

STABILITY IN VECTOR-VALUED AND SET-VALUED OPTIMIZATION*

X. X. HUANG**

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chongqing Normal University,
Chongqing 400 047, China*

(Received 19 July 2000; accepted 8 January 2001)

In this paper, we discuss the stability of the sets of efficient points of vector-valued and set-valued optimization problems when the data (E_n, f_n) (resp. (E_n, F_n)) of the approximate problems converge to the data (E, f) (resp. (E, F)) of the original problem in the sense of Painleve-Kuratowski or Mosco. Our results improve and generalize those obtained by Attouch and Riahi in [1, Section 5].

Key Words : Convergence of Set Sequence; Mosco Convergence; Painlevé- Kuratowski Convergence; Cone Extremization; Stability

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of stability of optimization problems is an important topic in optimization theory and methodology. There have been a vast of works in the literature dealing with this problem under different assumptions. However, to our knowledge, Attouch and Riahi [1, Section 5] first studied the stability of a vector optimization problem under the pareto order in a finite dimensional space based on the concept of the convergence of the epigraphs of the corresponding vector-valued functions. In this paper, we consider the stability of the sets of efficient points of vector-valued and set-valued maps in a Banach space Y under general cone order setting when the data (E_n, f_n) (resp. (E_n, F_n)) of the approximate problems converge to the data (E, f) (resp. (E, F)) of the original problem in the sense of Painleve-Kuratowski and Mosco (for details, see Section 2). Our results improve and generalize those in [1, Section 5] in the following ways: the objective space is generalized to an infinite space; the ordering cone is more general, which may be with empty interior; the objective vector-valued functions may be set-valued maps.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present some concepts and notation. Section 3 is devoted to the stability results. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. CONCEPTS AND NOTATIONS

In this section, we introduce some concepts and notations, which will be used in the sequel.

Throughout this paper, we assume X and Y are both Banach spaces. Y is partially ordered by a nontrivial, closed, pointed and convex cone C , i.e. $\forall y_1, y_2 \in Y, y_1 \leq_C y_2$ iff $y_2 - y_1 \in C$. Let C^*

*The research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

**Current Corresponding Address: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Curtin University of Technology, GPO Box U 1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia. E-mail : huangxx@cs.curtin.edu.au.

$= \{l \in Y^* : l(c) \geq 0, \forall c \in C\}$ denote the positive polar cone of C and $\text{int } C$ denote the interior of C if the interior of C is nonempty.

We first recall the concepts of Painlevé-Kuratowski convergence and Mosco convergence of a sequence of sets.

Definition 2.1 — Let Z be a first countable topological space. The Painlevé-Kuratowski convergence of a sequence of subsets $\{D_n : n \in N\}$ of Z to a subset of Z (i.e., $D_n \xrightarrow{P.K.} D$) means

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n \subset D \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n \text{ with}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = \{x = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n : x_n \in D_n, \forall n \in N\}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = \{x = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n_k} : x_{n_k} \in D_{n_k}, \forall k, \{n_k\} \text{ a subsequence of } N\}.$$

Definition 2.2 — Let Z be a normed space. We say that a sequence of subsets $\{D_n\}$ of Z Mosco converges to $D \subset Z$ if

$$w - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n \subset D \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n$$

with $w - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} = \{x = w - \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n_k} : x_{n_k} \in D_{n_k}, \forall k, \{n_k\} \text{ a subsequence of } N\},$

where $x = w - \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n_k}$ stands for the weak convergence of x_{n_k} to x .

Definition 2.3 — A vector-valued function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be lower semicontinuous (l.s.c) with respect to (w.r.t) C if $\forall y \in Y, \{x \in X : f(x) \leq_C y\}$ is closed.

We use $\text{ext}_C A$ to denote the set of maximal (efficient) points of A , i.e., $z \in \text{ext}_C A$ iff $(z + C) \cap A = \{z\}$.

We introduce a virtual element $+\infty$ in Y meaning that $+\infty - y \in C, \forall y \in Y$.

Definition 2.4 — We say a sequence of vector-valued functions f_n (defined on X) Painlevé-Kuratowski (P.K. for short) resp. Mosco (M for short)) converges to a vector-valued function f (defined on X) if

$$\text{epi}(f_n) = \{(x, y) : y \in f(x) + C\} \xrightarrow{P.K.} \text{epi}(f) = \{(x, y) : y \in f(x) + C\}$$

$$(\text{epi}(f_n) \xrightarrow{M} \text{epi}(f)).$$

Definition 2.5 — We say a sequence of nonempty set-valued maps F_n (defined on X) P.K. (M) converges to a nonempty set-valued map F (defined on X) if

$$\text{epi}(F_n) = \{(x, y) : y \in F_n(x) + C\} \xrightarrow{P.K.} \text{epi}(F) = \{(x, y) : y \in F(x) + C\}$$

$$(\text{epi}(F_n) \xrightarrow{M} \text{epi}(F)).$$

Definition 2.6 — Let $\{f_n : E_n \rightarrow Y, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of vector-valued functions and denote by $\{(E_n, f_n) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ the corresponding sequence pairs. $f : E \rightarrow Y$. we say (E_n, f_n) P.K.

(M) converges to (E, f) if $\bar{f}_n \xrightarrow{P.K.} \bar{f}$ ($\bar{f}_n \xrightarrow{M} \bar{f}$), where

$$\bar{f}_n(x) = \begin{cases} f_n(x), & \text{if } x \in E_n, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus E_n; \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in E; \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus E. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.7 — Let $\{F_n : E_n \rightarrow 2^Y, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of nonempty set-valued maps and denote by $\{(E_n, F_n)\}$ the corresponding pairs. $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a nonempty set-valued map. We say

(E_n, F_n) P. K. (M) converges to (E, F) if $\bar{F}_n \xrightarrow{P.K.} \bar{F}$, where

$$\bar{F}_n(x) = \begin{cases} F_n(x), & \text{if } x \in E_n, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus E_n; \end{cases}$$

and
$$\bar{F}(x) = \begin{cases} F(x), & \text{if } x \in E, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \in X \setminus E. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.8 — Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a vector-valued function. We say f is bounded below if $\exists y_0 \in Y$ such that $f(X) - y_0 \subset C$.

Let $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$ be a sequence of vector-valued functions. We say f_n are uniformly bounded below if $\exists y_0 \in Y$ such that $f_n(X) - y_0 \subset C, \forall n \in N$.

Definition 2.9 — Let $F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued map. We say that F is bounded below if $\exists y_0 \in Y$ such that $[F(X) - y_0] \subset C$, where $F(x) = \bigcup_{x \in X} F(x)$.

Let $F_n : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a sequence of set-valued maps. We say that F_n are uniformly bounded below if there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $[F_n(X) - y_0] \subset C$ for all n .

3. STABILITY OF THE SET OF EFFICIENT (MINIMAL) POINTS

This section presents the main results, which generalize the corresponding results in [1, Section 5]. We shall first state the results and then prove them one by one.

Theorem 3.1 — Assume that $\text{int}C \neq \emptyset, -C \subset \{y \in Y : l(y) + \varepsilon \|y\| \leq 0\}$ for some $l \in Y^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. $(E_n, f_n), (E, f)$ are as defined in Definition 2.6. $\forall n \in N, E_n$ is a nonempty closed subset of X, f_n is l.s.c w.r.t. $C. E \subset X$ is nonempty closed. f is l.s.c. w.r.t. C .

In addition,

$$(a) \inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} l(f_n(x)) > -\infty;$$

$$(b) (E_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{P.K.} (E, f);$$

(c) \exists a compact subset K of X such that $E_n \subset K, \forall n \in N$; and

(d) $\forall \rho > 0, \exists$ a compact subset K_ρ of Y such that $\text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$ where B is the unit ball of Y .

Then $\text{ext}_{-C} f(E)$ is nonempty and

$$\text{ext}_{-C} f(E) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n).$$

Theorem 3.2 — Assume that C (without the assumption $\text{int} C \neq 0$), f_n, E_n, E, f are as in Theorem 3.1. $\forall \lambda \in C^*, \lambda(f_n)$ is l.s.c. on $E_n, \lambda(f)$ is l.s.c. on E .

In addition,

$$(a) \inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} l(f_n(x)) > -\infty;$$

$$(b) (E_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{P.K.} (E, f);$$

(c) \exists a compact subset K of X such that $E_n \subset K, \forall n \in N$; and

(d) $\forall \rho > 0, \exists$ a compact subset K_ρ of Y such that $\text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$ where B is the unit ball of Y .

Then $\text{ext}_{-C} f(E)$ is nonempty and

$$\text{ext}_{-C} f(E) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n).$$

Theorem 3.3 — Assume that X and Y are reflexive banach spaces. C, E_n, f_n, E, f are as in Theorem 3.1. f_n, f are l.s.c. (with respect to the weak topology of X) w.r.t. C .

In addition,

$$(a) \inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} l(f_n(x)) > -\infty;$$

$$(b) (E_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{M} (E, f);$$

(c) \exists a bounded closed subset K of X such that $E_n \subset K, \forall n \in N$; and

(d) $\forall \rho > 0, \exists$ a compact subset K_ρ of Y such that $\text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$ where B is the unit ball of Y .

Then $\text{ext}_{-C} f(E)$ is nonempty and

$$\text{ext}_{-C} f(E) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n).$$

Theorem 3.4 — Let X, Y, C (without the assumption $\text{int} C \neq 0$), E_n, E, f_n, f be as in Theorem 3.3 suppose that $\forall \lambda \in C^*, \lambda(f_n), \lambda(f)$ are l.s.c. (with respect to the weak topology of X).

In addition, assume the following hold

$$(a) \inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} l(f_n(x)) > -\infty;$$

$$(b) (E_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{M} (E, f);$$

(c) \exists a bounded closed subset K of X such that $E_n \subset K, \forall n \in N$;

(d) $\forall \rho > 0, \exists$ a compact subset K_ρ of Y such that $ext_{-C} f_n(E_n) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$ where B is the unit ball of Y .

Then $ext_{-C} f(E)$ is nonempty and

$$ext_{-C} f(E) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ext_{-C} f_n(E_n).$$

Remark 3.1 : (i) The condition $-C \subset \{y \in Y : l(y) + \varepsilon \|y\| \leq 0\}$ for some $l \in Y^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is equivalent to the statement that $\exists l \in C^* \setminus \{0\}$ and ε such that $l(c) \geq \varepsilon$ for any $c \in C$ with $\|c\| = 1$. The latter is fulfilled when Y is a finite dimensional space and C is a nontrivial, pointed, closed and convex cone. Note that Attouch and Riahi¹ considered only the special case when $Y = R^N$ and $C = R_+^N$. Thus, our Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 at least generalize Attouch and Riahi's result to the general ordering context in a finite dimensional space. Moreover, when $Y = R^N, C = R_+^N$, it is not hard to see that the conditions of Theorem 5.2 in [1] imply all the conditions in our Theorem 3.1. However, Definition 5.1 in [1] is a stronger version of convergence than (b) in our Theorem 3.1. Therefore, our Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem 5.2 in [1]. On the other hand, our Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 generalize Attouch and Riahi's result [1, Theorem 5.1] to the context of finite dimensional spaces when our ordering cone C is with nonempty interior. It is worth mentioning that our Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 apply to the case where the ordering cone C is with empty interior.

(ii) It is clear that if \bar{f}_n are uniformly bounded below (i.e., there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $f_n(x) - y_0 \in C, \forall x \in E_n, \forall n$), then condition (a) in the above theorems holds automatically.

We need the following lemmas to prove the theorems above.

Lemma 3.1 — Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 (or Theorem 3.2), we have $(E_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{P.K.} (E, f) \Rightarrow f_n(E_n) + C \xrightarrow{P.K.} f(E) + C$.

PROOF : Firstly, we prove

$$f(E) + C \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(E_n) + C \quad \dots (1)$$

$\forall y \in f(E)$ with $y = f(x), \forall c \in C$, then $(x, y + c) \in epi(\bar{f})$. Since $\bar{f}_n \xrightarrow{P.K.} \bar{f}$, we have $epi(\bar{f}) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} epi(\bar{f}_n)$.

So $\exists (x_n, y_n) \in epi(\bar{f}_n)$ with $y_n = \bar{f}_n(x_n) + c_n$ such that $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y + c)$.

Thus $y_n \rightarrow y + C$.

Obviously, $x_n \in E_n$ when n is sufficiently large. Hence, $y_n = \bar{f}_n(x_n) + x_n = f(x_n) + c_n \in f_n(E_n) + C$, when c is sufficiently large, implying (1).

Secondly, We prove $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n(E_n) + C) \subset f(E) + C$.

For any $x_{n_k} \in E_{n_k}, c_{n_k} \in C$ with $f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) + c_{n_k} \in f_{n_k}(E_{n_k}) + C$ such that $f_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) + c_{n_k} \rightarrow y$.

Now that $\{x_{n_k}\} \subset K$ and K is a compact subset of X , we deduce that there exist a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_l}}\}$ and $x \in K$ such that $x_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow x$. Noticing that $y \neq +\infty$, we obtain $x \in E$. So $(x, y) \in \text{epi}(f)$, i.e., $y \in f(E) + C$. The proof is complete.

Similarly, we can prove the following Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.2 — Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 (or Theorem 3.4),

$$(E_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{M} (E, f) \Rightarrow f_n(E_n) + C \xrightarrow{M} f(E) + C.$$

Lemma 3.3 — Let $E \subset X$ be nonempty compact. $f: E \rightarrow Y$ is l.s.c. w.r.t. C on E . Then $f(E) + C$ is nonempty closed and $\text{ext}_{-C}[f(E) + C] = \text{ext}_{-C}f(E)$.

PROOF : For any $f(x_n) + c_n \in f(E) + C$ with $x_n \in E, c_n \in C$ such that

$$f(x_n) + c_n \rightarrow y. \tag{2}$$

By the compactness of E , we get a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $x \in E$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$. This combined with (2) yields $f(x_{n_k}) + c_{n_k} \rightarrow y$.

Arbitrarily fix an $e \in \text{int } C$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K_0$, when $k \geq K_0, f(x_{n_k}) + c_{n_k} \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$. So $f(x_{n_k}) \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$. By the l.s.c. of f w.r.t. C , we know that $f(x) \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$, i.e., $f(x) - y - \varepsilon e \in -C$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $f(x) - y \in -C$ (since $-C$ is closed). Hence $y \in f(x) + C \subset f(E) + C$.

It is obvious that the relation $\text{ext}_{-C}[f(E) + C] = \text{ext}_{-C}f(E)$ holds.

Lemma 3.4 — Let $E \subset X$ be nonempty compact. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be such that $\forall \lambda \in C^*, \lambda(f)$ is l.s.c. on E . Then $f(E) + C$ is nonempty closed and $\text{ext}_{-C}[f(E) + C] = \text{ext}_{-C}[f(E)]$.

PROOF : We only show that $f(E) + C$ is closed.

For any $f(x_n) + c_n \in f(E) + C$ with $x_n \in E, c_n \in C$ such that $f(x_n) + c_n \rightarrow y$. By the compactness of E , we have a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $x \in E$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$. Moreover, $f(x_{n_k}) + c_{n_k} \rightarrow y$. Hence $\lambda(f(x_{n_k}) + \lambda(c_{n_k})) \rightarrow \lambda(y), \forall \lambda \in C^*$, implying $\lambda(f(x)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(f(x_{n_k})) \leq \lambda(y), \forall \lambda \in C^*$. Thus $f(x) \leq_C y$, implying $y \in f(x) + C \subset f(E) + C, \dots$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1 : We imply apply Theorem 3.3 in [1] with C replaced by $-C, D_n = f_n(E_n) + C, D = f(E) + C$. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we know that D_n, D are nonempty closed and $D_n \xrightarrow{P.K.} D$. In addition, $\inf_{n \in N} \inf_{y \in D_n} l(y) \geq \inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} > -\infty$. Moreover, $\forall \rho > 0,$

$(\text{ext}_{-C} D_n) \cap \rho B = (\text{ext}_{-C} f_n(E_n)) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$. So all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 in [1] hold, hence, $\text{ext}_{-C} f(E) = \text{ext}_{-C} D \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{ext}_{-C} f(E) = \text{ext}_{-C} D \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext}_{-C} D_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext}_{-C} f(E_n)$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 3.2 can be similarly proved.

Lemma 3.5 — Let X be a reflexive Banach space and $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$. If $E \subset X$ is nonempty, closed and bounded. $f: E \rightarrow Y$ is l.s.c. (with respect to the weak topology of X) on E w.r.t. C . Then $f(E) + C$ is a nonempty closed set and $\text{ext}_{-C} [f(E) + C] = \text{ext}_{-C} f(E)$.

PROOF : Since X is reflexive, we know that E is a weakly compact subset of X .

$$\forall f(x_n) + c_n \in f(E) + C \text{ with } x_n \in E, c_n \in C \text{ such that } f(x_n) + c_n \rightarrow y.$$

By the weak compactness of E , we obtain a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and $x \in E$ such that $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} x$. Arbitrarily fix an $e \in \text{int } C$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists K_0 > 0$, when $k \geq K_0$, we have $f(x_{n_k}) + c_{n_k} \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$. Thus $f(x_{n_k}) \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$. By the l.s.c. of f (w.r.t. C and the weak topology of X), we have $f(x) \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$. Hence, $f(x) \leq_C y + \varepsilon e$, i.e., $y \in f(x) + C \subset f(E) + C$.

Similar to the Proof of Lemma 3.4 (applying the weak topology of X), we can prove the following Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.6 — Let X be a reflexive Banach space. If $E \subset X$ is nonempty, closed and bounded, $f: E \rightarrow Y$ is such that " $\lambda \in C^*, \lambda(f)$ is l.s.c. (with respect to the weak topology of X) on E , then $f(E) + C$ is nonempty closed and $\text{ext}_{-C} [f(E) + C] = \text{ext}_{-C} f(E)$.

Applying our Lemmas 3.2, 3.5 and Theorem 3.5 in [1], we can easily prove Theorem 3.3

Applying our Lemmas 3.2, 3.6 and Theorem 3.5 in [1], we can also prove Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5 — Let $C \subset \{y \in Y : l(y) + \varepsilon \|y\| \leq 0\}$ for some $l \in Y^*, \varepsilon > 0$. Assume that $\forall n \in N, E_n$ is a nonempty closed subset of $X, F_n : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is u.s.c. nonempty compact-valued. E is a nonempty closed subset of $X, F : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is u.s.c. nonempty compact-valued. In addition,

(a) $\inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} \inf_{y \in F_n(x)} l(y) > -\infty$;

(b) $(E_n, F_n) \xrightarrow{M} (E, F)$;

(c) \exists a bounded closed subset K of X such that $E_n \subset K, \forall n \in N$; and

(d) $\forall \rho > 0, \exists$ a compact subset K_ρ of Y such that $\text{ext}_{-C} F_n(E_n) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$ where B is the unit ball of Y .

Then $\text{ext}_{-C} F(E)$ is nonempty and $\text{ext}_{-C} F(E) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ext}_{-C} F_n(E_n)$.

Theorem 3.6 — Let X, Y be reflexive Banach spaces, C, E_n, F_n, E, F be as in Theorem 3.5. Assume that F_n, F are u.s.c. (with respect to the weak topology of X). In addition,

(a) $\inf_{n \in N} \inf_{x \in E_n} \inf_{y \in F_n(x)} l(y) > -\infty$;

(b) $(E_n, F_n) \xrightarrow{M} (E, F)$;

(c) \exists a bounded closed subset K of X such that $E_n \subset K, \forall n \in N$;

(d) $\forall \rho > 0, \exists$ a compact subset K_ρ of Y such that $ext_{-C} F_n(E_n) \cap \rho B \subset K_\rho$ where B is the unit ball of Y .

Then $ext_{-C} F(E)$ is nonempty and $ext_{-C} F(E) \subset \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} ext_{-C} F_n(E_n)$.

Remark 3.2 : If \bar{F}_n are uniformly bounded below (i.e. there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $[F_n(E_n) - y_0] \subset C, \forall n$), then (a) in Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 holds automatically.

The following lemmas are needed to prove Theorems 3.5, 3.6.

Lemma 3.7 — Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5, $(E_n, F_n) \xrightarrow{P.K.} (E, F)$ implies $F_n(E_n) + C \xrightarrow{P.K.} F(E) + C$.

Lemma 3.8 — Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, $(E_n, F_n) \xrightarrow{M} (E, F)$ implies $F_n(E_n) + C \xrightarrow{M} F(E) + C$.

The proofs of Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8 are similar to those of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, respectively, we omit it.

Lemma 3.9 — Let $E \subset X$ be nonempty compact. Let $F: E \rightarrow 2^Y$ be u.s.c. nonempty compact-valued. Then $F(E) + C$ is nonempty closed and $ext_{-C} F(E) = ext_{-C} [F(E) + C]$.

PROOF : We only need to show that $F(E) + C$ is closed.

$\forall y_n + c_n \in F(x_n) + C$ with $y_n \in F(x_n) (x_n \in E), c_n \in C$ and $y_n + c_n \rightarrow y$. We prove that $y \in F(E) + C$ in the following two cases, respectively. (i) $x_n \equiv x$ for some $x \in X$ when n is sufficiently large.

Then $y_n \in F(x)$, when n is sufficiently large. By the compactness of $F(x)$, we get a subsequence $\{y_{k_k}\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ and $y' \in F(x)$ such that $y_{n_k} \rightarrow y'$. However, $y_{n_k} + c_{n_k} \rightarrow y$, so $c_{n_k} \rightarrow y - y' \in C$, i.e., $y \in F(x) + C \subset F(E) + C$.

(ii) \exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ whose elements are different from one another such that $y_{n_k} \in F(x_{n_k})$.

By the compactness of E , we obtain a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_l}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $x \in E$ such that $x_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow x$. By the u.s.c. of F and the compactness of $F(x)$, we have a subsequence $\{y_{n_{k_l}}\}$ of $\{y_{n_k}\}$ and $y' \in F(x)$ such that $y_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow y'$. So $c_{n_{k_l}} \rightarrow y - y' \in C$, i.e., $y \in F(x) + C \subset F(E) + C$. The proof is completed.

Lemma 3.10 — Let X be a reflexive space, E a nonempty closed bounded subset of X . Let $F: E \rightarrow 2^Y$ be an u.s.c. (w.r.t the weak topology of X) nonempty compact-valued map. Then $F(E) + C$ is nonempty closed and $\text{ext}_{-C} F(E) = \text{ext}_{-C} [F(E) + C]$.

The proof of Lemma 3.10 is almost the same as that of Lemma 3.9, the only difference being that the weak topology of X should be applied.

The combination of our Lemmas 3.7, 3.9 and Theorem 3.3 in [1] completes the proof of our Theorem 3.5.

The combination of our Lemmas 3.8, 3.10 and Theorem 3.5 in [1] completes the proof of our Theorem 3.6.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper considered the stability of vector-valued and set-valued optimization problems based on the concepts of the Painleve-kuratowski and Mosco convergence of sets. The results generalized the corresponding results of Attouch and Riahi in [1, Section 5]. The generalization is threefold: the objective space Y is extended from finite dimensional to finite dimensional; the dominating cone C is extended from R_+^N to a general order. The objective functions are extended to general vector-valued functions or set-valued maps.

REFERENCES

1. H. Attouch and H. Riahi, *Math. O. R.* **18** (1993) No. 1, 173-201.
2. Y. Sawaragi, H. Nakayama and T. Tanino, *Theory of Multiobjective Optimization*. Academic Press, New York, 1985.
3. D. T. Luc, *Theory of Vector Optimization*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
4. J. P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, *Set-Valued Analysis*, Wiley, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990.
5. J. P. Aubin, I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1984.
6. G. Y. Chen and X. X. Huang, *Math. O. R.* **48** (1998) 97-103.
7. X. X. Huang, (to appear in *Optimization*)