

# A GENERALIZATION OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF POLYNOMIALS

R. C. SINGH CHANDEL

Department of Mathematics, D.V. Post Graduate College, Orai 285001 (U.P.)

AND

S. K. BHARGAVA

Department of Mathematics, S.P.I.I. College, Jhansi 284001

(Received 22 February 1980; after revision 7 July 1980)

In this paper we shall study a generalization of certain classes of polynomials by defining a Rodrigues' formula with the help of the operator  $T_{a,k}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Joshi and Prajapat (1975, 1977) have studied the class of polynomials defined by

$$M_{v_n}^{(\alpha)}(x, a, k) = \frac{1}{n!} x^{-\alpha-nk} e^{p_v(x)} T_{a,k}^n \{x^\alpha e^{-p_v(x)}\} \quad \dots(1.1)$$

where  $T_{a,k} = x^k(a + xD)$ . It is clear that (1.1) may be regarded as the generalization of several systems of polynomials introduced earlier.

Recently, Chandel (1974) studied a generalization of several polynomial systems defined by

$$G_n(h, g, k) = e^{-hg} \Omega_x^n e^{hg}, \quad \Omega_x \equiv x^k \frac{d}{dx} \quad \dots(1.2)$$

where  $h$  and  $k$  are constants and  $g$  is function of  $x$ .

To unify the study of two general classes defined by (1.1) and (1.2) here we introduce the polynomials  $G_n(a, k; h, g(x))$  defined by

$$G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) = e^{-hg(x)} T_{a,k}^n (e^{hg(x)}) \quad \dots(1.3)$$

where  $h$  is constant and  $g(x)$  is differentiable function of  $x$ .

For  $h = 1$  and  $g(x) = \alpha \log_e x - p_v(x)$ ,  $x > 0$  (1.3) is related to (1.1) by

$$G_n(a, k; 1, \alpha \log_e x - p_v(x)) = n! x^{kn} M_{v_n}^{(\alpha)}(x, a, k) \quad \dots(1.4)$$

while for  $a = 0$  and replacing  $k$  by  $k - 1$  in (1.3), (1.3) is connected to (1.2) by

$$G_n(0, k - 1; h, g(x)) = G_n(h, g, k). \quad \dots(1.5)$$

Here we state the following known results which will be used in the paper:

$$F(T_{a,k}) \{e^{\sigma(x)} f(x)\} = e^{\sigma(x)} F(T_{a,k} + x^{k+1}g'(x)) f(x) \quad \dots(1.6)$$

$$T_{a,k}^n \{x^\lambda uv\} = x^\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{r} T_{a,k}^{n-r}(v) T_{a,k}^r(u) \quad \dots(1.7)$$

$$T_{a,k}^n = x^{kn} \prod_{j=1}^n \{xD + a + (j - 1)k\} \quad \dots(1.8)$$

$$e^{tT_{a,k}} \{x^\alpha f(x)\} = \frac{x^\alpha}{(1 - x^k kt)^{(\alpha+a)/k}} f\left[\frac{x}{(1 - x^k kt)^{1/k}}\right] \quad \dots(1.9)$$

$$a^\delta f(x) = f(ax), \delta \equiv xD \quad \dots(1.10)$$

$$(1 + t)^{-\delta-\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{n!} (\delta + \alpha)_n f(x) \quad \dots(1.11)$$

$$(1 + t)^{-\delta-\alpha} = (1 + t)^{-\delta} (1 + t)^{-\alpha}. \quad \dots(1.12)$$

2. SOME OPERATIONAL FORMULAE

From (1.6) and (1.3) we get for  $f(x) = 1$

$$G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) = (T_{a,k} + x^{k+1}hg'(x))^n. 1. \quad \dots(2.1)$$

For brevity, let

$$T_{a,k} + x^{k+1}hg'(x) = \mathcal{D}. \quad \dots(2.2)$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{D}^n \{f(x)\} = e^{-hg(x)} T_{a,k}^n (e^{hg(x)} f(x)) \quad \dots(2.3)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^n \{1\} = G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \quad \dots(2.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}G_{n-1}(a, k; h, g(x)) = G_n(a, k; h, g(x)). \quad \dots(2.5)$$

Again, using (2.3) and (1.7) we get

$$\mathcal{D}^n \{uv\} = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} T_{a,k}^m(u) \mathcal{D}^{n-m}(v) \quad \dots(2.6)$$

which, for  $v = 1$ , gives

$$\mathcal{D}^n(u) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \mathcal{D}^{n-m}(1) T_{a,k}^m(u) \quad \dots(2.7)$$

hence

$$\mathcal{D}^n = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \mathcal{D}^{n-m}(1) T_{a,k}^m. \tag{2.8}$$

Also

$$\mathcal{D}^n = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x)) T_{a,k}^m. \tag{2.9}$$

Further, since

$$\mathcal{D}^{n+m} = \mathcal{D}^n \cdot \mathcal{D}^m \tag{2.10}$$

we have

$$G_{n+m}(a, k; h, g(x)) = \mathcal{D}^m G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) = \mathcal{D}^n G_m(a, k; h, g(x)) \tag{2.11}$$

which, on using (2.9), gives

$$G_{n+m}(a, k; h, g(x)) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_{n-j}(a, k; h, g(x)) T_{a,k}^j \{G_m(a, k; h, g(x))\} \tag{2.12}$$

An appeal to (1.7) and (2.4) shows that

$$T_{a,k}^n \{G_m(a, k; h, g(x))\} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_{n-j}(a, k; -h, g(x)) \times G_{m+j}(a, k; h, g(x)); \tag{2.13}$$

if  $m = 0$ , then

$$T_{a,k}^n \{1\} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_{n-j}(a, k; -h, g(x)) \mathcal{D}^j \{1\} \tag{2.14}$$

from which we get the following results :

$$T_{a,k}^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_{n-j}(a, k; -h, g(x)) \mathcal{D}^j \tag{2.15}$$

$$\lambda F_p \left[ \begin{matrix} (a_\lambda); \\ (b_\mu); \end{matrix} t \mathcal{D} \right] \{G_m(a, k; h, g(x))\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} (a_j)_n t^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{\mu} (b_j)_n} G_{n+m}(a, k; h, g(x)) \tag{2.16}$$

$$(1 - t\mathcal{D})^{-1} \{1\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) t^n; |t| \leq 1 \quad \dots(2.17)$$

$$(1 + t\mathcal{D})^n \{1\} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_j(a, k; h, g(x)) t^j \quad \dots(2.18)$$

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(t\mathcal{D}) \{1\} = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(1 + \alpha)_n}{n!} \binom{n}{j} \frac{(-1)^j t^j}{(1 + \alpha)^j} G_j(a, k; h, g(x)) \quad \dots(2.19)$$

and

$$(t + \mathcal{D})^n \{1\} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_{n-j}(a, k; h, g(x)) t^j. \quad \dots(2.20)$$

Several other results can also be obtained as particular cases of (2.14).

### 3. GENERATING FUNCTIONS AND RECURRENCE RELATIONS

By definition (1.3), we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} (a_j)_n t^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{\mu} (b_j)_n n!} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) = e^{-hg(x)} \lambda F_{\mu} \left[ \begin{matrix} (a_{\lambda}); \\ (b_{\mu}); \end{matrix} tT_{a,k} \right] e^{hg(x)} \quad \dots(3.1)$$

which, for  $\lambda = \mu, a_j = b_j, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \lambda$  or  $\mu$ , reduces to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{-hg(x)} e^{tT_{a,k}} \{e^{hg(x)}\}. \quad \dots(3.2)$$

Now by an appeal to (1.9), the above result gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= (1 - x^k t)^{-a/k} \\ &\times \exp [h \{g \{x(1 - x^k t)^{-1/k}\} - g(x)\}] \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.3)$$

in which, replacing  $t$  by  $\frac{t}{kx^k}$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n G_n(a, k; h, g(x))}{n! k^n x^{kn}} = (1 - t)^{-a/k} \exp [h \{g \{x(1 - t)^{-1/k}\} - g(x)\}]. \quad \dots(3.4)$$

This result can also be obtained by using (1.10), (1.11) and (1.12) in the following way:

By an appeal to (1.8), (1.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \frac{t^n}{n! x^{nk}} \\ &= e^{-hg(x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (\delta + a + jk) \{e^{hg(x)}\} \\ &= e^{-hg(x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(kt)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{\delta + a}{k}\right)_n \{e^{hg(x)}\} \\ &= e^{-hg(x)} (1 - kt)^{-a/k} [(1 - kt)^{-1/k}]_n \{e^{hg(x)}\} \\ &= e^{-hg(x)} (1 - kt)^{-a/k} e^{hg} \{x(1 - kt)^{-1/k}\} \\ &= (1 - kt)^{-a/k} \exp [h \{g \{x(1 - kt)^{-1/k}\} - g(x)\}], \end{aligned}$$

which on replacing  $t$  by  $t/k$  ultimately gives (3.4).

In a similar manner, considering

$$G_{n+m}(a, k; h, g(x)) = e^{-hg(x)} T_{a, k}^{n+m} e^{hg(x)}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{n+m}(a, k; h, g(x)) t^n}{x^{kn} n!} &= (1 - kt)^{-a/k} \exp [h \{g \{x(1 - kt)^{-1/k}\} - g(x)\}] \\ &\times G_m(a, k; h, g \{x(1 - kt)^{-1/k}\}) \quad \dots(3.5) \end{aligned}$$

which on replacing  $t$  by  $t/k$ , gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_{n+m}(a, k; h, g(x)) t^n}{k^n x^{kn} n!} &= (1 - t)^{-a/k} \exp [h \{g \{x(1 - t)^{-1/k}\} - g(x)\}] \\ &\times G_m(a, k; h, g \{x(1 - t)^{-1/k}\}). \quad \dots(3.6) \end{aligned}$$

For  $m = 0$  it yields (3.4).

Let  $\phi_n(y)$  be polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $y$  given by

$$\phi_n(y) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \mu_j y^j \quad \dots(3.7)$$

where  $\mu_j \neq 0$  are arbitrary constants, therefore in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \phi_n(y) t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \frac{1}{n!} \times \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \mu_j y^j t^n$$

following Srivastava and Singhal (1971) and making an appeal to (3.6) we get a bilateral generating function

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \phi_n(y) t^n &= (1 - tkx^k)^{-a/k} \exp [h \{g \{x(1 - tkx^k)^{-1/k}\} - g(x)\}] \\ &\times F(x(1 - tkx^k)^{-1/k}, yt) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.8)$$

where

$$F(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \mu_j G_j(a, k; h, g(x)) t^j. \quad \dots(3.9)$$

Starting with the generating relation (3.4) we derive

$$G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left(\frac{a-b}{k}\right)_m \binom{n}{m} (kx)^{mk} G_{n-m}(b, k; h, g(x)). \quad \dots(3.10)$$

For  $m = 1$  (2.11) gives a recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \{a + xhg'(x)\} x^k G_n(a, k; h, g(x)) &= G_{n+1}(a, k; h, g(x)) - x^{k+1} DG_n(a, k; h, g(x)). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.11)$$

#### 4. AN EXTENSION OF (2.9) AND (2.15)

From (2.9) we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{h, g(x)} = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x)) T_{a,k}^m$$

and from (2.15), we have  $T_{a,k}^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} G_{n-j}(a, k; -h, g(x)) \frac{\mathcal{D}}{h, g(x)}^j$ . Now combining above two results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{h, g(x)}{\mathcal{D}}^n &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x)) \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} G_{m-j}(a, k; -l, f(x)) \underset{l, f(x)}{\mathcal{D}}^j \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x)) \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} G_{m-j}(a, k; -l, g(x)) \underset{l, g(x)}{\mathcal{D}}^j \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x)) \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} G_{m-j}(a, k; -h, f(x)) \underset{h, f(x)}{\mathcal{D}}^j \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{h, g(x)}{\mathcal{D}}^n &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x)) \\ &\times \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} G_{m-j}(a, k; h, -f(x)) \underset{h, f(x)}{\mathcal{D}}^j \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.4)$$

5. A WRONSKIAN FOR  $G_n(a, k; h, g(x))$

Recently, Chandel (1974) gave a Wronskian for  $G_n(h, g; k)$ . On the same lines we can also derive the following Wronskian for  $G_n(a, k; h, g(x))$ .

*Theorem* — If

$$\sigma_n(a, k; h, g(x)) = \text{the det. of } [G_{n+i-j}(a, k; h, g(x))], \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq n \quad \dots(5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W \{G_n(a, k; h, g(x)), G_{n-1}(a, k; h, g(x)), \dots, G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x))\} \\ = \text{the det. of } [T_{a, k}^i G_{n-j}(a, k; h, g(x))], \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq m \end{aligned} \quad \dots(5.2)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(a, k; h, g(x)) \\ = W \{G_n(a, k; h, g(x)), G_{n-1}(a, k; h, g(x)), \dots, G_{n-m}(a, k; h, g(x))\}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(5.3)$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- Chandel, R. C. Singh (1974). A further generalization of the class of polynomials  $T_n^{a,k}(x, r, p)$ . *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **14**, 45-54.
- Joshi, C. M., and Prajapat, M. L. (1975). The operator  $T_{k,q}$  and a generalization of certain classical polynomials. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **15**, 191-99.
- (1977). On some properties of a class of polynomials unifying the generalized Hermite, Laguerre and Bessel polynomials. *Math. Student*, **45**, 74-86.
- Srivastava, H. M., and Singhal, J. P. (1971). A class of polynomials defined by generalized Rodrigues' formula. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4), **90**, 75-85.