

AN ORDER RESULT INVOLVING THE σ -FUNCTION

V. SITA RAMAIAH AND D. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Waltair 530003

(Received 24 November 1980)

In this paper we establish an asymptotic formula for the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{m < x \\ t \mid m}} \sigma^r(m)$$

where $\sigma(m)$ denotes the sum of the divisors of m and r, t are fixed positive integers. Using a result of Walfisz (1963), we obtain an improvement in the O -estimate of the error term established by Mirsky (1949).

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, m, n, t denote positive integers and x denotes a real variable ≥ 2 . The letter p is reserved for primes. Let $\tau(m)$ be the number of divisors of m , $\sigma(m)$ be the sum of the divisors of m and $\varphi(m)$ be the Euler-totient function.

Asymptotic formulae for the sums

$$\sum_{m < x} \tau^r(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m < x} \varphi^r(m),$$

where r is any positive integer, have been established by Ramanujan [1916, eqn. (8)] and Chowla (1929-30) respectively. The object of this paper is to establish an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{m < x} \sigma^r(m)$, for $r \geq 2$. In the particular case, when $r = 2$,

the asymptotic formula was stated by Ramanujan [1916, eqn. (19)]. In fact, we establish (see Theorem in section 3) an asymptotic formula for the more general sum

$$\sum_{\substack{m < x \\ t \mid m}} \sigma^r(m).$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let μ be the Möbius function and $\theta(m)$ be the number of square-free divisors of m . We need the following best-known estimate due to Walfisz (1963) concerning the average of the function $\sigma(m)$:

Lemma 2.1 (cf. Walfisz 1963, Satz 4, p. 99) — For $x \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{m < x} \sigma(m) = \frac{\pi^2 x^2}{12} + O(x \log^{2/3} x).$$

Lemma 2.2 — Let $\sigma(m; n)$ denote the sum of the divisors of m which are prime to n . Then we have

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \sigma(m; n) = \frac{\pi^2 x^2 \varphi(n)}{12n} + O(\theta(n) x \log^{2/3} x)$$

where the O -estimate is uniform in x and n .

PROOF : By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq x} \sigma(m; n) &= \sum_{\substack{d \delta \leq x \\ (d, n) = 1}} d = \sum_{d \delta \leq x} d \sum_{\substack{ab = d \\ a | n}} \mu(a) \\ &= \sum_{a | n} a \mu(a) \sum_{ab \delta \leq x} b \\ &= \sum_{a | n} a \mu(a) \sum_{k \leq x/a} \sigma(k) \\ &= \sum_{a | n} a \mu(a) \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 x^2}{12a^3} + O(a^{-1} x \log^{2/3} x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 x^2}{12} \sum_{a | n} \frac{\mu(a)}{a} + O\left(x \log^{2/3} x \sum_{a | n} \mu^2(a)\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 x^2 \varphi(n)}{12n} + O(\theta(n) x \log^{2/3} x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 2.2 follows.

Lemma 2.3 — For $x \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t | m}} \sigma(m) = \frac{\pi^2 x^2 A_1(t)}{12t} + O(S(t) x \log^{3/3} x)$$

where the O -constant is independent of x and t ,

$$A_1(m) = \sum_{q | m} \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$S(m) \equiv \sum_{d | m} \frac{\mu^2(d) 2^{s(d)}}{\varphi(d)} = \prod_{p | m} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \tag{2.2}$$

$\omega(m)$ being the number of distinct prime factors of m .

PROOF : By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t | m}} \sigma(m) &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ t | d\delta}} d = \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ t(d, t)^{-1} | \delta}} d = \sum_{d(d, t)^{-1}k \leq x/t} d \\ &= \sum_{q | t} \sum_{\substack{(d/q)k \leq x/t \\ (d, t) = q}} d = \sum_{q | t} q \sum_{\substack{\delta k \leq x/t \\ (\delta, t/q) = 1}} \delta \\ &= \sum_{q | t} q \sum_{m \leq x/t} \sum_{\substack{\delta k = m \\ (\delta, t/q) = 1}} \delta = \sum_{q | t} q \sum_{m \leq x/t} \sigma(m; t/q) \\ &= \sum_{q | t} q \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 x^2 \varphi(t/q)}{12t^2(t/q)} + O(\theta(t/q)t^{-1}x \log^{2/3} x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 x^2}{12t} \sum_{q | t} \frac{\varphi(t/q)}{(t/q)^2} + O\left(x \log^{2/3} x \sum_{q | t} \frac{\theta(t/q)}{(t/q)}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 x^2 A_1(t)}{12t} + O(x \log^{2/3} x S(t)), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q | t} \frac{\theta(q)}{q} &= \prod_{p^a | t} \left(1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^a} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{p | t} \left(1 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p | t} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p-1} \right) = S(t), \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2). Hence Lemma 2.3 follows.

Lemma 2.4 — For $x \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t | m}} \sigma^2(m) = \frac{\pi^2 A_2(t) x^3}{18t} + O(x^2 \log^{5/3} x S(t)) \tag{2.3}$$

where the O -constant is independent of x and t ,

$$A_2(m) \equiv \sum_{k|m} \frac{B_1(k; m)}{k} = O(\tau(m) \log m) \quad \dots(2.4)$$

and for $k \mid m$,

$$B_1(k; m) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, m/k)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{A_1(nm)}{n^2} \quad \dots(2.5)$$

$A_1(m)$ and $S(m)$ being given by (2.1) and (2.2).

PROOF : If $\{a, b\}$ denotes the least common multiple of a and b , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t|m}} \sigma^2(m) &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ t|d\delta}} d\sigma(d\delta) = \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \delta|m \\ t|m}} m\sigma(m) \\ &= \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \{\delta, t\} | m}} m\sigma(m) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.6)$$

Now, by Lemma 2.3 and partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t|m}} m\sigma(m) = \frac{\pi^2 x^3 A_1(t)}{18t} + O(S(t) x^2 \log^{2/3} x). \quad \dots(2.7)$$

Making use of (2.7) in (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t|m}} \sigma^2(m) &= \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 x^3 A_1(\{\delta, t\})}{18\{\delta, t\}} + O(S(\{\delta, t\}) x^2 \log^{2/3} x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 x^3}{18t} \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{A_1(\delta t / (\delta, t)) (\delta, t)}{\delta^2} \\ &\quad + O\left(x^2 \log^{2/3} x \sum_{\delta \leq x} \delta^{-1} S(\delta t / (\delta, t))\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 x^3}{18t} \sum_1 + O(x^2 \log^{2/3} x T_1), \text{ say.} \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.8)$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_1 &= \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{A_1(\delta t / (\delta, t)) (\delta, t)}{\delta^2} \\
 &= \sum_{k | t} k \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x \\ (\delta, t) = k}} \frac{A_1(\delta t / k)}{\delta^2} \\
 &= \sum_{k | t} k \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/k \\ (m, t/k) = 1}} \frac{A_1(mt)}{m^2}. \tag{2.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1) it is trivial to see that $A_1(m) \leq \tau(m)$, from which we infer that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_1(mt)}{m^2}$

converges for each fixed t . Also, we have

$$\sum_{m > x} \frac{A_1(mt)}{t^2} \leq \sum_{m > x} \frac{\tau(mt)}{m^2} \leq \tau(t) \sum_{m > x} \frac{\tau(m)}{m^2} = O(\tau(t) x^{-1} \log x). \tag{2.10}$$

Now, from (2.10), (2.9), (2.5) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_1 &= \sum_{k | t} \frac{1}{k} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m, t/k) = 1}}^{\infty} \frac{A_1(mt)}{m^2} + O\left(\sum_{m > x/k} \frac{A_1(mt)}{m^2} \right) \right\} \\
 &= A_2(t) + O\left(x^{-1} \log x \tau(t) \sum_{k | t} 1 \right) \\
 &= A_2(t) + O(x^{-1} \log x \tau^2(t)). \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, by (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{S(m)}{m} &\leq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{2^{w(d)}}{\varphi(d) d} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{2^{w(d)}}{\varphi(d) d} \sum_{\delta \leq x/d} \frac{1}{\delta} \\
 &= O\left(\log x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{2^{w(d)}}{\varphi(d) d} \right) = O(\log x)
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{2^{w(d)}}{\varphi(d) d} \leq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\tau(d)}{\varphi(d) d} \leq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\tau^2(d)}{d^2} = O(1).$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{m < x} \frac{S(m)}{m} = O(\log x). \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.2) it is easily seen that $S(mn) = S(m) \cdot S(n)/S((m, n))$. Since $S(m) \geq 1$ for all m , it follows that $S(mn) \leq S(m) \cdot S(n)$, for all m and n . Hence by (2.12) we have

$$T_1 = \sum_{\delta < x} \delta^{-1} S(\delta t/(\delta, t)) \leq S(t) \sum_{\delta < x} \delta^{-1} S(\delta) = O(S(t) \log x). \tag{2.13}$$

Collecting now the results (2.8), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain (2.3). Since $A_1(m) \leq \tau(m)$, we have by (2.5), $B_1(k; m) = O(\tau(m))$, so that by (2.4) we have $A_2(m) = O(\tau(m)) \log m$. Thus the last equality in (2.4) follows.

Hence Lemma 2.4 follows.

Remark 2.1 : From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.1), it is easily seen that

$$A_2(1) = B_1(1; 1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_2(m)}{m^2} = \frac{\zeta(2) \zeta(3)}{\zeta(4)} = \frac{15 \zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$$

since $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ and $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$. Hence by taking $t = 1$ in (2.3), we get

$$\sum_{m < x} \sigma^2(m) = \frac{5\zeta(3)}{6} x^3 + O(x^2 \log^{5/3} x). \tag{2.14}$$

Formula (2.14) has been established by Smith (1970, Theorem 2) in an entirely different way and was originally stated by Ramanujan [1916, eqn. (19)] with a weaker O -estimate, namely $O(x^2 \log^2 x)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove the following:

Theorem — Let r be a fixed integer ≥ 2 . Then for $x \geq 2$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m < x \\ t \mid m}} \sigma^r(m) = \frac{x^{r+1} \pi^2 A_r(t)}{6(r+1)t} + O(S(t) x^r \log^{(3r-1)/3} x), \tag{3.1}$$

where the O -constant is independent of x and t ,

$$A_r(m) = \sum_{k \mid m} \frac{B_{r-1}(k; m)}{k} = O(\tau(m) \log^{r-1} m) \tag{3.2}$$

$$B_{r-1}(k; m) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, m/k)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{A_{r-1}(mn)}{n^2} \quad \text{for } k/m \quad \dots(3.3)$$

$A_1(m)$, $A_2(m)$ and $S(m)$ are given by (2.1), (2.4) and (2.2).

PROOF : We prove the theorem by induction on r . The theorem is true for $r = 2$ and all t , in virtue of Lemma 2.4. Assume the theorem for some $r \geq 2$ and all t . We have as in the proof of Lemma 2.4,

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t | m}} \sigma^{r+1}(m) = \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \{\delta, t\} | m}} m \sigma^r(m). \quad \dots(3.4)$$

Now, by (3.1) and partial summation, it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t | m}} \sigma^r(m) = \frac{x^{r+2} \pi^2 A_r(t)}{6(r+2)t} + O(S(t) x^{r+1} \log^{(3r-1)/3} x). \quad \dots(3.5)$$

Making use of (3.5) in (3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t | m}} \sigma^{r+1}(m) &= \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \left\{ \frac{x^{r+2} \pi^2 A_r(\{\delta, t\})}{6(r+2)\{\delta, t\}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O(S(\{\delta, t\}) x^{r+1} \log^{(3r-1)/3} x) \right\} \\ &= \frac{x^{r+2} \pi^2}{6(r+2)t} \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{A_r(\delta t/(\delta, t))(\delta, t)}{\delta^2} \\ &\quad + O\left(x^{r+1} \log^{(3r-1)/3} x \sum_{\delta \leq x} \delta^{-1} S(\delta t/(\delta, t))\right). \\ &= \frac{x^{r+2} \pi^2}{6(r+2)t} \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{A_r(\delta t/(\delta, t))(\delta, t)}{\delta^2} \\ &\quad + O(S(t) x^{r+1} \log^{(3r+2)/3} x), \quad \dots(3.6) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.13). Now, as in the proof of (2.11) we can show that [by making use of the O -estimate for $A_r(m)$ given in (3.2)],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{A_r(\delta t/(\delta, t))(\delta, t)}{\delta^2} \\ = A_{r+1}(t) + O(\tau^2(t) \log^{r-1} t \quad x^{-1} \log^r x) \quad \dots(3.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{r+1}(m)$ is the right side of (3.2) with r replaced by $r + 1$. Substituting (3.7) in (3.6) we see that formula (3.1) is true for $r + 1$. If $B_r(k; m)$ denotes the right side of (3.3) with r replaced by $r + 1$, it follows from the O -estimate of $A_r(m)$ given in (3.2) that $B_r(k; m) = O(\tau(m) \log^{r-1} m)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{r+1}(m) &= \sum_{k|m} \frac{B_r(k; m)}{k} = O\left(\tau(m) \log^{r-1} m \sum_{k|m} \frac{1}{k}\right) \\ &= O(\tau(m) \log^r m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.2) holds for $r + 1$. Thus the induction is complete and hence the Theorem follows.

Taking $r = 3$ and $t = 1$ in the Theorem, after a tedious calculation, we get the following:

Corollary — For $x \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \sigma^3(m) = \frac{5A\zeta(3)x^4}{8} + O(x^3 \log^{8/3} x) \quad \dots(3.8)$$

where

$$A = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{p^{12} + 2p^{11} + p^{10} + p^9 - 3p^7 - 3p^6 - 3p^5 - 5p^4 + 2p^3 + 3p^2 + 2}{p(p^2 + 1)(p^3 - 1)(p^4 - 1)^2} \right).$$

Remark 3.1 : We note that the methods of this paper enable us to establish an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ t|m}} \left(\frac{\sigma(m)}{m}\right)^r$ with error term $O(S(t) \log^{(3r-1)/3} x)$,

where $S(t)$ is given by (2.2). An asymptotic formula for this sum in case $r = 2$ and $t = 1$ has been established by Smith (1970, Theorem 1) using different arguments.

Remark 3.2 : Mirsky (1949, Theorem 3) established an asymptotic formula for a more general sum, from which an asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{m \leq x} \left(\frac{\sigma(m)}{m}\right)^r$ can

be deduced with an error term $O(\log^r x)$, which is weaker than the one mentioned above in Remark 3.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

One of the authors (V.S.R.) wishes to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for awarding him a Post Doctoral Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- Chowla, S. D. (1929-30). An order result involving Euler's ϕ -function. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* (old series), **18**, 138-41.
- Mirsky, L. (1949). Summation formulae involving Arithmetic functions. *Duke Math. J.*, **16**, 261-72.
- Ramanujan, S. (1916). Some formulae in the analytic theory of numbers. *Messenger Math.*, **45**, 81-84.
- Smith, R. A. (1970). An error term of Ramanujan. *J. Number Theory*, **2**, 91-96.
- Walfisz, A. (1963). *Weylsche Exponential summen in der Neueren Zahlentheorie.* VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin.