

BASES IN A CERTAIN SPACE OF FUNCTIONS ANALYTIC IN THE HALF-PLANE

by P. K. KAMTHAN and S. K. SINGH GAUTAM, *Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 16*

(Communicated by J. N. Kapur, F.N.A.)

(Received 17 July 1972; after revision 3 December 1972)

In this paper we have considered the space \mathcal{X} of all functions defined by the Dirichlet series and analytic in the half-plane. It is shown that the space \mathcal{X} equipped with a certain locally convex topology L becomes a Frechet space. We have characterized the form of continuous linear functionals on \mathcal{X} and a continuous linear operator T from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{X} . Further, we have also established the conditions under which a base in \mathcal{X} becomes a proper base and have given a characterization of proper bases in terms of semi-norms which generate the topology L on \mathcal{X} .

1. INTRODUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY

Let us assume throughout this note that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \quad \dots(1.1)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = 0. \quad \dots(1.2)$$

We are concerned in this note with functions f represented by Dirichlet series. Let therefore $\sigma_c(f)$ and $\sigma_a(f)$ be respectively the abscissa of convergence and the abscissa of absolute convergence of f . Assume further that A is a given positive number and \mathcal{X}_A be the class of functions f where

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}, \quad s = \sigma + it \quad (\sigma, t \text{ are reals}) \text{ and where further } a_n \text{'s are}$$

complex numbers ($a_n \equiv a_n(f)$) satisfying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_n|}{\lambda_n} \leq -A \quad \dots(1.3)$$

and the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ satisfies (1.1) and (1.2). Clearly for each $f \in \mathcal{X}$, $\sigma_c(f) = \sigma_a(f) \geq A$ (Markushevich 1965, p. 33). Observe that \mathcal{X}_A includes all entire functions represented by Dirichlet series. Henceforth we shall drop the suffix A in \mathcal{X}_A and will write \mathcal{X}_A as \mathcal{X} . Also we shall write \mathbb{C} for the finite complex plane equipped with its usual topology. Then \mathcal{X} forms a vector space with usual pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. In this paper we discuss the characterization of continuous linear functionals and proper bases in terms of semi-norms defined on \mathcal{X} .

Now for each $f \in \mathcal{X}$, define

$$\|f\|_\sigma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n}, \text{ for every } \sigma < A.$$

Clearly $\|f\|_\sigma$ exists on account of (1.3) and defines a norm on \mathcal{X} , for each $\sigma < A$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$, the space \mathcal{X} , equipped with the norm $\{\|\dots\|_\sigma\}$. Let \mathcal{L} be the topology generated by the family of norms $\{\|f\|_\sigma; \sigma < A\}$. Then \mathcal{X} is complete, metrizable locally convex space (see Lemma 1) and this topology is equivalent to the topology generated by the invariant metric d , where

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{\|f - g\|_{\sigma_n}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{\sigma_n}}, \text{ (Fréchet combination), where } \{\sigma_i\} \text{ is}$$

a sequence, such that

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_n, \sigma_n \rightarrow A \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From now onwards we denote by \mathcal{X} , the space \mathcal{X} , equipped with the topology generated by metric d or by the topology generated by the norms $\{\|\dots\|_{\sigma_i}; i = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

A sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ will be called 'linearly independent' if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n = 0$ implies $a_n = 0$, $n \geq 1$, for all sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers for which $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n$ converges in \mathcal{X} .

The sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$, spans a subspace \mathcal{X}_0 of \mathcal{X} , if \mathcal{X}_0 consists of all linear combina-

tions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n$, such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n$ converges in \mathcal{X} . A sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$, which is

linearly independent and spans a closed subspace \mathcal{X}_0 of \mathcal{X} , will be said to be a 'base' in \mathcal{X}_0 . If $e_n \in \mathcal{X}$, $e_n(s) = e^{s \lambda_n}$, $n \geq 1$, then clearly $\{e_n\}$ is a base in \mathcal{X} . A sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ will be called a 'proper base' if it is a base and it satisfies the condition that for all sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n$ converges in X if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ converges in X .

2. TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF X

Below we throw some light on the topological structure of the space X in terms of its completeness and the characterization of continuous linear functionals on X etc.

Lemma 1—The space X is complete with respect to the metric d and hence it is a Fréchet space.

PROOF · Let $\{f_p\}, f_p(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(p)} e^{s\lambda_n}$, be a Cauchy sequence in X . Hence it is

a Cauchy sequence in $X(\sigma)$ for each real σ . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a positive integer $N, N(\varepsilon, \sigma)$, such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| a_n^{(p)} - a_n^{(q)} \right| e^{\sigma\lambda_n} < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } p, q \geq N. \quad \dots(2.1)$$

Therefore $\{a_n^{(m)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for each $n \geq 1$ and so it converges to some element in \mathbb{C} , say a_n . Let $q \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.1), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| a_n^{(p)} - a_n \right| e^{\sigma\lambda_n} < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } p \geq N. \quad \dots(2.2)$$

To complete the proof we have yet to show that $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in X$. We can choose a σ_i , such that $A < \sigma_i + \varepsilon$. From (2.2) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| a_n^{(p)} - a_n \right| e^{\sigma_i\lambda_n} < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } p \geq N_1 \quad \dots(2.2a)$$

where $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon, \sigma_i)$. Keep p fixed in (2.2a) and observe in view of (1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n^{(p)}| &\leq e^{(-A+\varepsilon)\lambda_n}, \text{ for all } p \geq N_2 \text{ where } N_2 = N_2(\varepsilon, p). \text{ Then} \\ |a_n| &\leq |a_n^{(p)} - a_n| + |a_n^{(p)}| \\ \Rightarrow |a_n| &\leq \varepsilon e^{\sigma_i\lambda_n} + e^{(-A+\varepsilon)\lambda_n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq M = \max(N_1, N_2)$. Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_n|}{\lambda_n} \leq -A.$$

Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in \chi$. Hence $f_p \rightarrow f$ in χ , where $f \in \chi$ and this completes the

proof of the result.

Lemma 2 (i)—A continuous linear functional f on $\chi(\sigma)$ is of the form

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n, \quad \alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \text{ if and only if } |c_n|/e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \text{ is bounded for all } n \geq 1.$$

(ii) A continuous linear functional f on χ is of the form $f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n$,

$$\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \text{ if and only if } \{ |c_n|/e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \} \text{ is bounded for some } \sigma < A.$$

PROOF : Let f be a continuous linear functional on $\chi(\sigma)$. Then

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n, \quad \alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n, \text{ where further } c_n = f(e_n).$$

Hence there exists a constant k such that

$$|f(\alpha)| \leq k \|\alpha\|_{\sigma}, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \chi.$$

Take $\alpha = e_n = e^{s \lambda_n} \in \chi$, this implies that

$$|c_n| \leq k e^{\sigma \lambda_n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Conversely, let α be as before and consider $f(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n$, where

$\{ |c_n| / e^{\sigma \lambda_n} \}$ is bounded. $f(\alpha)$ does exist, since

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n c_n| \leq k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} < +\infty;$$

$$= k \|\alpha\|_{\sigma}.$$

Hence f is a continuous linear functional on $\chi(\sigma)$. This proves the first part of the lemma.

The proof of the second part follows from (i) and the fact that, a functional f on χ is continuous if and only if it is continuous with respect to $\chi(\sigma)$, for each $\sigma < A$ (this is true for locally convex spaces, see Wilansky 1964, p. 147).

The following result will be of much use in our investigation :

Theorem 1—A necessary and sufficient condition that there exists a continuous linear transformation $T : \chi \rightarrow \chi$ with $T e_n = \alpha_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ is that for each $\sigma < A$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} < A. \tag{2.3}$$

PROOF : Let there exist a continuous linear transformation T from χ into χ with $T e_n = \alpha_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for a given σ , there exists a σ_1 , such that $(\sigma, \sigma_1 < A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T e_n\|_{\sigma} &\leq k \|e_n\|_{\sigma_1} \\ &= k e^{\sigma_1 \lambda_n}, \text{ for } n \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\log \|T e_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} &\leq \frac{\log k}{\lambda_n} + \sigma_1, \text{ for } n \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|T e_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} &\leq \sigma_1 < A. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let (2.3) hold and let $\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in \chi$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$, such

that

$$\frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} < A - \epsilon, \text{ for all } n \geq N_1(\epsilon)$$

$$\|\alpha_n\|_{\sigma} < e^{(A-\epsilon)\lambda_n}$$

Choose $\delta > 0$, such that $\delta < \epsilon$, then

$$|\alpha_n| < e^{(-A+\delta)\lambda_n} \text{ (for all } n \geq N_2(\delta)\text{)}.$$

Hence

$$|a_n| \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma \leq e^{(-A+\delta)\lambda_n} e^{(A-\varepsilon)\lambda_n}, \text{ for all } n \geq \max(N_1, N_2) = e^{(\delta-\varepsilon)\lambda_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma \text{ is convergent}$$

and as σ is arbitrarily less than A , we find that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n$ is convergent in χ . Hence

there exists a transformation $T: \chi \rightarrow \chi$, such that $T(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n$, for each $\alpha \in \chi$.

Then T is linear, $T e_n = a_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and given $\sigma < A$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma}{\lambda_n} \leq A - \delta, \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma \leq e^{(A-\delta)\lambda_n}, \text{ for all } n \geq N$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma \leq k e^{(A-\delta)\lambda_n}, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\|T\alpha\| \leq k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{(A-\delta)\lambda_n} = k \|\alpha\|_{A-\delta}.$$

Hence T is continuous.

3. PROPER BASES AND THEIR CHARACTERIZATIONS

To prove our main result on the characterization of proper bases in χ , we first of all prove two important results in the form of lemmas.

Lemma 3—Let $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \chi$. Then the following three properties are equivalent

$$(A_1) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma}{\lambda_n} < A, \text{ for all } \sigma < A.$$

(B₁) For all sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers, convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ implies

the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n$ in \mathcal{X} .

(C₁) For all sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers, convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$

implies that $a_n \alpha_n$ tends to zero in \mathcal{X} .

PROOF : It is clear that (B₁) implies (C₁) and we have already proved (A₁) \Rightarrow (B₁) in the proof of sufficiency part of Theorem (1). We need therefore only to prove that (C₁) \Rightarrow (A₁).

Assume that (C₁) is true and (A₁) is false. This implies that, for some $\sigma' < A$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \| \alpha_n \|_{\sigma'}}{\lambda_n} \geq A.$$

Hence there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers, such that

$$\frac{\log \| \alpha_{n_k} \|_{\sigma'}}{\lambda_{n_k}} \geq A - \frac{1}{k}, \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define $\{a_n\}$ by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} e^{-(A - \frac{1}{k})\lambda_{n_k}}, & \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & n \neq n_k \end{cases}$$

So we have

$$|a_{n_k}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_k}} = e^{-(A - \frac{1}{k})\lambda_{n_k}} e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_k}} = e^{-(\sigma A + \frac{1}{k})\lambda_{n_k}}.$$

There exists a k , large enough such that $A - (\sigma + (1/k)) > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n_k}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_k}} \text{ converges in } \mathcal{X}, \text{ for all } \sigma < A.$$

But

$$\|a_{n_k}\| \|\alpha_{n_k}\|_{\sigma'} \geq e^{-(A-\frac{1}{k})\lambda_{n_k}} e^{(A-\frac{1}{k})\lambda_{n_k}} = 1.$$

$\Rightarrow a_{n_k} \alpha_{n_k}$ does not tend to zero in \mathcal{X} , a contradiction and this contradicts (C_1) . So (C_1) implies (A_1) .

LEMMA 4—The following three conditions are equivalent for any sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$.

$$(\alpha) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow A} \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} \right\} \geq A.$$

$$(\beta) \quad \text{For all sequences } \{a_n\} \text{ of complex numbers convergence of } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \alpha_n \text{ in } \mathcal{X}$$

implies the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ in \mathcal{X} .

(γ) For all sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers $a_n \alpha_n$ tends to zero in \mathcal{X} implies

the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ in \mathcal{X} .

PROOF: It is clear that (γ) implies (β) . We shall prove that (β) implies (α) and (α) implies (γ) .

First we suppose that (β) holds but (α) does not hold. Therefore

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow A} \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} \right\} < A.$$

Since, $\|\dots\|_{\sigma}$ increases as σ increases, this implies that for each $\sigma < A$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_n} < A, \text{ for all } \sigma < A.$$

If η be a small positive number, there exists an increasing sequence $\{n_r\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log \|\alpha_{n_r}\|_{\sigma}}{\lambda_{n_r}} &< A - \eta \\ \Rightarrow \|\alpha_{n_r}\|_{\sigma} &\leq e^{(A-\eta)\lambda_{n_r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\delta < \eta$, and define $\{a_n\}$ by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} e^{-(A-\delta)\lambda_{n_r}}, & r = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & n \neq n_r \end{cases}$$

Then for every $\sigma < A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \|a_n\|_{\sigma} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |a_{n_r}| \|a_{n_r}\|_{\sigma} \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} e^{(A-\eta)\lambda_{n_r}} e^{-(A-\delta)\lambda_{n_r}} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} e^{(\delta-\eta)\lambda_{n_r}} \end{aligned}$$

and the last series is convergent since $\delta < \eta$. Hence for this sequence $\{a_n\}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n$

converges in $\chi(\sigma)$, for each $\sigma < A$, and hence converges in χ . But, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |a_{n_r}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_r}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} e^{-(A-\delta)\lambda_{n_r}} e^{\sigma \lambda_{n_r}} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} e^{(\sigma+\delta-A)\lambda_{n_r}} \end{aligned}$$

Given δ choose $\sigma < A$ such that $\sigma + \delta > A$, and last series is divergent for this σ .

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ does not converge in χ and this contradicts (β) . Hence (β) implies (α) .

To prove that (α) implies (γ) , we assume that (α) is true but (γ) is not true. Hence there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers for which $a_n a_n$ tends to zero

in χ , but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ does not converge in χ . This implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_n|}{\lambda_n} > -A.$$

Hence given $\delta > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers, such that

$$\frac{\log |a_{n_k}|}{\lambda_{n_k}} \geq e^{(A-\delta)\lambda_{n_k}}.$$

Now choose a positive number σ , such that, $\delta > 2\eta$. (α) being true, we can find a number $\sigma = \sigma(\eta)$, such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|}{\lambda_n} \geq A - \eta.$$

Hence there exists $N = N(\eta)$, such that

$$\frac{\log \|\alpha_n\|_\sigma}{\lambda_n} \geq A - 2\eta, \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n_k}| \|\alpha_{n_k}\|_\sigma &\geq e^{(-A+\delta)\lambda_{n_k}} e^{(A-2\eta)\lambda_{n_k}}, \quad n_1 \geq N \\ &= e^{(\delta-2\eta)\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, since $\delta > 2\eta$. This shows that $a_n \alpha_n$ does not tend to zero in X and this is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that (α) implies (γ).

Now we state our main result which follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

Theorem 2—A base in a closed subspace X_0 of X is proper if and only if condition (A_1) and (α) are satisfied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for pointing out certain oversights in our original version.

REFERENCES

- Markushevich, A. I. (1965). *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Vol. II. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey.
- Wilansky, Albert (1964). *Functional Analysis*. Blais Dell Publishing Company, U.S.A.

