

WONG'S FIXED POINT THEOREMS

S. N. MISHRA

*Department of Mathematics, Garhwal University, Post Box No. 31,
Srinagar (Garhwal)*

(Received 25 July 1980)

Conditions are sought in order that a pair of operators defined on a sequentially complete Hausdorff uniform space has a fixed point. The results obtained herein generalize some of the recent results of Wong (1973).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, U) be a sequentially complete Hausdorff uniform space defined by a family D of pseudometrics $d_i, i \in \Lambda$ on X (cf. Kelley 1955, Chap. 6). The following definitions will be useful in our subsequent discussions :

$$V_{(a,r)} = \{(x, y) : x, y \in X, d(x, y) < r, r > 0\}$$

$$G = \{V : V = \bigcap_{i \in F} V_{(d_i, r_i)}, d_i \in D, r_i > 0, F \subset \Lambda \text{ and } F \text{ is finite}\}$$

For $V = \bigcap_{i \in F} V_{(d_i, r_i)} \in G$, let

$$aV = \bigcap_{i \in F} V_{(d_i, ar_i)}, a > 0,$$

$$= \Delta \text{ (the diagonal), } a = 0$$

Here a particular V may have different representations $\bigcap_{i \in F} V_{(d_i, r_i)}$ and we may accordingly get different sets $aV \in G$ corresponding to different representations of V . This is illustrated by an example given subsequently. In what follows, having taken a particular representation for a specific V , the same representation is adhered to in the sequel.

We use the following simple Lemmas (cf. Acharya 1974):

Lemma 1.1 — Let $V \in G$ and $a, b > 0$, then

- (i) $a(bV) = (ab)V$,
- (ii) $aV \circ bV \subset (a+b)V$,
- (iii) $aV \subset bV$ for $a < b$.

Lemma 1.2 — Let d be a pseudometric on X and $a, b > 0$. If

$$(x, y) \in aV_{(d, r_1)} \circ bV_{(d, r_2)}$$

then

$$d(x, y) < ar_1 + br_2.$$

Lemma 1.3 — Let $V \in G$ be arbitrary, then there is a pseudometric p on X such that

$$V = V_{(p,1)}.$$

This p is called a Minkowski's pseudometric of V .

Example : Let $0 < a < 1$. Let R be the real line. Let us take a pseudometric d_1 on R such that $d_1(x, y) \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in R$, e.g.

$$d_1(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|}$$

will serve the purpose. Now define

$$d_2(x, y) = \min \{a, d_1\}.$$

Then we have,

$$V_{(d_1,1)} = V_{(d_2,1)} = R \times R.$$

Now, for $a < b < 1$

$$bV = V_{(d_1,b)} \neq R \times R$$

$$bV = V_{(d_2,b)} = R \times R.$$

This shows that the representation of aV need not be unique. The following theorem has been proved by Mishra (1978).

Theorem A — Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X such that for

$$V_i \in G (i = 1, \dots, 5) \text{ and } x, y, \in X,$$

$$(a) (T_1xT_2y) \in a_1V_1 \circ a_2V_2 \circ a_3V_3 \circ a_4V_4 \circ a_5V_5,$$

if $(x, T_1x) \in V_1$, $(y, T_2y) \in V_2$, $(x, T_2y) \in V_3$, $(y, T_1x) \in V_4$ and $(x, y) \in V_5$

where $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), $\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1$,

$$(b) a_1 = a_2 \text{ or } a_3 = a_4.$$

Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

This theorem generalizes a well known result of Wong (1973) to uniform spaces. The purpose of the present note is to study the related results.¹

2. FIXED POINTS OF MAPPINGS

Theorem 2.1 — Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X . Suppose that there exist functions $a_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$, ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) such that for $V_1 \in G$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) and $x, y \in X, x \neq y$,

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in a_1V_1 \circ a_2V_2 \circ a_3V_3 \circ a_4V_4 \circ a_5V_5 \tag{2.1}$$

if $(x, T_1x) \in V_1, (y, T_2y) \in V_2, (x, T_2y) \in V_3, (y, T_1x) \in V_4$ and $(x, y) \in V_5$ where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$),

$$a = \text{Sup}_{x, y \in X} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i(x, y) \right\} < 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$a_1(x, y) = a_2(x, y) \text{ and } a_3(x, y) = a_4(x, y). \tag{2.3}$$

Then T_1 or T_2 has a fixed point. If both T_1 and T_2 have fixed point, then each of T_1, T_2 has a unique fixed point and these two fixed points coincide.

PROOF : Let $x_0 \in X$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows:

$$x_{2n+1} = T_1(x_{2n}), \quad x_{2n+2} = T_2(x_{2n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We shall prove that T_1 or T_2 has a fixed point.

Let $V \in G$ be arbitrary. Denote by p a Minkowski's pseudometric of V so that $V = V_{(p,1)}$. Let $x, y \in X, x \neq y$. Let us put $p(x, T_1x) = s_1, p(y, T_2y) = s_2, p(x, T_2y) = s_3, p(y, T_1x) = s_4$ and $p(x, y) = s_5$. Take $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$(x, T_1x) \in V_{(p, s_1+\epsilon)}, \quad (y, T_2y) \in V_{(p, s_2+\epsilon)}, \quad (x, T_2y) \in V_{(p, s_3+\epsilon)}, \\ (y, T_1x) \in V_{(p, s_4+\epsilon)} \text{ and } (x, y) \in V_{(p, s_5+\epsilon)}.$$

Now, using condition (2.1) and Lemma 1.2, we get

$$p(T_1x, T_2y) < a_1(x, y) p(x, T_1x) + a_2(x, y) p(y, T_2y) + a_3(x, y) p(x, T_2y) \\ + a_4(x, y) p(y, T_1x) + a_5(x, y) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i \right) \epsilon$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get

$$p(T_1x, T_2y) \leq a_1(x, y) p(x, T_1x) + a_2(x, y) p(y, T_2y) + a_3(x, y) p(x, T_2y) \\ + a_4(x, y) p(y, T_1x) + a_5(x, y) p(x, y). \tag{2.4}$$

We have

$$p(x_1, x_2) = p(T_1x_0, T_2x_1).$$

Using condition (2.4), we get

$$p(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{a_1(x_0, x_1) + a_3(x_0, x_1) + a_5(x_0, x_1)}{1 - a_1(x_0, x_1) - a_3(x_0, x_1)}.$$

Since $a_2 + a_3 < a/2 < 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_1(x_0, x_1) + a_2(x_0, x_1) + a_3(x_0, x_1)}{1 - a_2(x_0, x_1) - a_3(x_0, x_1)} \\ & \leq \frac{a - a_2(x_0, x_1) - a_3(x_0, x_1)}{1 - a_2(x_0, x_1) - a_3(x_0, x_1)} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{a - x}{1 - x} : x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \right\} \\ & \leq a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$p(x_1, x_2) \leq ap(x_0, x_1).$$

Similarly,

$$p(x_2, x_3) \leq ap(x_1, x_2) \leq a^2 p(x_0, x_1).$$

Thus in general, for $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq a^n p(x_0, x_1).$$

Now, for $m, n \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) & \leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ & \leq (a^n + a^{n+1} + \dots + a^{m-1}) p(x_0, x_1) \\ & \leq \frac{a^n}{1 - a} p(x_0, x_1) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(x_n, x_m) \in V$ and hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is sequentially complete, $x_n \rightarrow y$ for some y in x . Also, since $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each n , either $x_{2n+1} \neq y$ for infinitely many n or $x_{2n} \neq y$ for infinitely many n . Assume that $x_{2n+1} \neq y$ for infinitely many n . Thus there is a subsequence $k(n)$ of n such that $x_{2k(n)+1} \neq y$ for each n . Now, let $V \in G$ be arbitrary and denote by p a Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Then, for any positive integer, n , we have

$$p(y, T_2 y) \leq p(y, x_{2k(n)+1}) + p(T_1 x_{2k(n)}, T_2 y).$$

Using condition (2.4) with $a_1 = a_i(x_{2k(n)}, y)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(y, T_2 y) & \leq (1 + a_4) p(x_{2k(n)+1}, y) + a_2 p(x_{2k(n)+1}, x_{2k(n)}) \\ & \quad + a_2 p(y, T_2 y) + a_3 p(x_{2k(n)}, T_2 y) + a_5 p(x_{2k(n)}, y). \end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$p(y, T_2 y) \leq (a_2 + a_3) \cdot p(y, T_2 y).$$

Since $a_2 + a_3 < 1$, it follows that $(y, T_2y) \in V$. Since V is arbitrary and X is a Hausdorff space, $T_2y = y$.

Let $Z \in X$ be such that $T_1Z = Z$ and $T_2Y = Y$. Take $V \in G$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (Z, T_1Z) &= (Z, Z) \in V, \\ (Y, T_2Y) &= (Y, Y) \in V \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(Y, z) \in a_1V \circ a_2V \circ a_3V \circ a_4V \circ a_5V \subset \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i \right) V$$

where $a_i = a_i(y, z)$, $(i = 1, \dots, 5)$. This follows from Lemma 1.1. Since V is arbitrary, we have $y = z$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2 — Let T be an operator on X . Suppose that there exist symmetric functions $a_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1)$, $(i = 1, \dots, 5)$ such that for $V_i \in G (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ and $x, y \in X$,

$$(Tx, Ty) \in a_1V_1 \circ a_2V_2 \circ a_3V_3 \circ a_4V_4 \circ a_5V_5 \tag{2.5}$$

if $(x, Tx) \in V_1$, $(y, Ty) \in V_2$, $(x, Ty) \in V_3$, $(y, Tx) \in V_4$ and $(x, y) \in V_5$ where $a_i = a_i(x, y)$ $(i = 1, \dots, 5)$,

$$a = \text{Sup}_{x, y \in X} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i(x, y) \right\} < 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

PROOF : Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Define a sequence $\{X_n\}$ in X as follows.

$$x_{n+1} = T(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

Let $V \in G$ be arbitrary, Denote by p a Minkowski's pseudometric of V . Then it can be easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tx, Ty) &\leq a_1(x, y) p(x, Tx) + a_2(x, y) p(y, Ty) + a_3(x, y) p(x, Ty) \\ &\quad + a_4(x, y) p(y, Tx) + a_5(x, y) p(x, y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Also, by symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(Ty, Tx) &\leq a_1(y, x) p(y, Ty) + a_2(y, x) p(x, Tx) + a_3(y, x) p(y, Tx) \\ &\quad + a_4(y, x) p(x, Ty) + a_5(y, x) p(y, x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq b_1p(x, Tx) + b_2p(y, Ty) + b_3p(x, Ty) + b_4p(y, Tx) + b_5p(x, y)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \frac{1}{2} [a_1(x, y) + a_2(y, x)], & b_2 &= \frac{1}{2} [a_1(y, x) + a_2(x, y)], \\ b_3 &= \frac{1}{2} [a_3(x, y) + a_4(y, x)], & b_4 &= \frac{1}{2} [a_3(y, x) + a_4(x, y)], \\ b_5 &= \frac{1}{2} [a_5(x, y) + a_5(y, x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since each $a_i (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ is symmetric, $b_1 = b_2$, $b_3 = b_4$

and
$$\sum_{i=1}^5 b_i = \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i(x, y) \leq a.$$

Therefore, we may assume that $b_1 = b_2$ and $b_3 = b_4$. By Theorem 2.1, T has a unique fixed point.

This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, S. P. (1974). Some results on fixed points in uniform spaces. *Yokohama Math. J.*, **22**, 105-16.
- Kelley, J. L. (1955). *General Topology*. D. Von Nostrand Co., New York.
- Mishra, S. N. (1978). On common fixed points in uniform spaces II. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **9**, 26-31.
- Wong, C. S. (1973). Common fixed points of two mappings. *Pacific J. Math.*, **48**, 299-312.