a translation invariant  $BK$  space as defined in Goes (1976). So the Theorem 4.1 (ii) of Goes (1976) can be stated in the following way.

*Theorem 2* (Goes 1976) — If  $E$  is a Banach space of distributions, then  $E$  is homogeneous iff for every  $f \in E$ ,  $\sigma_n f \rightarrow f$  in  $E$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $E$  is homogeneous then, from the above theorem,  $P$  will be dense in  $E$ . The converse is also true. So we have the following :

*Theorem 3*—  $E$  is homogeneous iff  $P$  is dense in  $E$ .

**PROOF :** One part is clear from Theorem 2. Conversely, suppose  $P$  is dense in  $E$ . For any  $g \in P$ ,  $T_a g \rightarrow T_{a_0} g$  in  $C^\infty$  as  $a \rightarrow a_0$ , and therefore,  $T_a g \rightarrow T_{a_0} g$  in  $E$  as  $a \rightarrow a_0$ . Now, using the denseness of  $P$  and the translation invariance of the  $E$ -norm, we can easily show the above property for every  $g \in E$ . Hence  $E$  is homogeneous.

The following theorem is a simple consequence of the above theorem and Theorem 5 of Goes (1961). A proof is also supplied for the sake of completeness.

*Theorem 4* —  $E$  is homogeneous iff  $E$  and  $E^*$  are  $(C, 1)$ -complementary, i.e., for any  $f \in E$  and  $F \in E^*$ , the series  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{F}(k) \hat{f}(-k)$  is  $(C, 1)$  summable to  $F(f)$ .

**PROOF :** First suppose  $E$  is homogeneous  $f \in E$  and  $F \in E^*$ . By Theorem 2,  $\sigma_n f \rightarrow f$  in  $E$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $F(\sigma_n f) \rightarrow F(f)$ . But  $F(\sigma_n f)$  is nothing but the  $n$ th Cesaro sum of  $\sum \hat{F}(k) \hat{f}(-k)$ . Hence the given series is  $(C, 1)$  summable to  $F(f)$ .

Conversely, suppose that for all  $f \in E$  and  $F \in E^*$ ,  $\sum \hat{F}(k) \hat{f}(-k)$  is  $(C, 1)$  summable to  $F(f)$ , i. e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\sigma_n f) = F(f)$ . This means that  $\sigma_n f$  converges weakly to  $f$  in  $E$  for every  $f$  in  $E$ . Hence  $P$  is weakly dense in  $E$ . Since  $P$  is convex,  $P$  is strongly dense in  $E$  by (Rudin 1973). Hence, by Theorem 3,  $E$  is homogeneous.

*Corollary* — If  $E$  is homogeneous and reflexive, then  $E^*$  is also homogeneous.

**PROOF :** We just have to check that if  $E$  is homogeneous, i.e., if  $P$  is dense in  $E$ , then  $E^*$  is a Banach space of distributions satisfying the axioms (2.1) to (2.4) [see Lemma 2 (b) also]. The rest is easy.

If  $E$  is homogeneous, then, as remarked above,  $E^*$  can be thought of as a Banach space of distributions. In this case, the following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for any distribution to be in  $E^*$ .

*Theorem 5*—Let  $E$  be homogeneous. Then any distribution  $F$  is in  $E^*$  iff  $\sum_k \in Z \hat{F}(k) \hat{f}(-k)$  is  $(C, 1)$  summable for all  $f$  in  $E$ .

**PROOF :** One part is already proved in the previous theorem. To show the other part, let us suppose that the given series is  $(C, 1)$  summable, i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n F(f)$  exists for all  $f$  in  $E$ . But  $\sigma_n F$  is a bounded linear functional on  $E$  for each  $n$ . Hence, by the uniform boundedness principle, there exists a number  $A$  such that  $\|\sigma_n F\|_{E^*} \leq A$  for all  $n$ . Define  $G$  on  $E$  by  $G(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n F(f)$ . Then  $G$  is a continuous linear functional on  $E$  with  $\|G\|_{E^*} \leq A$ . Now we can easily check that  $\hat{G}(k) = \hat{F}(k)$  for all  $k \in Z$ . Hence  $G = F$  and therefore  $F \in E^*$ .

4. CONVOLUTION AND TRANSLATION

*Theorem 6*—Let  $E$  be a homogeneous Banach space of distributions and  $g \in E$ . If  $\mu \in M$ , then  $\mu^* g$  is the limit in  $E$  of finite linear combinations of translates of  $g$ .

**PROOF :** Denote by  $\bar{V}_g$  the closed linear subspace of  $E$  generated by  $T_a g$  where  $a$  is any real number. We shall first prove the above theorem for  $f \in L^1$  instead of  $\mu$ . Let  $S$  denote the set of those  $f \in L^1$  for which  $f^* g \in \bar{V}_g$ . Clearly  $S$  is a linear subspace of  $L^1$ ; it is closed by Theorem 1.

Take  $f = \chi_{[a,b]}$  where  $0 < a < b < 2\pi$ . Let  $I = [a, b]$ . Since  $E$  is homogeneous, the vector valued integral  $\int_I T_y g \, dy$  is defined and belongs to  $E$ . Comparing the Fourier coefficients we can easily check that

$$f^* g = \chi_I^* g = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I T_y g \, dy.$$

Partition  $I$  by a finite number of subintervals  $I_k$  whose lengths  $|I_k|$  are majorized by a number  $\delta$  to be chosen later. Choose and fix a point  $a_k$  in each  $I_k$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} f^* g - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum |I_k| T_{a_k} g &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I T_y g \, dy - \sum \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{I_k} T_{a_k} g \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum h_k \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_k = \int_{I_k} (T_y g - T_{a_k} g) \, dy$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta > 0$  be such that

$$|y - a_k| < \delta \Rightarrow \|T_y g - T_{a_k} g\|_E < \epsilon.$$

Then  $\|h_k\|_E \leq |I_k| \epsilon$  for each  $k$ .

Hence  $\|f^* g - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum |I_k| T_{a_k} g\|_E \leq \epsilon |I| / 2\pi$ .

Therefore  $f^* g \in \bar{V}_g$  and hence  $f \in S$  where  $f = \chi_I$ . As finite linear combinations of such functions are dense in  $L^1$  and  $S$  is a closed linear subspace of  $L^1$ , we can infer that  $S = L^1$ .

Now  $\sigma_n \mu \in L^1$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\sigma_n \mu^* g \in \bar{V}_g$  for all  $n$ .

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n \mu^* g - \mu^* g\|_E &= \|\mu^* (\sigma_n g - g)\|_E \leq \|\mu\|_1 \|\sigma_n g - g\|_E \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu^* g \in \bar{V}_g$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Edwards, R. E. (1967). *Fourier Series, Vols. I and II*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York.
- Goes, G. (1961). Complementary space of Fourier coefficients, convolutions, and generalized matrix transformations and operators between *BK*-spaces. *J. Math. Mech.*, **10**, 135-58.
- (1976). Generalizations of theorems of Fejer and Zygmund on convergence and boundedness of conjugate series. *Studia Mathematica*, **57**, 241-49.
- Rudin, W. (1973). *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York.
- Zygmund A. (1968). *Trigonometric Series, Vols. I and II* second edition. Cambridge University Press, New York.