

HOLOMORPHIC PROJECTIVE BIRECURRENT MANIFOLD

by M. P. SINGH RATHORE* and R. S. MISHRA, F.N.A., Department of Mathematics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 5

(Received 15 May 1972; after revision 20 March 1973)

The object of the paper is to study the properties of holomorphic projective curvature tensor $P(Z, T, W)$ in a Kähler manifold M_{2n} by imposing a basic condition on the H -Projective curvature tensor by

$$(D_X D_Y P)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y) + P(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} P)(Z, T, W).$$

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a $2n$ dimensional real manifold M_{2n} of differentiability class C^{r+1} . Let F be a vector valued linear function of M_{2n} such that

$$F(F(X)) + X = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

for an arbitrary vector field X .

Then F is said to give an almost complex structure to M_{2n} and M_{2n} is called an almost complex manifold.

Agreement (1.1)—All the equations which follow, hold for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, T, W, \dots , etc.

Let the almost complex manifold M_{2n} be also endowed with the Hermitian metric g :

$$g(F(X), F(Y)) = g(X, Y), \quad (1.2)$$

then M_{2n} is called an almost Hermite manifold.

Let us put

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(F(X), Y). \quad (1.3)$$

Then

$$\Omega(F(X), F(Y)) = -g(X, F(Y)) = \Omega(X, Y) \quad (1.4a)$$

$$-(F(X), \Omega Y) = g(X, Y) = \Omega(X, F(Y)) \quad (1.4b)$$

$$\Omega(X, Y) - \Omega(Y, X) = 0 \quad (1.4c)$$

*Present address : Department of Mathematics, Kamla Nehru Institute of Science & Technology, Sultanpur (U.P.)

Suppose D is a Riemannian connexion in M_{2n} , then

$$D_X Y - D_Y X = [X, Y], \tag{1.5a}$$

$$(D_X g)(Y, Z) = 0. \tag{1.5b}$$

An almost Hermite manifold for which

$$(D_X F)(Y) = 0 \tag{1.6a}$$

or

$$(D_X Q)(Y, Z) = 0 \tag{1.6b}$$

is satisfied, is called a Kähler manifold.

Let K be the curvature tensor of the Kähler manifold M_{2n} given by

$$K(X, Y, Z) = D_X D_Y Z - D_Y D_X Z - D_{[X, Y]} Z, \tag{1.7}$$

and let us define

$$'K(X, Y, Z, T) = g(K(X, Y, Z), T). \tag{1.8}$$

Then for a Kähler manifold, we have (Mishra 1970)

$$K(X, Y, F(Z)) = F(K(X, Y, Z)) \tag{1.9a}$$

and

$$'K(F(X), F(Y), Z, T) = 'K(X, Y, Z, T) = 'K(X, Y, F(Z), F(T)). \tag{1.9b}$$

Let Ric be the Ricci tensor of M_{2n} defined by (Mishra 1970)

$$\text{Ric}(Y, Z) = (C_1^1 K)(Y, Z), \tag{1.10}$$

where C_1^1 is the contraction operator.

Then in a Kähler manifold (Mishra 1970), we have

$$\text{Ric}(F(X), F(Y)) = \text{Ric}(X, Y) \tag{1.11a}$$

$$\text{Ric}(F(X), Y) + \text{Ric}(X, F(Y)) = 0 \tag{1.11b}$$

$$r(F(X)) = F(r(X)) \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$g(r(X), Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ric}(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}(C_3^1 F(K))(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ric}(X, F(Y))$$

and

$$(C_1^1 r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R \text{ is the scalar curvature.}$$

The projective curvature tensor $*W$, the conformal curvature tensor V , the conharmonic curvature tensor L and the concircular curvature tensor C are given by (Mishra 1965)

$$*W(Z, T, W) = K(Z, T, W) - \frac{1}{(2n-1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T \right] \quad \dots(1.13)$$

$$V(Z, T, W) = K(Z, T, W) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T + r(Z)g(T, W) - r(T)g(Z, W) + \frac{R}{2(2n-1)(n-1)} \left[g(T, W)Z - g(Z, W)T \right] \right] \quad \dots(1.14)$$

$$L(Z, T, W) = K(Z, T, W) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T + r(Z)g(T, W) - r(T)g(Z, W) \right] \quad \dots(1.15)$$

$$C(Z, T, W) = K(Z, T, W) - \frac{R}{2n(2n-1)} \left[g(T, W)Z - g(Z, W)T \right], \text{ respectively} \quad \dots(1.16)$$

The H -projective tensor of the Kähler manifold is given by the relation (Mishra 1970).

$$P(Z, T, W) = K(Z, T, W) - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T - \text{Ric}(T, F(W))F(Z) + \text{Ric}(Z, F(W))F(T) + 2\text{Ric}(Z, F(T))F(W) \right] \dots (1.17)$$

Definition—A manifold M_{2n} will be called (i) K -birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X K)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y) K(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} K)(Z, T, W) \quad \dots (1.18)$$

(ii) Ricci birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, T) = a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(Z, T) + (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, T) \quad \dots (1.19)$$

which yields

$$(D_Y D_X r)(Z) = a(X, Y) r(Z) + (D_{D_Y X} r)(Z) \quad \dots(1.20)$$

(iii) projective birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X *W)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y) *W(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} *W)(Z, T, W) \dots (1.21)$$

(iv) conformal birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X V)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y)V(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} V)(Z, T, W) \quad \dots(1.22)$$

(v) conharmonic birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X L)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y)L(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} L)(Z, T, W) \quad \dots(1.23)$$

(vi) concircular birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X C)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y)C(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} C)(Z, T, W) \quad \dots(1.24)$$

(vii) H-projective birecurrent if

$$(D_Y D_X P)(Z, T, W) = a(X, Y)P(Z, T, W) + (D_{D_Y X} P)(Z, T, W) \quad \dots(1.25)$$

where $a(X, Y)$ is a non-vanishing C^∞ function.

2. H-PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR

Theorem 2.1—For a Kähler manifold if any two of the following properties hold for the same recurrence parameter, the third also holds :

- (a) It is a birecurrent manifold,
- (b) It is a H-projective birecurrent manifold,
- (c) It is a Ricci birecurrent manifold.

PROOF : From (1.17), we have in consequence of (1.6a) that

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X P)(Z, T, W) &= (D_X K)(Z, T, W) - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[(D_X \text{Ric})(T, W)Z \right. \\ &\quad - (D_X \text{Ric})(Z, W)T - (D_X \text{Ric})(T, F(W))F(Z) + (D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(W))F(T) \\ &\quad \left. + 2(D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(T))F(W) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.1)$$

Again differentiating (2.1) with respect to D_Y and using (1.6a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_Y D_X P)(P, T, W) &= (D_Y D_X K)(Z, T, W) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(T, W)Z - (D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, W)T \right. \\ &\quad - (D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(T, F(W))F(Z) + (D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(W))F(T) \\ &\quad \left. + 2(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(T))F(W) \right] \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.2)$$

Let the manifold be birecurrent and H -projective birecurrent then using definitions (i) and (vii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (D_{D_Y X} P)(Z, T, W) - (D_{D_Y X} K)(Z, T, W) + a(X, Y) (P(Z, T, W) - K(Z, T, W)) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(T, W)Z - (D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, W)T \right. \\
 & \quad - (D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(T, F(W))F(Z) + (D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(W))F(T) \\
 & \quad \left. + 2(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(T))F(W) \right]. \tag{2.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $P(Z, T, W) - K(Z, T, W)$ from (1.17) and

$$(D_{D_Y X} P)(Z, T, W) - (D_{D_Y X} K)(Z, T, W)$$

from (2.1) in (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(T, W) - (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(T, W) - a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(T, W) \right] Z \\
 & - \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, W) - D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric}(Z, W) - a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(Z, W) \right] T \\
 & - \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(T, F(W)) - D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric}(T, F(W)) - a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(T, F(W)) \right] F(Z) \\
 & + \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(W)) - (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, F(W)) - a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(Z, F(W)) \right] F(T) \\
 & + \left[(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, F(T)) - (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, F(T)) - a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(Z, F(T)) \right] \\
 & \quad \times F(W) = 0 \tag{2.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the equations hold for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, T, W, \dots etc. Hence we get

$$(D_Y D_X \text{Ric})(Z, T) - (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, T) = a(X, Y) \text{Ric}(Z, T)$$

which implies that the Kähler manifold is a Ricci birecurrent manifold.

Now, we shall show that (b) and (c) \Rightarrow (a).

Let the manifold M_{2n} be H -projective birecurrent and Ricci birecurrent manifold for the same recurrence parameter. Using (1.19) and (1.25) in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D_Y D_X K)(Z, T, W) &= (D_{D_Y X} P)(Z, T, W) + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[(D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(T, W)Z \right. \\
 & \quad - (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, W)T + (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, F(W))F(T) \\
 & \quad - (D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(T, F(W))F(Z) \\
 & \quad \left. + 2(D_{D_Y X} \text{Ric})(Z, F(T))F(W) \right] +
 \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 1036)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ a(X, Y) \left[P(Z, T, W) + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left\{ \text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. - \text{Ric}(T, F(W))F(Z) + \text{Ric}(Z, F(W))F(T) + 2\text{Ric}(Z, F(T))F(W) \right\} \right]. \quad \dots(2.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (1.17) and (2.1) in (2.5) we get

$$(D_Y D_X K)(Z, T, W) = (D_{D_Y} X K)(Z, T, W) + a(X, Y)K(Z, T, W) \quad \dots(2.6)$$

which implies that the Kähler manifold is a birecurrent manifold.

Similarly, we can show that (a) and (c) \Rightarrow (b), which proves the statement.

Theorem 2.2—For a Kähler manifold if any two of the following properties hold for the same recurrence parameter, the third also holds :

- (a) It is a projective birecurrent manifold,
- (b) It is a *H*-projective birecurrent manifold,
- (c) It is a Ricci birecurrent manifold.

PROOF : From (1.13) and (1.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z, T, W) - W(Z, T, W) &= \frac{3}{2(2n-1)(n+1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, F(W))F(Z) - \text{Ric}(Z, F(W))F(T) - 2\text{Ric}(Z, F(T))F(W) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Remaining part of the proof follows from the pattern of the proof of Theorem (2.1)

Theorem 2.3—For a Kähler manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z, T, W) - V(Z, T, W) &- \frac{1}{(n^2-1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T \right] \\
 &+ \frac{R}{2(2n-1)(n-1)} \left[g(T, W)Z - g(Z, W)T \right] - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left[r(Z)g(T, W) \right. \\
 &\left. r(T)g(Z, W) \right] + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, F(W))F(Z) \right. \\
 &\left. - \text{Ric}(Z, F(W))F(T) - 2\text{Ric}(Z, F(T))F(W) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if any two of the following properties hold for the same recurrence parameter, the third also holds :

- (a) It is a conformally birecurrent manifold,
- (b) It is a *H*-projective birecurrent manifold,
- (c) It is a Ricci birecurrent manifold.

Theorem 2.4—For a Kähler manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z, T, W) - L(Z, T, W) = & \frac{1}{(n^2-1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left[r(Z)g(T, W) - r(T)g(Z, W) \right] + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, F(W))F(Z) \right. \\
 & \left. - \text{Ric}(Z, F(W))F(T) - 2\text{Ric}(Z, F(T))F(W) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence if any two of the following properties hold for the same recurrence parameter, the third also holds :

- (a) It is a conharmonic birecurrent manifold,
- (b) It is a *H*-projective birecurrent manifold,
- (c) It is a Ricci birecurrent manifold.

Theorem 2.5—For a Kähler manifold, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Z, T, W) - C(Z, T, W) = & \frac{R}{2n(2n-1)} \left[g(T, W)Z - g(Z, W)T \right] \\
 & - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[\text{Ric}(T, W)Z - \text{Ric}(Z, W)T - \text{Ric}(T, F(W))F(Z) \right. \\
 & \left. + \text{Ric}(Z, F(W))F(T) + 2\text{Ric}(Z, F(T))F(W) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if any two of the following properties hold for the same recurrence parameter, the third also holds :

- (a) It is a concircular birecurrent manifold,
- (b) It is a *H*-projective birecurrent manifold,
- (c) It is a Ricci birecurrent manifold.

The proofs of Theorem 2.3 to 2.5 are similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

REFERENCES

Mishra, R. S. (1965). A Course in Tensor with Applications to Riemannian Geometry. Pothishala Private Ltd., Allahabad.
 ——— (1970). Some properties of *H*-projective curvature tensor in a Kähler manifold. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 1, 336-40.