

A NOTE ON COMPLETENESS OF BOUNDED LATTICES POSTULATED IN SOME AXIOMATICS OF THE MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATIONS OF QUANTUM THEORY

M. K. MUKHERJEE*

Mathematics Department, Tripoli University, P. O. Box 13387, Tripoli, Libya

(Received 1 May 1980)

In an axiomatic study of quantum theory Jauch postulated the completeness of the lattice underlying a quantum logic. The theory of Baer semigroup is utilized to specify quite generally the completeness of the lattice.

1. INTRODUCTION

To provide a mathematical foundation to quantum theory Jauch (1968) introduces an axiom which assumes the completeness of the lattice underlying a quantum logic characterized in Sharma and Mukherjee (1977). In this paper we prove a theorem which specifies quite generally the completeness of the lattice in terms of a Baer semigroup having a special connection with the lattice concerned.

Necessary notations and definitions are presented in detail in section 2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 — A relation r on a set A is a subset of the Cartesian product $A \times A$; notation xry means $(x, y) \in r$.

Definition 2.2 — A relation r on a set A is said to be

- (a) anti-symmetric : if $x, y \in A$, xry and yrx , then $x = y$;
- (b) reflexive : if $x \in A$, then xrx ;
- (c) transitive : if $x, y, z \in A$, xry and yrz , then xrz .

Definition 2.3 — A poset is a pair (A, \leq) , where A is a set and \leq is an anti-symmetric, reflexive and transitive relation (a partial ordering) on A .

Definition 2.4 — Let (A, \leq) be a poset and $B \subset A$.

- (a) $x \in A$ is an upper bound for B provided : if $y \in B$, then $y \leq x$.
- (b) $x \in A$ is a least upper bound for B provided:
 - (i) x is an upper bound for B ;
 - (ii) if z is an upper bound for B , then $x \leq z$;

*Present address : 61 Park View, Collins Road, London N5 2UD, England.

- (c) the least upper bound of B , if it exists, is denoted by $\vee B$; in case $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$, $\vee B$ is denoted by $b_1 \vee b_2$;
- (d) lower bound, greatest lower bound $\wedge B$ and $b_1 \wedge b_2$ are defined dually;
- (e) (A, \leq) is a lattice if $a_1, a_2 \in A$ implies $a_1 \vee a_2$ and $a_1 \wedge a_2$ exist.

Definition 2.5 — Let (A, \leq) be a lattice and $B \subset A$. (A, \leq) is said to be complete if $\vee B$ and $\wedge B$ exist in A .

Definition 2.6 — A lattice (A, \leq) is said to have a universal upper bound (universal lower bound) if $\vee A$ ($\wedge A$) exists in A .

Definition 2.7 — A semigroup (S, \cdot) is a set with a mapping

$$\cdot : S \times S \rightarrow S((x, y) \in S \times S \rightarrow x \cdot y \in S)$$

such that if $x, y, z \in S$, then $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$, i.e., \cdot is associative.

Definition 2.8 — Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup;

- (a) an element $0 \in S$ is a zero provided $0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $x \in S$;
- (b) an element $e \in S$ is a right identity provided $x \cdot e = x$ for all $x \in S$;
- (c) an element $f \in S$ is a left identity provided $f \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in S$;
- (d) an element $i \in S$ is a two sided identity if it is both a right and a left identity;
- (e) an element $p \in S$ is an idempotent of S provided $p = p \cdot p = p^2$.

Throughout this paper a semigroup will mean a multiplicative semigroup with 0 and \leq will denote the set inclusion. For any subset A of a semigroup S , $R(A) = \{y : y \in S, ay = 0 \text{ for every } a \in A\}$ is the right annihilator of A and $L(A) = \{y : y \in S, ya = 0 \text{ for every } a \in A\}$ is the left annihilator of A . If A is a singleton $\{x\}$ we shall write $R(x)$, instead of $R(\{x\})$, for the right annihilator of $x \in S$ and $L(x)$, instead of, $L(\{x\})$, for the left annihilator of $x \in S$. It is obvious that

$$R(A) = \bigcap_{a \in A} R(a) \text{ and } L(A) = \bigcap_{a \in A} L(a).$$

For each $x \in S$ and each $A \subset S$, let

$$xA = \{xa : a \in A\}, Ax = \{ax : a \in A\}.$$

Definition 2.9 — For each $x \in S$, xS is defined to be the principal right ideal generated by x and Sx is defined to be the principal left ideal generated by x .

Definition 2.10 — A semigroup S is said to be a Baer semigroup, if the right annihilator and the left annihilator of each element of S are respectively the principal right ideals and the principal left ideals generated by idempotents of S .

Janowitz (1965) has shown that with set theoretic inclusion as a partial ordering the set of right annihilators and the set of left annihilators of elements of a Baer semigroup from dual isomorphic lattices with universal bounds. It has also been proved (Janowitz 1966) that for every bounded lattice L there is a Baer semigroup S with the property that the lattice of the right annihilators of elements of S is isomorphic to L . In this case S is said to coordinatize L .

3. THE THEOREM

Definition 3.1 — We shall define a Baer semigroup S to be complete if, for every nonempty subset A of S , $R(A)$ is a principal right ideal generated by an idempotent of S .

Example — Let X be a given set and $P(X)$ be the collection of all subsets of X . If we define the multiplication in $P(X)$ by $a \cdot b = a \cap b$, where $a, b \in P(X)$, then the system $(P(X), \cdot)$ forms a semigroup. The empty set ϕ serves as the zero element of the semigroup. The right annihilator of any element a of $P(X)$ is the right ideal generated by the set theoretic complement of a in X which is, indeed, an idempotent of $P(X)$. Note that any right annihilator in this case is also a left annihilator and the corresponding statement is true for any right ideal generated by idempotent. The annihilator (right or left) of any subset of $P(X)$ is the ideal generated by an idempotent which is the complement in X of the union of the elements of the subset concerned. Hence $(P(X), \cdot)$ forms a complete Baer semigroup.

Lemma 3.1 — A Baer semigroup S has a two sided identity which is unique.

PROOF : The proof is trivial.

The unique two sided identity of S will be denoted by i .

Lemma 3.2 — Let R be the set of right annihilators of elements of a Baer semigroup S . Then, for every nonempty subset A of S , $R(A) \in R$, if S is complete.

PROOF : Since the Baer semigroup S is complete, there is an idempotent e of S such that $R(A) = eS$. Let $z \in eS$.

Then $z = ea$ for some $a \in S$. For every $y \in L(e)$, $yz = yea = 0$.

That is, $z \in R(L(e))$. So $eS \subseteq R(L(e))$.

Since S has a two sided identity (Lemma 3.1.), $e \in eS$. So $e \in R(a)$ for each $a \in A$. Then for each a in A , $ae = 0$. That is each element of A is also an element of $L(e)$. So $A \subseteq L(e)$. Now $R(L(e)) = \bigcap_{z \in L(e)} R(z) \subseteq R(a)$ for every a in A . Consequently, $R(L(e)) \subseteq eS$.

Therefore, $R(L(e)) = eS$.

Now let $L(e) = Sf$ for some idempotent f of S . So $R(L(e)) = R(Sf)$. Let $x \in R(Sf)$. Then $afx = 0$ for every $a \in S$. Taking $a = i$, the identity of S , $fx = 0$. That is $x \in R(f)$. So $R(Sf) \leq R(f)$.

Let $x \in R(f)$. Then $fx = 0$. That is $afx = 0$ for every $a \in S$. So $x \in R(Sf)$. Consequently, $R(f) \leq R(Sf)$.

So $R(f) = R(Sf) = R(L(e)) = eS$. Therefore, $eS \in R$.

Since, for every bounded lattice L , there is a Baer semigroup S coordinatizing L , it will be sufficient to prove that lattice of the right annihilators of elements of a Baer semigroup S is complete, if S is complete.

Theorem — If a Baer semigroup S is complete then the lattice R of the right annihilators of elements of S is a complete lattice.

PROOF : Let P be any nonempty subset of R . Then $M = \{x : R(x) \in P\}$ is a nonempty subset of S . Since the Baer semigroup S is complete, there is an idempotent e of S such that $R(M) = eS$. eS is an infimum of P by the definition of $R(M)$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $eS \in R$. Therefore P has an infimum in R .

Let D be set of all upper bounds of P in R . R is a bounded lattice (Janowitz 1965). Let I be the universal upper bound of R . Then clearly $I \in D$. It now follows, from an argument similar to that used above, that the nonempty subset D of R has an infimum in R , which is the supremum of P in R . Therefore, the lattice R is a complete lattice.

REFERENCES

- Janowitz, M. F. (1965). Baer semigroups. *Duke Math. J.*, **32**, 85.
 ——— (1966). A semigroup approach to lattices. *Canad. J. Math.*, **18**, 1212.
 Jauch, J. M. (1968). *Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, New York.
 Sharma, C. S., and Mukherjee M. K. (1977). An extended characterization theorem for quantum logics. *J. Phys.*, **A 10**, 1665.