

## ON SUM AND PRODUCT OF NORMAL OPERATORS

A. B. PATEL

*Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar 388120*

AND

P. B. RAMANUJAN

*Department of Mathematics, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat*

(Received 20 July 1979; after revision 25 June 1981)

It is known that if each of two normal operators commutes with the adjoint of the other, then their sum and product are normal. In this note weaker conditions are discussed, which ensure normality (hyponormality) of the sum and product of normal (hyponormal) operators. Also an observation is made about operators  $T$  for which  $T^*T$  commutes with  $T + T^*$ .

All operators in this note are bounded linear transformations on a Hilbert space  $H$ . An operator  $A$  is normal (hyponormal) if  $AA^* = A^*A$  ( $AA^* \leq A^*A$ ).

Yadav and Ramanujan (1967, 1969) have proved that if the real part of each of two normal (hyponormal) operators commutes with the imaginary part of the other, then their sum is normal (hyponormal). The purpose of this note is to discuss the normality (hyponormality) of the product of two normal (hyponormal) operators.

First we state without proofs the following results which are easy applications of Putnam-Fuglede theorem [Problem 152 of Halmos (1967)].

*Proposition 1* — Let  $T_j = A_j + iB_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be operators in their cartesian form and suppose that  $T_1$  is normal. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $T_1 T_2^* = T_2^* T_1$
- (ii) each of  $A_1, B_1$  commutes with  $A_2, B_2$ .

*Proposition 2* — Let  $N_1, N_2$  be normal operators such that  $N_1 N_2^*$  and  $N_2^* N_1$  are self-adjoint. Then  $N_1 + N_2$  is normal if and only if  $N_1$  commutes with  $N_2$ .

Also we note that the result in Yadav and Ramanujan (1969) is immediate in view of the observation that given normal operators  $N_j = A_j + iB_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) in their cartesian form,  $N_1 + N_2$  is normal if and only if

$$(A_1 B_2 - B_2 A_1) + (A_2 B_1 - B_1 A_2) = 0.$$

*Remark* : In Proposition 2, the self-adjointness of  $N_1 N_2^*$  and  $N_2^* N_1$  cannot be omitted. To see this, let  $N_1 = UU^*$  and  $N_2 = U \neq U^*$ , where  $U$  is the unilateral shift.

It can be easily seen that if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are hyponormal operators such that  $T_1$  commutes with  $T_2^*$ , then  $T_1 + T_2$  is hyponormal. However it is shown in Abrahamse (1978) that if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are commuting hyponormal operators, then  $T_1 + T_2$  need not be hyponormal.

It is well-known that the product of two hyponormal operators need not be hyponormal even if they commute [Problem 164 of Halmos (1967)]. However if we consider some other commutativity conditions, then the product turns out to be hyponormal as shown in the following theorem.

Recall that the positive part of an operator  $A$  is  $(A^*A)^{1/2}$ .

*Theorem 3* — Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be hyponormal operators, suppose that  $T_1$  commutes with the positive part of  $T_2$  and  $T_2$  commutes with the positive part of  $T_1^*$ . Then  $T_1 T_2$  and  $T_2 T_1$  are hyponormal.

PROOF : First we prove hyponormality of  $T_1 T_2$ . By hypothesis

$$T_1^* (T_2^* T_2) = (T_2^* T_2) T_1^* \text{ and } T_2^* (T_1 T_1^*) = (T_1 T_1^*) T_2^*.$$

Since for a positive operator  $P$ ,  $R^* P R$  is a positive operator for every operator  $R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 T_2)^* (T_1 T_2) - (T_1 T_2) (T_1 T_2)^* &= T_2^* T_1^* T_1 T_2 - T_1 T_2 T_2^* T_1^* \\ &\geq T_2^* T_1 T_1^* T_2 - T_1 T_2 T_2^* T_1^* \\ &\geq T_1 T_1^* T_2^* T_2 - T_1 T_2^* T_2 T_1^* \\ &= T_1 T_1^* T_2^* T_2 - T_1 T_1^* T_2^* T_2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next we establish the hyponormality of  $T_2 T_1$ . We note that for any operator  $A$  and  $x \in H$ ,  $\|Ax\|^2 = (Ax, Ax) = (A^*Ax, x) = ((A^*A)^{1/2}x, (A^*A)^{1/2}x) = \|(A^*A)^{1/2}x\|^2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_2 T_1)^* x\| &= \|T_1^* T_2^* x\| \\ &= \|(T_1 T_1^*)^{1/2} T_2^* x\| \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 1215)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \| T_2^* (T_1 T_1^*)^{1/2} x \| \\
 &\leq \| T_2 (T_1 T_1^*)^{1/2} x \| \\
 &= \| (T_2^* T_2)^{1/2} (T_1 T_1^*)^{1/2} x \| \\
 &= \| T_1^* (T_2^* T_2)^{1/2} x \| \\
 &\leq \| T_1 (T_2^* T_2)^{1/2} x \| \\
 &= \| T_2 T_1 x \|.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Remark* : The conclusion of the theorem does not hold in the absence of the condition of  $T_2$  commuting with positive part of  $T_1^*$ , as shown in the following example.

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $T_1$  be the orthogonal projection of  $H$  onto  $[e_0, e_1]$ , the linear span of  $\{e_0, e_1\}$  and  $T_2$  be defined as  $T_2 e_n = e_{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). Then  $T_1, T_2$  are hyponormal operators and  $T_1$  commutes with  $T_2^* T_2$ . But

$$(T_1 T_2)^* e_1 = T_2^* T_1^* e_1 = T_2^* e_1 = e_0 \text{ and } T_1 T_2 e_1 = T_1 e_2 = 0.$$

Therefore  $\|(T_1 T_2)^* e_1\| \not\leq \|(T_1 T_2) e_1\|$ . Hence  $T_1 T_2$  is not hyponormal.

*Corollary 4* — Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be normal operators. Then each of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  commutes with the positive part of the other if and only if  $N_1 N_2$  and  $N_2 N_1$  are normal.

*PROOF* : Since the positive part of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are same as  $N_1^*$  and  $N_2^*$  respectively, it follows from Theorem 3 that  $N_1 N_2$  and  $(N_1 N_2)^* = N_2^* N_1^*$  are both hyponormal operators. This gives the normality of  $N_1 N_2$ . The normality of  $N_2 N_1$  follows similarly.

The converse part is a consequence of the following result of Kaplansky (1953) : Let  $A$  and  $B$  be operators on a Hilbert space such that  $A$  and  $AB$  are normal. Then  $B$  commutes with  $A^* A$  if and only if  $BA$  is normal.

*Corollary 5* — Let  $N_j = U_j P_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be normal operators in their polar decomposition, suppose that  $U_1 P_2 = P_2 U_1, U_2 P_1 = P_1 U_2$  and  $P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1$ . Then  $N_1 N_2$  and  $N_2 N_1$  are normal.

**PROOF :**  $N_1P_2 = U_1P_1P_2 = U_1P_2P_1 = P_2U_1P_1 = P_2N_1$ . Hence  $N_1$  commutes with the positive part of  $N_2$ . Similarly  $N_2$  commutes with the positive part of  $N_1$ . Thus  $N_1N_2$  and  $N_2N_1$  are normal.

*Remark :* The condition that  $P_1$  commutes with  $P_2$  cannot be dispensed with in Corollary 5. In fact for self-adjoint operators  $P$  and  $Q$  with  $P$  positive, the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $PQ = QP$
- (ii)  $PQ$  is normal.

Indeed, if  $PQ$  is normal, then  $(PQ)^* = QP$  is normal. Since  $P(QP) = (PQ)P$ , Putnam-Fuglede theorem gives  $P^2Q = QP^2$ . As  $P$  positive,  $PQ = QP$ . The other way implication is obvious.

*Theorem 6 —* Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be operators with one of them normal. If  $N_1N_2^* = N_2^*N_1$ , then each of  $N_1, N_2$  commutes with positive part of the other, but not conversely.

**PROOF :** Suppose  $N_1$  is normal. Then the relation  $N_1N_2^* = N_2^*N_1$  yields by the Fuglede's theorem,  $N_1^*N_2^* = N_2^*N_1^*$  so that  $N_1N_2 = N_2N_1$ . Thus

$$N_1(N_2^*N_2) = (N_1N_2^*)N_2 = N_2^*(N_1N_2) = (N_2^*N_2)N_1.$$

Again  $N_2(N_1^*N_1) = (N_2N_1^*)N_1 = N_1^*(N_2N_1) = (N_1^*N_1)N_2$ . The converse does not hold as one can see by taking  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  to any two noncommuting unitary operators.

*Remarks :* (1) Consider for two operators  $T_j = U_jP_j(j = 1, 2)$  in their polar decomposition, the following conditions:

- $c_1$  : each (or either) commutes with the adjoint of the other.
- $c_2$  : each commutes with positive part of the other.
- $c_3$  :  $U_1P_2 = P_2U_1, U_2P_1 = P_1U_2$  and  $P_1P_2 = P_2P_1$ .

If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are two normal operators then  $c_1 \Rightarrow c_2$  and  $c_2 \not\Rightarrow c_1$  by Theorem 6. Also  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_2$  by the proof of Corollary 5. Further,  $c_1$  and  $c_3$  are independent. For, take  $T_j = U_jP_j(j = 1, 2)$  where  $U_j$  and  $P_j(j = 1, 2)$  are operators on  $l^2(Z)$ , the space of two-way square summable complex sequences with the usual orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  defined by  $U_1e_1 = e_2, U_1e_2 = e_1$  and  $U_1e_i = e_i, i \neq 1, 2; U_2e_{-1} = e_{-2}, U_2e_{-2} = e_{-1}$  and  $U_2e_i = e_i, i \neq -1, -2$ ; and  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are projections on  $\bigvee_{-\infty}^{-1} \{e_i\}$  and

$\bigvee_1^\infty \{e_i\}$  respectively. Here  $c_1$  is satisfied but  $P_1$  does not commute with  $U_2$  so that  $c_1 \not\Rightarrow c_3$ . Since  $c_1 \Rightarrow c_2$  so  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  satisfy  $c_2$  but not  $c_3$ . For  $c_3 \not\Rightarrow c_1$ , take two noncommuting unitary operators.

(2) An operator  $T$  is called quasinormal if  $T$  commutes with  $T^*T$ . If  $c_2$  is satisfied for two quasinormal operators  $A$  and  $B$  then it is easy to see that products  $AB$  and  $BA$  are quasinormal. (Arun Bala (1977) has proved this result under stronger assumptions that  $AB = BA, BA^* = A^*B$ .) Using this result and induction on  $n$  one can see that  $A^n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) is quasinormal, whenever  $A$  is quasinormal.

(3) Note that for quasinormal operators  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_1$  are independent.  $c_2 \not\Rightarrow c_1$  follows from Remark (1), since every normal operator is quasinormal. For  $c_1 \not\Rightarrow c_2$  take operators  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  on  $l^2(Z)$  defined by

$$V_1 e_i = \begin{cases} e_{i-1} & \text{if } i \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$V_2 e_i = \begin{cases} e_{i+1} & \text{if } i \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are quasinormal and  $V_1 V_2^* = V_2^* V_1$  but

$$V_1(V_2^* V_2) e_1 \neq (V_2^* V_2) V_1 e_1.$$

In Theorem 3 we had assumed that  $T_1^*$  and  $T_2$  satisfy  $c_2$ . Here we note that if  $T_1^*$  and  $T_2$  satisfy  $c_2$  for two quasinormal operators  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , then  $T_1 T_2$  may not be quasinormal. To see this, define

$$T_1 e_i = \begin{cases} e_i + 1 & \text{if } i \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_2 e_{-1} = \cos \theta e_{-1} + \sin \theta e_0$$

$$T_2 e_0 = -\sin \theta e_{-1} + \cos \theta e_0, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi/2$$

$T_2 e_i = e_i$  ( $i \neq -1, 0$ ) on  $l^2(Z)$ . Then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are quasinormal operators such that  $T_1$  commutes with  $T_2^* T_2$  and  $T_2$  commutes with  $T_1 T_1^*$  but  $A = T_1 T_2$  is not quasinormal, since  $A^* A e_0 \neq A A^* e_0$ . (We note that  $A$  is hyponormal).

We close this note with an observation about the class  $\theta$  of Campbell (1975). An operator  $T$  is in  $\theta$  if  $T^*T$  commutes with  $T + T^*$ . For a hyponormal operator

$T$ , if  $T^*$  is hyponormal, then  $T$  is normal. Here is a similar result for an operator in class  $\theta$ .

*Theorem 7* — If  $T$  and  $T^*$  are in  $\theta$ , then  $T$  is normal.

PROOF : Since the hypothesis implies that the real part of  $T$  commutes with  $(T^*T)^{1/2}$  and  $(TT^*)^{1/2}$ , the result follows from the following result of Embry (1966): An operator  $A$  is normal if and only if real part of  $A$  commutes with  $(A^*A)^{1/2}$  and  $(AA^*)^{1/2}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their sincere thanks to Professor M. H. Vasavada for his guidance and fruitful discussions during the preparation of this note.

#### REFERENCES

- Abrahamse, M. B. (1978). Commuting subnormal operators. *Illinois J. Math.*, **22**, 171-76.  
 Arun Bala (1977). A note on quasinormal operators. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **8**, 463-65.  
 Campbell, S. L. (1975). Linear operators for which  $T^*T$  commutes with  $T + T^*$ . *Pacific J. Math.*, **61**, 53-57.  
 Embry, M. R. (1966). Conditions implying normality in Hilbert space. *Pacific J. Math.*, **18**, 457-60.  
 Halmos, P. R. (1967). A Hilbert Space Problem Book. D. Van Nostrand Company, New York.  
 Kaplansky, I. (1953). Product of normal operators. *Duke Math. J.*, **20**, 257-60.  
 Yadav, B. S., and Ramanujan, P. B. (1967). On hyponormal operators. *Math. Japon.*, **12**, 33-34.  
 ————— (1969). A note on normal operators. *Mat. Bech.*, **6**(21), CTP. 149.