

ON SOME RESULTS ON FIXED POINTS IN METRIC AND BANACH SPACES

by BARADA K. RAY and HOIMABATI CHATTERJEE, *Regional Engineering College, Durgapur 713209*

(Received 1 July 1976)

In this paper, a few theorems on fixed points have been presented, which give a few known results as corollaries. By taking a continuous function F in place of a metric d , more general results on fixed points have been obtained.

§ 1. Let (X, d) denote a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a contraction, if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where k is a real number, such that $0 \leq k < 1$. The well-known Banach contraction principle states that a contraction mapping of a complete metric space has a unique fixed point.

A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be contractive, if $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y (x \neq y)$ in X .

A contractive mapping on a complete metric space need not have a fixed point. However, if X is compact and T is contractive, then T has a unique fixed point.

The aim of this paper is to prove a few theorems on fixed points. A few known results can be obtained as particular cases of our theorems.

Theorem 1—Let X be a Hausdorff space and let T_1 and T_2 be two continuous mappings of X into itself. Let F be a continuous symmetric mapping of $X \times X$ into the set of non-negative reals, such that $F(x, y) = 0$ for $x = y$ and

$$F(T_1^p x, T_2^q y) < a_1 F(x, y) + a_2 F(x, T_1^p x) + a_3 F(y, T_2^q y) \quad \dots(1.1.1)$$

for every two distinct $x, y \in X$, where $p > 0, q > 0$ are integers and a_1, a_2, a_3 are non-negative real numbers, such that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$.

If for some $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ consisting of points

$$x_{2n+1} = T_1^p x_{2n}, \quad x_{2n+2} = T_2^q x_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

has a convergent subsequence, then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

PROOF: We have a monotone sequence of positive real numbers

$$F(x_0, x_1) > F(x_1, x_2) > \dots > F(x_n, x_{n+1}) > \dots$$

which must converge to some real number λ . Since $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ in X , which converges to some $\xi \in X$, we may put $\xi = \lim x_{n_k}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\xi, T_1^p \xi) &= F(\lim x_{2n_k}, T_1^p \lim x_{2n_k}) \\
 &= F(\lim x_{2n_k}, \lim T_1^p x_{2n_k}) \\
 &= F(\lim x_{2n_k}, \lim x_{2n_{k+1}}) \\
 &= \lim F(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_{k+1}}) \\
 &= \lim F(x_{2n_{k+1}}, x_{2(n_{k+1})}) \\
 &= F(T_1^p \xi, T_2^q T_1^p \xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

But if $\xi \neq T_1^p \xi$, then from (1.1.1), it follows

$$F(\xi, T_1^p \xi) > F(T_1^q \xi, T_2^q T_1^p \xi).$$

Thus, if $\xi = T_1^p \xi$, then $F(\xi, T_1^p \xi) = F(T_1^p \xi, T_2^q T_1^p \xi) < F(\xi, T_1^p \xi)$, which gives a contradiction. Hence, $\xi = T_1^p \xi$.

Similarly, $\xi = T_2^q \xi$.

If $\eta (\eta \neq \xi)$ were another fixed point of T_1^p and T_2^q , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\xi, \eta) &= F(T_1^p \xi, T_2^q \eta) \\
 &< a_1 F(\xi, \eta) + a_2 F(\xi, T_1^p \xi) + a_3 F(\eta, T_2^q \eta) \\
 &= a_1 F(\xi, \eta),
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Hence, ξ is the unique common fixed point of T_1^p and T_2^q . Now, we show that ξ is a fixed point of T_1 and T_2 .

We have $T_1^p T_1 \xi = T_1^{p+1} \xi = T_1 T_1^p \xi = T_1 \xi$ and by the uniqueness of ξ , it follows that $T_1 \xi = \xi$. Similarly, $T_2 \xi = \xi$.

Moreover, ξ is the only fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Suppose, ζ is another common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\xi, \zeta) &= F(T_1 \xi, T_2 \zeta) \\
 &= F(T_1^p \xi, T_2^q \zeta) \\
 &< a_1 F(\xi, \zeta) + a_2 F(\xi, T_1^p \xi) + a_3 F(\zeta, T_2^q \zeta),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\xi = \zeta$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 1.—Let X a metric space with metric d and $T_1 : X \rightarrow X, T_2 : X \rightarrow X$ be such that for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y, d(T_1^p x, T_2^q y) < a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, T_1^p x) + a_3 d(y, T_2^q y)$ where p, q, a_1, a_2, a_3 are the same as in Theorem 1. If for some

$x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ consisting of points $x_{2n+1} = T_1^p x_{2n}$, $x_{2(n+1)} = T_2^q x_{2n+1}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, has convergent subsequence, then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point. (T_1, T_2 are assumed to be continuous).

PROOF: All assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with d playing the role of F .

Taking $p = q = 1$, $T_1 = T_2 = T$, $d = F$, $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, we get the following result due to Edelstein (1962) as a corollary to our Theorem 1.

Corollary 2—If X is a metric space, such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y) \text{ for } x \neq y \in X$$

and if for some $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ where $x_n = T^n x_0$, has a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converging to a point $\xi \in X$, then ξ is the unique fixed point of T .

§2. Let X denote a metric space and A , a bounded subset of X . Following Kuratowski (1952), we denote by $\alpha(A)$ the infimum of all $r > 0$, such that A admits a finite converging with subsets of diameter less than r . We shall use the following properties of the number α :

1. $\alpha(A) = 0$, if only if A is precompact. For this reason, $\alpha(A)$ is called the measure of noncompactness of A .
2. $\alpha(A \cup B) = \max\{\alpha(A), \alpha(B)\}$, $A \subset X, B \subset X$,
3. $\alpha(A) = 0$ and X complete imply A is compact.
4. If A is compact, then $\alpha(A) = 0$.
5. If \bar{A} is the closure of A , then

$$\alpha(\bar{A}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha(A) = 0 \text{ (Szufia 1968).}$$

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be continuous.

If $\alpha(TA) < \alpha(A)$ for any bounded and non-precompact subset $A \subset X$, then T is called condensing (Sadovaski 1972).

Theorem 2.1—Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $F : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a condensing mapping of X into itself, such that

$$F(Tx, Ty) < a_1 F(x, y) + a_2 F(x, Tx) + a_3 F(y, Ty)$$

for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$ and for non-negative real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3 with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$.

If for some $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ is bounded, then T has a unique fixed point.

PROOF: Let $A = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n x_0$ and let \bar{A} be the closure of A . We shall show that \bar{A} is compact, which, by the completeness of X , will be true if $\alpha(\bar{A}) = 0$.

We suppose $\alpha(\bar{A}) > 0$ or equivalently $\alpha(A) > 0$.

Then, $\alpha(TA) < \alpha(A)$, since T is condensing.

Now, $TA = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$. Hence, $A = \{x_0\} \cup TA$ and $TA \subset A$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A) &= \max \{ \alpha \{x_0\}, \alpha(TA) \} \\ &= \alpha(TA). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction gives $\alpha(\bar{A}) = 0$ and hence \bar{A} is compact.

Now, by the continuity of T , we get $T(\bar{A}) \subset \overline{T(\bar{A})} \subset \bar{A}$. So, the space \bar{A} with $T: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ now satisfies all the axioms of Theorem 1 (with $T_1 = T_2 = T$ and $p = q = 1$), and therefore, there is a fixed point $\xi \in \bar{A}$ and from (1.1.1) [with $T_1 = T_2 = T, p = q = 1$] it follows that ξ is unique.

§3. Let X denote a Banach space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be asymptotically regular at $x_0 \in X$ if $\|T^n x_0 - T^{n+1} x_0\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $T^n x_0$ is defined for all n . Recently, Petryshyn (1973) proved the following theorem.

Theorem A—Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space; K , a subset of X ; T , a non-expansive mapping (i.e., $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| \forall x, y \in K$) of K into itself, such that the set of fixed points of T , viz., $F(T)$ is not empty. If there exists an x_0 in K and a λ in $(0, 1)$, such that $T^n_\lambda x_0$ is defined and lies in K for each $n \geq 1$ where $T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1 - \lambda)T$, then T_λ is asymptotically regular at x_0 .

In this section, we extend the above theorems

Theorem 3.1—Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space; K , a subset of X , T , a mapping of K into itself, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq a_1 \|x - y\| + a_2 [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|] \\ &+ a_3 [\|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\|] \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.1}$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and for non-negative real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3 with $a_1 + 2a_2 + 2a_3 \leq 1$ and such that $F(T) \neq \phi$. If there exists an x_0 in K and constants $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_i \geq 0, \alpha_1 > 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1$, such that $S^n(x_0)$ is defined and lies in K for each $n \geq 1$, where

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i T^i, I = T^0, \text{ then } \|S^n x_0 - S^{n+1} x_0\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e., S is asymptotically regular at x_0 .

PROOF: Let $p \in F(T)$ and let x_0 be an element in K and let $\alpha_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, n), \alpha_i \geq 0, \alpha_1 > 0, \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1$ be such that $x_n = S^n x_0 \in K$ for each $n \geq 1$. It is obvious that $F(T) = F(S)$. So, $F(S) \neq F(T) \neq \phi$ and for all $x \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - p &= S(x_n) - p \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i T^i(x_n) - p, T^0 = I \\ &= \alpha_0(x_n - p) + (1 - \alpha_0)z_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_n = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (T^i(x_n) - p).$$

Since $\|T^i(x_n) - p\| = \|T^i(x_n) - T^i p\|$, it follows from (3.1.1) that

$$\|T^i(x_n) - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|,$$

and since

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i = 1,$$

it follows that

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| = \|S(x_n) - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$$

and, therefore, $\|x_n - p\| \rightarrow d_0$ for some $d_0 \geq 0$. If $d_0 = 0$, then $x_n \rightarrow p$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so in this case

$$x_n - x_{n+1} = S^n x_0 - S^{n+1} x_0 \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e., S is asymptotically regular at x_0 . Now, suppose that $d_0 > 0$. Since $\|x_n - p\| \rightarrow d_0$, $\|S(x_n) - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $\|S(x_n) - p\| = \|x_{n+1} - p\| \rightarrow d_0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$; it follows from the uniform convexity of X that

$$\|(x_n - p) - (S(x_n) - p)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

i.e.,

$$\|x_n - S(x_n)\| = \|S^n x_0 - S^{n+1} x_0\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark—Theorem A of Petryshyn and Williamson (1973, p. 475) follows from our Theorem 3.1 by taking $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$, $\alpha_0 = \lambda$.

REFERENCES

- Edelstein, M. (1962). On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **37**, 74-79.
 Furi, M., and Vignoli, A. (1969). Fixed points for densifying mappings. *Rend. Acad. Nag. Lincei*, **47** (6), 1-3.

- Kirk, W. A. (1971). On successive approximations for non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Glasg. math. J.*, **12**, 6-9.
- Kuratowski, C. (1952). *Topology*, **1**, 318.
- Petryshyn, W. V., and Williamson, T. E. (Jr.) (1973). Strong and weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for quasi-non-expansive mappings. *J. Math. anal. appl.*, **43** (2), 459-97.
- Ray, B. (1976). Contraction mappings and fixed points. *Colloquium math.*, **35** (2) (to appear).
- Sadovskii, B. N. (1972). Limit compact and condensing mappings. *Usp. mat. Nauk.*, **27**, 81-145.
- Szuffla, A. (1968). On the existence of solutions of an ordinary differential equation in the case of Banach space. *Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci.*, **16** (14), 311-16.