

FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON COMPLETE AND COMPACT SPACES

I. H. N. RAO AND K. P. R. RAO*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Andhra University, Waltair 530003

(Received 2 November 1983)

In this paper, a slight variant of a fixed point result of Fisher is proved using the techniques of Shih and Yeh. Also a result of Chatterjee is extended to a sequence of self maps on a complete metric space.

Recently Mukherjee (1981) proved the following :

Theorem 1 (Th. 2, Mukherjee (1981))—Let f and g be two self maps on a compact metric space (X, d) such that $fg = gf$, $g(X) \subset f(X)$, f is continuous and for $fx \neq fy$

$$d(gx, gy) < a_1 d(gx, fx) + a_2 d(gy, fy) + a_3 d(gx, fy) + a_4 d(gy, fx) \\ + a_5 d(fx, fy)$$

where $a_i \geq 0$ with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5 = 1$.

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Unfortunately, it is not valid in view of the following :

Example 2 — Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the usual metric. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $f = I$ (Identity map) and $g0 = g2 = 1, g1 = 0$.

Example 2 also shows that (Th. 4 of Mukherjee (1981)) is also not valid.

Fisher (1981) proved a theorem related to the above.

Theorem 3 (Fisher 1981, Th. 5)—Let f and g be two self maps on a compact metric space (X, d) such that $gf = fg$, $g(X) \subset f(X)$, f, g are continuous and

$$d(gx, gy) < \text{Max} \{d(fx, fy), d(fx, gx), d(fy, gy), d(fx, gy), d(fy, gx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for which the R. H. S. > 0 .

* Research supported by U.G.C.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Now we prove a slight variant of the above by using the technique of Shih and Yeh (1982).

Theorem 4—Let (X, τ) be a nonempty compact Hausdorff space, $F: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous and $F(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be such that $fg = gf$; for some positive integers i, j, p, q we have $f^i g^j$ is continuous and

$$F(g^p x, g^q y) < \delta \{hx, hy \mid h \in \mathcal{F}\} \text{ whenever } g^p x \neq g^q y \quad \dots(4.1)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the semi group of self maps on X generated by f and g and for any subset A of X , $\delta(A) = \sup \{F(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}$.

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point, say, $z \in X$ and for any $x \in X$, the sequences $\{F((fg)^n x, z)\}$ and $\{F(z, (fg)^n x)\}$ converge to zero.

PROOF: Let $H = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (f^i g^j)^n X$.

Clearly $\{f^i g^j)^n X\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact subsets of X and hence H is a nonempty compact set.

Now we will show that $f^i g^j H = H$.

Since $H \subseteq (f^i g^j)^n X$ for all n , we have $f^i g^j H \subseteq (f^i g^j)^{n+1} X$ for all n so that $f^i g^j H \subseteq H$.

Let $x \in H$. Then $x \in (f^i g^j)^{n+1} X$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, there exists $x_n \in (f^i g^j)^n X$ such that $f^i g^j x_n = x$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Since $\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\} \subseteq (f^i g^j)^n X$ and $(f^i g^j)^n X$ is compact, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ with limit, say, p . Since $(f^i g^j)^n X$ is closed (being a compact subset of Hausdorff space), follows that $p \in (f^i g^j)^n X$ for each n so that $p \in H$. Since $f^i g^j$ is continuous, we have $f^i g^j p = x$. Hence $H \subseteq f^i g^j H$. Thus $f^i g^j H = H$. Clearly $fH \subseteq H, gH \subseteq H$. Also $H = f^i g^j H \subseteq fgH \subseteq gH \subseteq H$ so that $gH = H$. Similarly $fH = H$. Therefore $g^p H = H = g^q H$.

Now, there exist $z_1, z_2 \in H$ such that

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \delta(H) = \text{Sup} \{F(x, y) \mid x, y \in H\}.$$

Also there exist $x_1, x_2 \in H$ such that $z_1 = g^p x_1, z_2 = g^q x_2$.

Suppose $z_1 \neq z_2$. By (4.1),

$\delta(H) = F(z_1, z_2) = F(g^p x_1, g^q x_2) < \delta\{hx_1, hx_2 \mid h \in \mathcal{F}\} \leq \delta(H)$, a contradiction. Hence $z_1 = z_2$. Therefore $\delta(H) = 0$.

Clearly, as above, $x, y \in H$ with $F(x, y) = \delta(H)$ implies $x = y$.

Hence H is a singleton, say, z .

Therefore $fx = z = gx$ since $fH = H = gH$.

Since every common fixed point of f and g is a point of H and $H = \{z\}$,

it follows that z is the only common fixed point of f and g .

Now we will show that for any $x \in X$, $F((fg)^n x, z) \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose for some $x \in X$, $F((fg)^n x, z) \not\rightarrow 0$.

Then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $F((fg)^{n_k} x, z) > \epsilon$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Since X is compact, the sequence $\{(fg)^{n_k} x\}$ has a convergent subsequence with the limit, say, y . Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$(fg)^{n_k} x \rightarrow y. \text{ Also assume } i \leq j$$

For any positive integer m , $n_k \geq jm$ for sufficiently large k ; hence we have $(fg)^{n_k} X \subseteq (f'g^j)^m X$ for sufficiently large k .

Since $(f'g^j)^m X$ is closed and the sequence $\{(fg)^{n_k} x\}$ converges to y , it follows that $y \in (f'g^j)^m X$. This is true for each n . Hence $y \in H$. Further $F(y, z) \geq \epsilon$. Therefore $y \neq z$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $H = \{z\}$. The remaining follows similarly.

Chatterjee (1979) recently proved the following:

Theorem 5 (Chatterjee (1979) Th. 2)—Let T be a self map on a complete metric space (X, d) such that for any non-negative integer p ,

$$d(T^{p+1}x, T^{p+2}y) \leq \alpha d(T^{p+1}y, T^{p+2}y) \frac{[1 + d(T^p x, T^{p+1}x)]}{[1 + d(T^p x, T^{p+1}y)]} + \beta d(T^p x, T^{p+1}y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$.

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Massa Silvio in his review on Chatterjee's paper (1981) (see Mathematical Reviews No. 80e, 54055) showed that the result is false if $p > 0$ in view of the following :

Example 6 —Let $X = [0, 1]$ with the usual metric, $Tx = x/2$ for $x \neq 0$,

$$T0 = 1. \text{ Here } p = 1, \alpha = 0, \beta = \frac{1}{2}.$$

However we extend the above theorem for a sequence of self maps with a suitable modification.

Theorem 7— Let $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of self maps on a complete metric space (X, d) and $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$ for all non-negative integers i and j . For $i = 1, 2, \dots$

$$d(T_i^{p+1} x, T_0^{2p+2} y) \leq \alpha d(T_0^{p+1} y, T_0^{2p+2} y) \frac{[1 + d(x, T_1^{p+1} x)]}{[1 + d(x, T_0^{p+1} y)]} + \beta d(x, T_0^{p+1} y) \dots(7.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Also assume that for any $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_{2n-1} = T_0^{p+1} x_{2n-2} \text{ and } x_{2n} = T_n^{p+1} x_{2n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ is decreasing}$$

i. e. $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1})$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Then $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ has a unique common fixed point, say, z and z is the only fixed point of each $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

PROOF : We first prove the theorem for $p = 0$. For $p = 0$, we have

$$(I) d(T_i x, T_0^2 y) \leq \alpha d(T_0 y, T_0^2 y) \frac{[1 + d(x, T_i x)]}{[1 + d(x, T_0 y)]} + \beta d(x, T_0 x)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x_{2n-1} = T_0 x_{2n-2}, x_{2n} = T_n x_{2n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ with

$$(II) d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

Now using (I) to $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$ we get that

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).$$

By (I) and (II), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) &= d(T_n x_{2n-1}, T_0^2 T_{n-1} x_{2n-2}) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}) \frac{[1 + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})]}{[1 + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2})]} + \beta d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) \\
 &\leq (\alpha + \beta) d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq k d(x_n, x_{n-1})$ where $k = \max \left\{ \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}, \alpha + \beta \right\} < 1$.

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Since X is complete, there exists a $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying (I) to $d(T_i z, x_{2n+1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We get that $T_i z = z$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Consider.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T_0 z, T_0^2 z) &= d(T_0 T_i z, T_0^2 z) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots \\
 &= d(T_i T_0 z, T_0^2 z) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(T_0 z, T_0^2 z) \frac{[1 + d(T_0 z, T_i T_0 z)]}{[1 + d(T_0 z, T_0^2 z)]} + \beta d(T_0 z, T_0^2 z)
 \end{aligned}$$

i. e. $d(T_0 z, T_0^2 z) \leq \alpha d(T_0 z, T_0^2 z)$ which implies that $T_0^2 z = T_0 z$.

Now applying (I) to $d(T_1 z, T_0^2 z)$ we get that $T_0 z = z$.

Thus z is a common fixed point of $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$. Uniqueness of common fixed point follows easily by (I).

Since every fixed point of T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) is a fixed point of T_0 and vice versa, we conclude that z is the only fixed point of each of $T_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Suppose p is a positive integer.

Then put $T_i^{p+1} x = S_i x$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $T_0^{p+1} x = S_0 x$ for all x .

Then we have for $i = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$d(S_i x, S_0^2 y) \leq \alpha d(S_0 y, S_0^2 y) \frac{[1 + d(x, S_i x)]}{[1 + d(x, S_0 y)]} + \beta d(x, S_0 y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $x_{2n-1} = S_0 x_{2n-2}, x_{2n} = S_n x_{2n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

By proceeding as above, we conclude that $\{S_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ has a unique common fixed point, say w and w is the only fixed point of each S_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now, $S_i T_i w = T_i^{p+1} T_i w = T_i T_i^{p+1} w = T_i S_i w = T_i w$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Thus $T_i w$ is a fixed point of S_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By the uniqueness of fixed point of S_i , we conclude that $T_i w = w$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Thus the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- Chatterjee, H. (1979). On generalization of Banach contraction principle. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **10**, 400-403.
- Fisher, B. (1981). Common fixed points of commuting mappings. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Scinca*, **9**, 399-406.
- Mukherjee, R. N. (1981) Common fixed points of some nonlinear mappings. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **12**, 930-33.
- Shih, Mau Hsiang and Yeh, C. C. (1982) On fixed point theorems of contractive type. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **85**, 465-68.