

# INCLUSION THEOREMS FOR THE SEQUENCE SPACES OF ALLEN

by D. SOMASUNDRAM,\* *Department of Mathematics,  
Kandaswami Kander College, Velur (Salem)*

(Communicated by F. C. Auluck, F. N. A.)

(Received 1 May 1974)

For the sequence spaces  $E_r$  and  $F_r$  introduced by Allen, necessary and sufficient conditions on the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  are found so that it should transform : (i)  $F_r$  into  $E_r$ , (ii)  $E_r$  into  $E_r$ , (iii)  $F_r$  into  $l$  and (iv)  $l$  into  $F_r$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Inclusion theorems of matrix transformation deal with necessary and sufficient conditions on an infinite matrix to transform a given sequence space into a sequence space of required nature. We shall study theorems of this type in relation to sequence spaces introduced by Allen in his investigation on Kothe sequence spaces (see Cooke 1955). The following are the sequence spaces defined by Allen.

(i)  $E_r$  : The set of all sequences such that

$$|x_k| \leq Ak^r, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

(ii)  $F_r$  : The set of all sequences  $(x_k)$  such that

$$\sum |x_k| k^r < \infty \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \text{ where } r > 0$$

Allen has proved that the spaces  $E_r$  and  $F_r$  are perfect spaces in the sense of Kothe and Toeplitz. The sequence spaces of absolutely convergent series will be denoted by  $l$ . We shall find out necessary and sufficient condition on an infinite matrix so that it should transform

(1)  $F_r$  into  $E_r$  (Theorem 1)

(2)  $E_r$  into  $E_r$  (Theorem 2)

(3)  $F_r$  into  $l$  (Theorem 3)

(4)  $l$  into  $F_r$  (Theorem 4)

---

\* Present address : Department of Applied Mathematics, Madras University Post-Graduate Centre, Coimbatore 641004.

2. MATRIX TRANSFORMATION OF  $F_r$  INTO  $E_r$ 

Let us consider the following matrix transformation

$$y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

**Theorem 1**—A necessary and sufficient condition that  $(y_n)$  should belong to  $E_r$  whenever  $(x_k)$  belongs to  $F_r$  is that

$$\frac{|a_{nk}|}{(nk)^r} \leq M, \quad \text{where } M \text{ is a constant} \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* The condition is sufficient.

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| |x_k| \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ &= n^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{nk}|}{(nk)^r} |x_k| k^r. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (2.2) and noting the fact that  $(x_k) \in F_r$ , we get  $|y_n| \leq A n^r$  where  $A$  is a constant. This proves that  $(y_n) \in E_r$ . The condition is necessary.

Let us suppose that the condition (2.2) is not satisfied. Then there exist sequences  $n_p$  and  $k_p$  such that

$$\frac{|a_{n_p k_p}|}{(n_p k_p)^r} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Since the matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  is applicable to each member of  $F_r$ , we must have  $(a_{nk}) \in E_r$  for each fixed  $n$ . This leads to the condition

$$|a_{nk}| \leq A_n k^r \quad \text{for each fixed } n \text{ and for all } k. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $e_k$  be the sequence defined as follows. Let  $x_k = 1$  and  $x_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq k$ . Then  $y_n = a_{nk}$ . Since  $y_n \in E_r$ , we have

$$|a_{nk}| \leq B_k n^r \quad \text{for each fixed } k \text{ and for all } n. \quad (2.5)$$

In (2.4) and (2.5), we note that the following sequence  $(A_n)$  and  $(B_k)$  are unbounded as seen below.

If  $(A_n)$  is not unbounded, let it be bounded.

Since  $\frac{|a_{nk}|}{(k^r)} \leq A_n \leq M$ , we get  $\frac{|a_{nk}|}{k^r} \leq M$ .

Hence  $\frac{|a_{nk}|}{n^r k^r} \leq \frac{M}{n^r}$ . Since  $r > 0$  we have  $1/n^r \leq 1$  for all  $n$ . Using

this fact,  $\frac{|a_{nk}|}{(nk)^r} \leq M$  which contradicts our assumption (2.3) Hence the sequence  $(A_n)$  and similarly the sequence  $(B_k)$  are unbounded.

$$\frac{|a_{n_1 k_1}|}{(n_1 k_1)^r} > 2, A_{n_1} > 1, \text{ and } |a_{n k_1}| \leq B_{k_1} n^r \text{ for all } n. \tag{2.6}$$

Then choose  $n_2 > n_1$  and  $k_2 > k_1$  satisfying

$$\frac{|a_{n_2 k_2}|}{(n_2 k_2)^r} > 2(\frac{1}{2} + B_{k_1}) A_{n_1}, A_{n_1} < A_{n_2} \text{ and } |a_{n k_2}| \leq B_{k_2} n^r \text{ for all } n. \tag{2.7}$$

Proceeding in this manner, let us suppose that  $n_{p-1}$  and  $k_{p-1}$  have already been chosen. Then we can find  $n_p > n_{p-1}$  and  $k_p > k_{p-1}$  such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |a_{n_p k_p}| &> 2^{p-1} A_{n_{p-1}} (P + \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} + B_{k_1} + B_{k_2} + B_{k_3} + \dots + B_{k_{p-1}}) \\ A_{n_{p-1}} &< A_{n_p} \text{ and } |a_{n k_p}| \leq B_{k_p} n^r \text{ for all } n. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{2.8}$$

From the above  $A_{n_1} \leq A_{n_2} \leq \dots \leq A_{n_p} \leq \dots$

With help of the above, we shall construct a sequence  $(x_k) \in F_r$  with the supplementary condition that  $|x_k| \leq 1$  for every  $k$  and such that  $(y_n)$  has a subsequence for which  $(|y_n|/n^r)$  is unbounded so that  $(y_n)$  does not belong to  $E_r$ . Let us define the sequence  $(x_k)$  as follows.

$$x_{k_1} = \frac{\text{Sgn } a_{n_1 k_1}}{k_1^r} \tag{2.9}$$

$$x_{k_p} = \frac{\text{Sgn } a_{n_p k_p}}{2^{p-1} A_{n_{p-1}} k_p^r}, p = 2, 3, \dots$$

and  $x_k = 0$  for  $k \neq k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p, \dots$

It is to check that  $(x_k) \in F_r$ .

$$y_{n_1} = a_{n_1 k_1} x_{k_1} + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} a_{n_1 k_p} x_{k_p} \tag{2.10}$$

$$y_{n_1} \geq |a_{n_1 k_1}| |x_{k_1}| - | \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} a_{n_1 k_p} x_{k_p} |.$$

By making use of (2.6) and (2.9), we get

$$|a_{n_1 k_1} x_{k_1}| > 2 (n_1 k_1)^r \frac{1}{k_1^r} = 2 n_1^r. \tag{2.11}$$

By making use of (2.4) and (2.9) we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} |a_{n_1 k_p}| |x_{k_p}| &\leq A_{n_1} k_2^r \frac{1}{2A_{n_1} k_2^r} + A_{n_1} k_3^r \frac{1}{2^2 A_{n_1} k_3^r} + \dots \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have from the above

$$\left| \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} a_{n_1 k_p} x_{k_p} \right| < 1. \tag{2.12}$$

With the help of (2.11) and (2.12), we can rewrite (2.10) as,

$$|y_{n_1}| > 2n_1^r - 1 \text{ so that we have } \frac{|y_{n_1}|}{n_1^r} > 2 - \frac{1}{n_1^r}$$

since

$$\frac{1}{n_1^r} < 1 \text{ for } r > 0, \text{ we have } \frac{|y_{n_1}|}{n_1^r} > 1$$

$$y_{n_2} = a_{n_2 k_1} x_{k_1} + a_{n_2 k_2} x_{k_2} + \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} a_{n_2 k_p} x_{k_p}.$$

Using (2.9) in the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n_2} &= \frac{a_{n_2 k_2}}{2A_{n_1} k_2^r} + a_{n_2} x_{k_2} + \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} a_{n_2 k_p} x_{k_p} \\ |y_{n_2}| &\geq \frac{|a_{n_2 k_2}|}{2A_{n_1} k_2^r} - |a_{n_2 k_1} x_{k_1}| - \sum_{q=3}^{\infty} |a_{n_2 k_q} x_{k_q}| \tag{2.13} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{|a_{n_2 k_2}|}{2A_{n_1} k_2^r} > \left( \frac{5}{2} + B_{k_1} \right) n_2^r \tag{2.14}$$

and  $|a_{n_2 k_2} x_{k_1}| \leq B_{k_1} n_2^r$  by using (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=3}^{\infty} |a_{n_2 k_p} x_{k_p}| &\leq A_{n_2} k_3^r \frac{1}{2^2 A_{n_2} k_3^r} + A_{n_2} k_4^r \frac{1}{2^3 A_{n_2} k_4^r} + \dots \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} 2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots \right) < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Hence we have from the above

$$\sum_{p=3}^{\infty} |a_{n_2 k_p} x_{k_p}| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

By making use of (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.13) we get

$$|y_{n_2}| > \left( \frac{5}{2} + B_{k_1} \right) n_2^r - B_{k_1} n_2^r - \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|y_{n_2}|}{n_2^r} > \frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 2, \text{ since } \frac{1}{n^r} < 1 \text{ for } r > 0.$$

Thus we have proved

$$\frac{|y_{n_2}|}{n_2^r} > 2.$$

Proceeding exactly in the same manner, we can find a  $n_p$  such that

$\frac{|y_{n_p}|}{n_p^r} > p$ . That is  $\frac{y_n}{n^r}$  tends to infinity through a subsequence of values of  $n$ . Hence  $(y_n)$  does not belong to  $E_r$ , even though  $(x_k) \in F_r$ . This contradiction proves that the condition is necessary.

### 3. MATRIX TRANSFORMATION OF $E_r$ INTO $E_r$

This result is contained in the following theorem.

*Theorem 2*—A necessary and sufficient condition that  $(y_n)$  should belong to  $E_r$ , whenever  $(x_k)$  belongs to  $E_r$  is that

$$\theta_n = \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}| k^r \leq M, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $M$  is a constant.

*Proof:* The condition is sufficient.

We have

$$|y_n| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}| |x_k|.$$

Since

$$(x_k) \in E_r, |x_k| \leq A k^r,$$

where  $A$  is a constant.

By the given condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}| k^r \leq M n^r.$$

Using these two we get  $|y_n| \leq AM n^r$  so that  $(y_n) \in E_r$ .

The condition is necessary.

If the condition is not satisfied, we shall find a subsequence  $(n_p)$  such that

$$\theta_{n_p} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Since  $A$  is applicable to each  $E_r$ ,  $(a_{nk})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  for each fixed  $n$  must belong to  $F_r$ , so that we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}| k^r < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Let  $(e^k)$  be the sequence defined as  $x_k = k^r$  and  $x_j = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ .  $(e^k) \in E_r$  and hence its transform  $y_n = a_{nk} k^r \in E_r$  for each fixed  $k$ .

Using this, we have the following condition.

$$\frac{|a_{nk}| k^r}{n^r} \leq A_k \quad \text{for each fixed } k. \tag{3.4}$$

We shall construct a sequence  $(x_k)$  such that  $(x_k/k^r)$  is bounded with the supplementary condition  $|x_k/k^r| \leq 1$ , and show that the corresponding  $(y_n)$  does not belong to  $E_r$ .

By (3.2), we can choose a  $n_1$  satisfying the condition,

$$\theta_{n_1} > 1 \quad \text{which is} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r > n_1^r. \tag{3.5}$$

Having chosen  $n_1$ , we can find a  $k_1$  by (3.3) such that

$$\sum_{k=k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r - \sum_{k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r.$$

By using (3.5) and (3.6) in the above, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r \geq n_1^r - \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us define  $x = k^r \text{ Sgn } a_{n_1 k} \quad 1 \leq k \leq k_1$

$$y_{n_1} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r + \sum_{k_1+1}^{\infty} a_{n_1 k} x_k$$

$$|y_{n_1}| \geq \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r - \sum_{k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_{n_1 k}| k^r$$

By using (3.6) and (3.7), we have from the above,

$$|y_{n_1}| \geq n_1^r - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} = n_1^r - \epsilon \quad \text{which implies that} \quad \frac{|y_{n_1}|}{n_1} > 1 - \epsilon$$

By (3.4),  $|a_{nk}| k^r \leq A_k n^r$  for each fixed  $k$  and for all  $n$ .

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{nk}| k^r \leq n^r \{A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + \dots + A_{k_1}\}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{nk}| k^r \leq n^r C_{k_1} \tag{3.8}$$

where  $C_{k_1}$  is the sum of the  $k_1$  terms.

Now choose  $n_2 > n_1$ , satisfying the following condition.

$$\theta_{n_2} > (2+2C_{k_1}) \tag{3.9}$$

which is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| k^r > n_2^r (2+2 C_{k_1}) .$$

Having choosen  $n_2 > n_1$ , choose  $k_2 > k_1$  such that

$$\sum_{k_2+1}^{\infty} |a_{n_2 k}| k^r < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sum_{k_1+1}^{k_2} |a_{n_2 k}| k^r = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} |a_{n_2 k}| k^r - \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} |a_{n_2 k}| k^r - \sum_{k_1+1}^{\infty} |a_{n_2 k}| k^r .$$

With the help of (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we have from the above,

$$\sum_{k_1+1}^{k_2} |a_{n_2 k}| k^r \geq n_2^r (2+2 C_{k_1}) - C_{k_1} n_2^r - \frac{\epsilon}{2} . \tag{3.11}$$

Define

$$x_k = k^r \text{ Sgn } a_{n_2 k}, \quad k_1+1 < k \leq k_2$$

$$y_{n_2} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} a_{n_2 k} x_k + \sum_{k_1+1}^{k_2} a_{n_2 k} x_k + \sum_{k_2+1}^{\infty} a_{n_2 k} x_k .$$

By using (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$|y_{n_2}| \geq n_2^r (2+2C_{k_1}) - C_{k_1} n_2^r - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - C_{k_1} n_2^r - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$|y_{n_2}| \geq 2 n_2^r - \epsilon$$

which implies that

$$\frac{|y_{n_2}|}{n_2^r} > 2 - \epsilon .$$

Proceeding in this manner, we can choose  $n_p > n_{p-1}$  and  $k_p > k_{p-1}$  such that

$$\theta_{n_p} > \{p+2 (C_1+C_2+\dots+C_{k_{p-1}})\}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=k_{p+1}}^{\infty} |a_{n_p k}| k^r < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Define

$$x_k = k^r \text{ Sgn } a_{n_p k} \text{ for } k_{p-1} < k \leq k_p.$$

Continuing as in the case of  $y_{n_1}$  and  $y_{n_2}$ , we can show that

$$\frac{|y_{n_p}|}{n_p^{r'}} > p - \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $(y_n)$  tends to infinity through a subsequence  $(n_p)$ . Hence  $(y_n)$  does not belong to  $E_r$ .

#### 4. THEOREMS

It was proved by Knopp and Lorentz (1949) that a necessary and sufficient condition that for a matrix  $A = (a_{nk})$  to transform  $l$  into  $l$  is that there exists a constant  $M$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq M \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{4.1}$$

We note that the mapping  $(x_k)$  to  $(x_k k^r)$  is a one-to-one correspondence between  $F_r$  and  $l$ . Hence the matrix  $(a_{nk})$  maps  $F_r$  into  $l$  if, and only if, the matrix  $(a_{nk}/k^r)$  maps  $l$  into  $l$ . Similarly the matrix  $(b_{nk})$  maps  $l$  into  $F_r$  if, and only if, the matrix  $(b_{nk}n^r)$  is a mapping  $l$  into  $l$ . These observations together with the condition (4.1) lead to the following two theorems.

*Theorem 3*—A necessary and sufficient condition that  $A = (a_{nk})$  should transform  $F_r$  into  $l$  is  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq k^r M$  where  $M$  is a constant.

*Theorem 4* A necessary and sufficient condition that  $A = (a_{nk})$  should transform  $l$  into  $F_r$  is  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| n^r \leq M$ , where  $M$  is a constant.

The other inclusion theorems on matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Allen and the well-known sequence spaces will be given in another paper.

#### REFERENCES

Cooke, R. G. (1955). *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces* Dover, Publs, New York.  
 Knoop, K. and Lorentz, G. G. (1949), Beitrage zur absoluten Limitierung. *Arch. Math.* 2, 10-16.