

ON $|V, \lambda|_k$ SUMMABILITY FACTORS OF FOURIER SERIES

by R. K. JAIN, ASHOK GANGULY and B. K. MADAN, *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saugar, Saugar (M.P.)*

(Received 29 March 1976; after revision 24 December 1977)

In the present paper, a theorem on $|V, \lambda|_k$ summability factors of Fourier series has been proved which generalizes the well-known results of Pati (1963) and Singh (1967) on the absolute Cesàro summability factors.

§1. Let Σu_n be a given series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ and let $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a monotonic non-decreasing sequence of natural numbers with $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \leq 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$. The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$V_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+1}^n s_v$$

defines the generalized de la Vallée Poussin means of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by λ .

The series Σu_n is said to be summable $|V, \lambda|$, if the sequence $\{V_n(\lambda)\}$ is of bounded variation, i.e. to say

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)| < \infty \quad (\text{Leindler 1967}).$$

We say that the series Σu_n is summable $|V, \lambda|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{k-1} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)|^k < \infty.$$

On taking $\lambda_n = n$, this summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ and for $k = 1$ this is the same as summability $|V, \lambda|$.

§2. Let $f(t)$ be a 2π -periodic and L -integrable function over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We assume, as we may without any loss of generality that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt)$$

is the Fourier series of $f(t)$.

We write

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)\};$$

and

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_\nu(x).$$

§3. In this paper, we prove the following :

Theorem — If $\{\mu_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that

$$\sum \frac{n\mu_n(\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2}}{\lambda_n^2} < \infty \quad (|\delta| \leq \frac{1}{2}) \quad \dots(3.1)$$

$$\sum \frac{n(\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2} \Delta \mu_n}{\lambda_n} < \infty \quad \dots(3.2)$$

and

$$\int_0^t |\phi(u)|^k du = O \left\{ t \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\beta \right\}, \quad \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq 2^*, \quad \dots(3.3)$$

then the series $\sum \frac{\mu_n A_n(t)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}}$, at $t = x$ is summable $|V, \lambda|_k$.

On taking $\lambda_n = n^\dagger$, $k = 1$, and $\delta = 0$ in our theorem, we obtain the theorem of Singh (1967) which is an extension of a well-known result of Pati (1963).

§4. We require the following lemmas :

Lemma 1 — If (3.3) holds, then

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n |S_\nu(x) - f(x)|^k = O \{n(\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta}\}, \quad \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq 2. \quad \dots(4.1)$$

PROOF: Since the case $k = 1$ of the lemma is due to Cheng (1947), we prove it for $1 < k \leq 2$ only.

If (3.3) holds, then by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_0^t |\phi(u)| du \leq \left\{ \int_0^t |\phi(u)|^k du \right\}^{1/k} \cdot \left\{ \int_0^t du \right\}^{1-(1/k)} = O \left\{ t \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\beta/k} \right\}. \quad \dots(4.2)$$

*For $k > 2$, we get another theorem which is not included in the present paper.

†When $\lambda_n = n$, (3.2) immediately follows from (3.1) by virtue of a lemma due to Pati (1954).

Now, taking β/k in place of β in Cheng's lemma (1947), it can be easily proved that if (4.2) holds, then

$$\sum_{v=0}^n \{S_v(x) - f(x)\}^2 = O\{n(\log n)^{1+(2\beta/k)}\}. \quad \dots(4.3)$$

Further, applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=0}^n |S_v(x) - f(x)|^k &\leq \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n |S_v(x) - f(x)|^2 \right\}^{k/2} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n 1 \right\}^{1-(k/2)}, \\ &\quad (1 < k < 2) \\ &= O\{n(\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta}\} \quad \dots(4.4) \end{aligned}$$

and for $k = 2$, (4.3) and (4.4) are the same.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2 — If (3.3) holds and $T_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^n v A_v(x)$,

then

$$\sum_{v=1}^n |T_v(x)|^k = O\{n(\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta}\}.$$

PROOF : Let $\sigma_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^n S_v(x)$. Then, using lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n(x) - f(x)|^k &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^n |S_v(x) - f(x)| \right\}^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n |S_v(x) - f(x)|^k \right\} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n 1 \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O\{(\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta}\} \end{aligned}$$

so that $\sum_{v=1}^n |\sigma_v(x) - f(x)|^k = O\{n(\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta}\}.$

Since $T_n(x) = S_n(x) - \sigma_n(x)$, by Minkowski's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^n |T_v(x)|^k &\leq \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n |S_v(x) - f(x)|^k \right\}^{1/k} + \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n |\sigma_v(x) - f(x)|^k \right\}^{1/k} \\ &= O\{n(\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 — If $\{\mu_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum \frac{n\mu_n}{\lambda_n^2} < \infty$, then

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^m \log(n+1) \cdot \Delta \mu_n = O(1), m \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^m n \log(n+1) \cdot \Delta^2 \mu_n = O(1), m \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF: The convergence of $\sum \frac{n\mu_n}{\lambda_n^2}$ implies the convergence of $\sum \frac{\mu_n}{n}$. The remainder of the proof at once follows from the lemmas given by Pati (1954, 1962).

§5. *Proof of the Theorem* — For $k = 1$, the theorem directly follows on taking the series $\sum \frac{\mu_n A_n(t)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{\beta+\epsilon}}$ in place of $\sum \mu_n A_n(t)$ and applying the conditions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) (with $k = 1$) instead of the set of conditions used by Sharma and Jain (1970) in the proof of their theorem. Therefore, we prove our theorem for $1 < k \leq 2$ only.

Let $C_n = V_{n+1}(\lambda; x) - V_n(\lambda; x)$, where $V_n(\lambda; x)$ is the n th de la Vallée Poussin mean of the series

$$\sum \frac{\mu_n A_n(x)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{(2\beta+2\epsilon+k-1)/2}}.$$

By an easy computation, we have

$$C_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^{n+1} \{(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n)(\nu - n - 1) + \lambda_n\} \times \frac{\mu_\nu A_\nu(x)}{\{\log(\nu+1)\}^{(2\beta+2\epsilon+k-1)/2}}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{k-1} |C_n|^k < \infty.$$

Let $\sum_n^{(i)}$ be the summation over all n satisfying $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$ and $\sum_n^{(ii)}$ be the summation over all n where $\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n$.

When $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$, Abel's transformation gives that

$$C_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \left[\sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} r A_r(x) \right\} \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\epsilon+k-1)/2}\}} \right\} - \right.$$

(equation continued on p. 286)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{\mu_{n-\lambda_n+2}}{(n-\lambda_n+2)(\log(n-\lambda_n+3))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{n-\lambda_n+1} r A_r(x) \right\} \\
 & + \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{(n+1)(\log(n+2))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} r A_r(x) \right\} \\
 & = L_n^1 + L_n^2 + L_n^3, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, it is therefore, sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |L_n^r|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now, $\sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |L_n^1|^k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = O(1) \cdot \sum_n^{(i)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left[\sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \nu |T_\nu(x)| \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \right]^k \\
 & = O(1) \cdot \sum_n^{(i)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \nu |T_\nu(x)|^k \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \\
 & = O(1) \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \nu |T_\nu(x)|^k \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \cdot \sum_{n=\nu}^{n+\lambda_\nu-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \\
 & = O(1) \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \nu |T_\nu(x)|^k \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Abel's transformation again, by Lemma 2, we easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |L_n^1|^k \\
 & = O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^2 (\log n)^{(k/2)+\beta} \cdot \Delta^2 \left\{ \frac{\mu_n}{n(\log(n+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2} \cdot \Delta^2 \mu_n + O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2} \cdot \Delta \mu_n \\
 &\quad + O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} (\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2} \\
 &= O(1) \tag{5.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3(i), 3(ii) and hypothesis (3.1).

Further, applying Abel's transformation and Lemma 2, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |L_n^2|_k + \sum_n^{(i)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |L_n^3|_k \\
 &= O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n(x)|_k \cdot \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n (\log(n+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \\
 &= O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \Delta \mu_n (\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2}}{\lambda_n} + O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \mu_n (\log n)^{(1-2\delta)/2}}{\lambda_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
 &\quad + O(1) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\lambda_n} (\log n)^{(-1-2\delta)/2} \\
 &= O(1), \tag{5.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

by hypotheses (3.1) and (3.2).

Now, in order to estimate $\sum_n^{(ii)}$ we have, with the aid of Abel's transformation, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |C_n| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \left[\sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \nu |T_\nu(x)| \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left| \Delta \left\{ (\lambda_n + \nu - n - 1) \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu (\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \right| \\
 &\quad + (n - \lambda_n + 1) |T_{n-\lambda_n+1}(x)| \cdot \frac{\mu_{n-\lambda_n+2}}{(n-\lambda_n+2) (\log(n-\lambda_n+3))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \\
 &\quad + (n+1) |T_{n+1}(x)| \cdot \frac{\lambda_n \mu_{n+1}}{(n+1) (\log(n+2))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \\
 &= M_n^1 + M_n^2 + M_n^3, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, it is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |M_n^r|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |M_n^1|^k \\ & \leq \sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left[\sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \nu |T_\nu(x)| \left\{ \lambda_\nu \cdot \Delta \left(\frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \right]^k \\ & \leq \left(\left[\sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \nu |T_\nu(x)| \lambda_\nu \cdot \Delta \left(\frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right) \right\}^k \right]^{1/k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[\sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \lambda_\nu |T_\nu(x)| \cdot \frac{\mu_\nu}{\lambda_\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\}^k \right]^{1/k} \right)^k \\ & = (N_1^{1/k} + N_2^{1/k})^k, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= O(1) \cdot \sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \nu |T_\nu(x)|^k \lambda_\nu^k \\ & \quad \times \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \\ &= O(1) \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \nu |T_\nu(x)|^k \lambda_\nu^k \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{n \geq \nu}^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \\ &= O(1) \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \nu |T_\nu(x)|^k \cdot \Delta \left\{ \frac{\mu_\nu}{\nu(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right\} \\ &= O(1), \text{ by (5.1).} \end{aligned}$$

And similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &= O(1) \cdot \sum_n^{(ii)} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \sum_{\nu=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \frac{|T_\nu(x)|^k \lambda_\nu^{k-1} \mu_\nu}{(\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \\ &= O(1) \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_\nu(x)|^k \mu_\nu}{\lambda_\nu (\log(\nu+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \\ &= O(1), \text{ by (5.2).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |M_n^1|^k = O(1).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |M_n^2|^k + \sum_n^{(ii)} \lambda_n^{k-1} |M_n^3|^k \\ &= O \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_n(x)|^k \mu_n}{\lambda_n (\log(n+1))^{(2\beta+2\delta+k-1)/2}} \right] \\ &= O(1), \text{ by (5.2).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Cheng, M. T. (1947). Summability factors of Fourier series at a given point. *Duke Math. J.*, **14**, 405-10.
- Leindler, L. (1967). On the absolute summability factors of Fourier series. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **28**, 323-36.
- Pati, T. (1954). The summability factors of infinite series. *Duke Math. J.*, **21**, 271-84.
- (1962). Absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series. *Math. Z.*, **78**, 293-97.
- (1963). On an unsolved problem in the theory of absolute summability factors of Fourier series. *Math. Z.*, **82**, 106-14.
- Sharma, P. L., and Jain, R. K. (1970). On $|V, \lambda|_k$ summability of a factored Fourier series. *Mathematicki Vesnik*, **7** (22), No. 1, 37-42.
- Singh, N. (1967). On absolute Cesàro summability of factored Fourier series. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* (2), **8**, 181-88.