

ON THE ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY FACTORS OF FOURIER-LAGUERRE EXPANSION

by TIKAM SINGH

Govt Engineering College, Ujjain

(Communicated by S. C. Chatterjee, F. N. A.)

(Received 20 April, 1974)

In this paper the absolute $(C, 1)$ summability factors of the Fourier-Laguerre expansion are considered at the point $t=x$.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

The Fourier-Laguerre expansion corresponding to a Lebesgue-measurable function $f(x)$ in $[0, \infty]$ is

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\overline{(x+1)} \binom{n+\alpha}{n} a_n = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{\alpha} f(y) L_n^{(\alpha)}(y) dy. \quad (1.2)$$

The existence of the above integral is presumed and $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ denotes the n th Laguerre polynomial of order $\alpha > -1$.

A series $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable $(C, 1)$ or $|C, 1|$ summable, if

$$\sum |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n+1}|$$

is convergent.

It is well known that (Kogbetliantz 1925)

$$n(\sigma_n - \sigma_{n+1}) = T_n$$

where σ_n and T_n denote the n th Cesàro means of order one of the sequences $\{s_n\}$ and $\{na_n\}$ respectively.

2. INTRODUCTION

The absolute summability factors for the Fourier series of a Lebesgue-measurable function in $(-\pi, \pi)$ were, for the first time, studied by Prasad

(1931). Since then, a number of researchers such as Izumi and Kawata (1938), Chow (1941), Cheng (1947-48), Prasad and Bhatta (1957) generalized Prasad's result in various directions by establishing a number of interesting theorems. In the same line, recently, Hsiang (1965) has proved the following :

Theorem—If

$$\int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = O\left(\frac{t}{\log \frac{1}{t}}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{1+\epsilon}}, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

is summable $|C, 1|$ at $t=x$.

In the present paper, we intend to extend the above result of Hsiang to the Fourier–Laguerre expansion.

We write

$$\phi_x(y) = \{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\}^{-1} [f(y) - f(x)]$$

and

$$\psi_x(u) = f(x \pm u) - f(x).$$

3. THEOREM

We establish the following theorem :

Theorem—For $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{1+\epsilon}}$$

is summable $|C, 1|$ at a point $y=x$ of the interval $[\eta, w]$, $0 < \eta < w < \infty$, provided

$$\int_{\delta}^w y^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_x(y)| dy = O\left(\log^{\lambda} \frac{1}{t}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, $0 < \delta < w$, $0 < \lambda < \epsilon$

$$\int_{\delta}^w \frac{|\psi_x(u)|}{u} du = O\left(\log^{\lambda} \frac{1}{t}\right) \tag{3.2}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$,

and

$$\int_{\eta}^w e^{-\frac{t}{y}} y^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_x(y)| dy = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\lambda} n) \tag{3.3}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The condition (3.3) can also be replaced by the following conditions

$$\int_{\omega}^n e^{-y} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{5}{4}} |\phi_x(y)| dy = O(\log^{\lambda} n) \tag{3.4}$$

ω being fixed positive constant

and

$$\int_n^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{\alpha-2} |\phi_x(y)|^2 dy = O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\log n)^2) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

4. LEMMAS

We need the following lemmas to establish our theorem ;

Lemma 1 (Szegő 1959, p. 175)—Let α be arbitrary and real, c and ω fixed positive constants. and let $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} O(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}), & \text{if } \frac{c}{n} \leq x \leq \omega \\ O(n^{\alpha}) & , \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{c}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2 (Szegő 1959, p. 197)—Let α be an arbitrary and real number, we have

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{x}{2}} x^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(2\sqrt{nx} - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}}), \quad x > 0.$$

The bound for the remainder holds uniformly in $[\epsilon, \omega]$.

Lemma 3 (Szegő 1959, p. 238)—Let α be arbitrary and real $a > 0, 0 < \eta < 4$. We have for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\max e^{-\frac{x}{2}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| \sim \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}, & \text{if } a \leq x \leq (4-\eta)n; \\ n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } x \geq a. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4—If (3.1) holds, then

$$\int_0^t y^{\alpha} |\phi_x(y)| dy = O\left(t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} \log^{\lambda} \frac{1}{t}\right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} |\phi_x(y)| dy,$$

so that

$$\Phi'(t) = -t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} |\phi_x(t)|$$

or

$$-\int_0^t y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \Phi'(y) dy = \int_0^t y^{\alpha} |\phi_x(y)| dy.$$

Now, intergrating by parts the *L. H. S.* and using (3.1), we have

$$\int_0^t y^\alpha |\phi_x(y)| dy = O\left(t^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} \log^\lambda \frac{1}{t}\right).$$

Lemma 5—If (3.3) holds then

$$\int_0^n e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{3}{4}}} |\phi_x(y)| dy = O(\log^\lambda n)$$

where ω is a fixed positive number and $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: In fact, if we write

$$u(x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}} |\phi_x(y)| dy$$

we have

$$u'(x) = -e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}} |\phi_x(x)|$$

or

$$\int_0^n y^{\frac{1}{2}} u'(y) dy = - \int_0^n e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{3}{4}}} |\phi_x(y)| dy.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^n y^{\frac{1}{2}} u'(y) dy &= \left[y^{\frac{1}{2}} u(y) \right]_\omega^n + O\left(\int_0^n y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(y) dy\right) \\ &= O\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log^\lambda n\right) + O(1) \int_0^n y^{-\frac{\gamma}{6}} \log^\lambda y dy + O(1) \\ &= O(\log^\lambda n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{since } u(x) = O(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log^\lambda x).$$

Lemma 6—If $S_n(x)$ denotes the sum of the first $(n+1)$ terms of (1.1), then under the hypothesis of the theorem

$$S_n(x) = O(\log^\lambda n).$$

Proof: We have

$$S_n(x) = (n+1) \{ \overline{\alpha+1} A_n^\alpha \}^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^\alpha f(y) \frac{L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y) - L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_n^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x-y} dy.$$

Putting $f(y) = 1$ and using orthogonal property of Laguerre polynomials

$$S_n(x) - f(x) = (n+1) \{ \overline{\alpha+1} A_n^\alpha \}^{-1}$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^\alpha [f(y) - f(x)] \frac{L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y) - L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y) L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)}{x-y} dy.$$

(4.1)

$$= (n+1) \{ A_n^\alpha \}^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^\alpha \phi_x(y) \frac{L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(y) - L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(x)}{x-y} dy$$

by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) &= L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(x) \\ &= (n+1) (A_n^\alpha)^{-1} \left[\int_0^{c/n} + \int_{c/n}^{e'} + \int_{e'}^{x-6} + \int_{x-6}^{x+6} + \int_{x+6}^{\infty} + \int_{\omega}^n + \int_n^{\infty} \right] \\ &\quad e^{-y} y^\alpha \phi_x(y) \frac{L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(y) - L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x-y} dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^7 I_i, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have for $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= O(n^{1-\epsilon}) \int_0^{c/n} e^{-y} y^\alpha |\phi_x^-(y)| \\ &\quad \left[n^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} n^{\epsilon-1} + n^{\frac{\alpha-3}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} n^\alpha \right] dy \\ &= O \left(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \right) \int_0^{c/n} y^\alpha |\phi_x^-(y)| dy \\ &= O \left(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} \cdot n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \log^\lambda n \right) \\ &= O(\log^\lambda n). \end{aligned}$$

Again, with the help of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= O(n^{1-\epsilon}) \int_{c/n}^{e'} e^{-y} y^\alpha |\phi_x^+(y)| \left[n^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \cdot n^{\frac{\alpha-3}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} y^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} + n^{\frac{\alpha-3}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} \cdot n^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \right] dy \\ &= O \left[\int_{c/n}^{e'} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} |\phi_x^+(y)| dy \right] \\ &= O(\log^\lambda n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ by the hypothesis (3.1).} \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= (n+1) (A_n^\epsilon)^{-1} \int_{e'}^{x-6} e^{-y} y^\epsilon |\phi_x(y)| \\ &\quad \frac{L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(y) - L_{n+1}^{(\alpha-1)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y)}{x-y} dy \\ &= I_{3,1} - I_{3,2}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, using lemma 2 and noting that x is confined to a fixed positive number, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,1} &= O(n^{1-\epsilon}) \int_{e'}^{x-6} \frac{e^{-y} y^\epsilon |\phi_x(y)|}{x-y} O \left(n^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\ &\quad \left[\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{y/2} y^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} \cos \left\{ 2\sqrt{ny} - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right\} + O \left(n^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \right) \right] dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \int_0^{x-\delta} \frac{e^{-y/2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}}}{x-y} |\phi_x(y)| \cos \left\{ 2\sqrt{ny} - \frac{2\alpha-1}{4} \pi \right\} dy \\
 &\quad + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_0^{x-\delta} \frac{e^{-y} y^\alpha |\phi_x(y)|}{x-y} dy + o(1) \\
 &= o(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

because the first term tends to zero by Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and the second term tends to zero in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly

$$I_{3,2} = o(1).$$

Thus

$$I_3 = o(1).$$

Proceeding on the same lines

$$I_5 = o(1).$$

Now, with the help of the first part of Lemma 3 and noting that x is confined to a fixed positive number, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_6 &= O(n^{1-\alpha}) \int_0^n \frac{e^{-y} y^\alpha |\phi_x(y)|}{x-y} \left[n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \cdot e^{y/2} y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} \cdot e^{y/2} y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \right] dy \\
 &= O(1) \int_0^n e^{-y/2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} |\phi_x(y)| dy + O(1) \int_0^n e^{-y/2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{5}{4}} |\phi_x(y)| dy \\
 &= O(1) \int_0^n e^{-y/2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} |\phi_x(y)| dy \\
 &= O(\log^\lambda n), \text{ by Lemma 5.}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the interval $n \leq y < \infty$, the second part of Lemma 3 tells us that

$$e^{-x/2} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} |L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)| = O(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}).$$

Now, using formula (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_7 &= O(n^{1-\alpha}) \int_n^\infty \frac{e^{-y} y^\alpha |\phi_x(y)|}{y-x} [L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x) L_n^{(\alpha)}(y) - L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y)] dy \\
 &= I_{7,1} - I_{7,2}, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

With the help of the above result, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{7,1} &= O(n^{1-\alpha}) \int_n^\infty \frac{e^{-y} y^\alpha |\phi_x(y)|}{y-x} O\left(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}\right) e^{y/2} y^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} dy \\
 &= O\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_n^\infty e^{-y/2} y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{4}} |\phi_x(y)| dy \\
 &= O(\log^\lambda n), \text{ by the hypothesis (3.3).}
 \end{aligned}$$

If the condition (3.3) be replaced by the conditions (3.4) and (3.5), the treatment of I_6 will be the same as given already, while the treatment of I_7 is given below. Here we use Schwarz's inequality and orthogoeal property of the Laguerre polynomials and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{7,1} &= O(n^{1-\epsilon}) \int_n^\infty e^{-y} y^{\alpha-1} |\phi_x(y)| O(n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}) |L_n^{(\alpha)}(y)| dy \\ &= O(n^{\frac{3}{4}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}) \left[\int_n^\infty e^{-y} y^{\epsilon-2} |\phi_x(y)|^2 dy \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left[\int_n^\infty e^{-y} y^\alpha (L_n^{(\alpha)}(y))^2 dy \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O(\log^\lambda n), \text{ because the last integral is } O(n^\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$I_{7,2} = O(\log^\lambda n).$$

Thus

$$I_7 = O(\log^\lambda n).$$

Finally, using lemma 2 and making simplifications, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{x/2} x^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \right)^2 \int_{x-\delta}^{x+\delta} e^{-y} y^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_x(y)| \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{\sin \{2\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{y})\}}{\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{y}} \right| dy + O(1) \\ &= O \left[\int_{x-\delta}^{x-(1/n)} + \int_{x-(1/n)}^{x+(1/n)} + \int_{x+(1/n)}^{x+\delta} \right] e^{-y} y^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_x(y)| \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{\sin \{2\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{y})\}}{\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{y}} \right| dy + O(1) \\ &= I_{4,1} + I_{4,2} + I_{4,3} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now substituting $x-y = u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4,2} &= O \left[n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{(1/n)} |\psi_x(u)| du \right] \\ &= O(n^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-1} \log^\lambda n), \text{ by the hypothesis (3.2)} \\ &= O(\log^\lambda n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4,3} &= O \left[\int_{x+(1/n)}^{x+\delta} \frac{|\phi_x(y)|}{|x-y|} (x^{\frac{1}{2}} + y^{\frac{1}{2}}) dy \right] \\ &= O \left[\int_{(1/n)}^\delta \frac{|\psi_x(u)|}{u} du \right] \\ &= O(\log^\lambda n), \text{ by the hypothesis (3.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$I_{k+1} = O(\log^\lambda n).$$

Thus

$$I_k = O(\log^\lambda n).$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

By Abel's transformation and Lemma 6, we have writing

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(x) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu L_\nu^{(\alpha)}(x) \\ \sum_{n=1}^m \left| \frac{T_n(x)}{n} \right| &= \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\nu A_\nu(x)}{\{\log(\nu+1)\}^{1+\epsilon}} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^m \left| \Delta \left(\frac{1}{\{\log(\nu+1)\}^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \right| (\nu+1) |t_\nu(x)| \sum_{n=\nu+1}^m \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \\ &\quad \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{|t_n(x)|}{n \{\log(n+1)\}^{1+\epsilon}} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^m |t_\nu(x)| \frac{1}{(\nu+1) \{\log(\nu+1)\}^{2+\epsilon}} + O(1) \\ &= O \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \frac{\log^\lambda(\nu+1)}{(\nu+1) \{\log(\nu+1)\}^{2+\epsilon}} \right) + O(1) \\ &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Dr. D. P. Gupta for his valuable advice during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Cheng, M. T. (1947). Summability factors of Fourier series at a given point. *Duke Math. J.*, **14**, 405-10.
- (1948), Summability factors of Fourier series. *Duke Math. J.*, **15**, 17-27; 29-36.
- Chow, H. C. (1941). On the summability factors of Fourier series. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **16**, 215-20.
- Hsiang, F. C. (1965). On the absolute summability factors of Fourier series. *Comp. Math.*, (2), **17**, 156-60.
- Izumi, S., and Kawata, J. (1938). Notes on Fourier series. *Proc. Imp. Acad. (Tokyo)*, **14**, 32-35.
- Kogbetliantz, E. (1925). Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **49**, 234-56.
- Prasad, B. N. (1931). The absolute summability (A) of Fourier series. *Proc. Edin. math. Soc.*, **2**, 129-35.
- Prasad, B. N., and Bhatta, S. N. (1957). The summability factors of Fourier series. *Duke Math. J.*, **24**, 103-17
- Szego, G. (1959). Orthogonal Polynomials. *Amer. math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. New York*.