

FUNCTIONAL CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS CONTROLLED BY REGENERATIVE SEQUENCES*

O. LEE AND D. W. SHIN

Department of Statistics, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, South Korea

(Received 17 June 1999; after revision 19 November 1999; accepted 8 January 2001)

Let X be a Polish space and let S be a measurable space. Let $\{I_n\}$ be a regenerative process with state space s . Take Z_0 arbitrary but independent of $\{I_n\}$. We consider an iterated function system obtained recursively by $Z_n = F_{I_{n-1}}(Z_{n-1})$ ($n \geq 1$), where the function $F: X \times S \rightarrow X$ defined by $F(x, s) = F_s(x)$ is measurable and for each $s \in S$, $F_s(x)$ is a continuous function of x . We obtain sufficient conditions under which, whatever the initial distribution, the functional central limit theorem holds.

Key Words : Regenerative Process; Markov Chain; Invariant Probability; Functional Central Limit Theorem

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a Polish space with metric d , and S be an arbitrary measurable space. Let $F: X \times S \rightarrow X$ be a measurable function. Assume that for each $s \in S$, the function $F_s(x) = F(x, s)$ is continuous with respect to x . Let $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a stochastic sequence with state space S . For specified initial value $x \in X$, we define

$$Z_0(x) = x$$

and
$$Z_n(x) := F(Z_{n-1}(x), I_{n-1}) = F_{I_{n-1}}(Z_{n-1}(x)), (n \geq 1). \quad \dots (1.1)$$

This particular type of stochastic dynamical system $\{Z_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called an IFS (iterated function system) controlled by $\{I_n\}$. Systems of this type have been studied in many papers (see, e.g. [2]-[4], [7], [10-12], [14], [15] and the references therein). For the case that $\{I_n\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, ergodicity, law of large numbers, (functional) central limit theorem, and other limiting properties are investigated in, for example, [3], [4], [10], [11]. In [2], [7], and [14],

*This research was supported by the MOST through National R&D Program for Women's Universities.

iterated function systems given by more general controlling sequences, such as, stationary sequence, semi-Markov chain or regenerative sequence are considered.

Suppose that $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Markov chain with state space X , transition probability function $p(x, dy)$, and the unique invariant initial distribution μ . If the distribution of X_0 is the invariant distribution μ , then $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a stationary ergodic Markov chain. Let P be the transition operator on $L^2(X, \mu)$ defined by

$$Pf(x) := \int f(y)p(x, dy), \quad f \in L^2(X, \mu).$$

Let $P^n f(x) := P(P^{n-1} f)(x)$ and let I denote the identity operator. We will denote the L^2 -norm with respect to the invariant distribution by $\|\cdot\|_2$. Write $\bar{f} = \int f d\mu$.

If $(P - I)g = f - \bar{f}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^n (f(X_j) - \bar{f}) &= \sum_{j=0}^n (Pg(X_j) - g(X_j)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (Pg(X_{j-1}) - g(X_j)) + (g(X_{n+1}) - g(X_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $Pg(X_{j-1}) - g(X_j), j \geq 1$ is a stationary ergodic sequence of martingale differences, the FCLT (functional central limit theorem) holds for $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$, i.e. the sequence of stochastic processes $Y_n(t)$ given by

$$Y_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} (f(X_j) - \bar{f}), \quad (t \geq 0)$$

converges in distribution to a Brownian motion with mean zero and variance parameter $\|g\|_2^2 - \|Pg\|_2^2$ (see, e.g. [6], [9], [11]). Here $[nt]$ is the integer part of nt .

Proposition 1.1 — Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of stationary ergodic Markov chain with unique invariant probability μ . If for $f \in L^2(X, \mu), f - \bar{f}$ is in the range of $P - I$, then the FCLT holds for f .

PROOF : A proof is given by Gordin and Lipšic⁹.

The next lemma is simple but crucial for proving theorems in section 2.

Lemma 1.1 — Let $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$. If $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|P^n(f - \bar{f})\|_2 < \infty$, then $f - \bar{f}$ belongs to the range of

$P - I$; indeed $(P - I)g = f - \bar{f}$ where

$$g = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n (f - \bar{f}).$$

PROOF : Apply P to both sides of (1.4).

Our main objective is to obtain the FCLT of IFS $\{Z_n(x)\}$ of (1.1) when controlling sequence $\{I_n\}$ is a regenerative process.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let X be a polish space with metric d , and S be an arbitrary measurable space. Let $F : X \times S \rightarrow X$ be a measurable function and let for each $s \in S$, the function $F_s(x) = F(x, s)$ be continuous with respect to x .

We consider an IFS $\{Z_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ given by for initial value x in X ,

$$Z_0(x) = x, Z_n(x) = F_{I_{n-1}} \circ F_{I_{n-2}} \circ \dots \circ F_{I_0}(x), \quad (n \geq 1) \quad \dots (2.1)$$

where $\{I_n\}$ is a discrete time regenerative process with state space S .

Assume that $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \dots < T_n < \dots$ denotes its regeneration moments with $E(T_1) < \infty$.

Let $J_n := (I_{T_n}, \dots, I_{T_{n+1}} - 1, T_{n+1} - T_n), (n \geq 0)$

and $F_{J_n} := F_{I_{T_{n+1}}} - 1 \circ \dots \circ F_{I_{T_n}}. \quad \dots (2.2)$

Then $ZT_n(x) = F_{J_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ F_{J_0}(x), (n \geq 1), Z_{T_0}(x) = x. \quad \dots (2.3)$

Define $\tilde{Z}_{T_n}(x) := F_{J_0} \circ F_{J_1} \circ \dots \circ F_{J_{n-1}}(x), (n \geq 1), \tilde{Z}_{T_0}(x) = x. \quad \dots (2.4)$

Since $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a regenerative sequence, $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an i.i.d. sequence and hence $\{Z_{T_n}(x)\}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain. Moreover, $Z_{T_n}(x)$ and $\tilde{Z}_{T_n}(x)$ have the same distribution.

Let (X, d) and (Y, d^*) be two metric spaces. Let us, for a function $h : X \rightarrow Y$, define a generalized norm

$$\|h\| := \sup_{x, y \in X, x \neq y} \frac{d^*(h(x), h(y))}{d(x, y)} \quad \dots (2.5)$$

satisfying

$$d^*(h(x), h(y)) \leq \|h\| d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

A function h is called a Lipschitzian function if $\|h\| < \infty$. We shall write \mathbf{R} for the set of real numbers.

We make the following assumptions :

(A) $E \ln \| Z_{T_1} \| < 0.$

(B) $E \ln^+ d(x, Z_{T_1}(x)) < \infty$ for some $x \in X.$

(C) $E \| Z_{T_1} \|^2 := \lambda < 1.$

(D) $E d(x_0, Z_{T_1}(x_0))^2 := d < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in X.$

Note that if (C) and (D) hold, so do (A) and (B). We use the time reversal technique to prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 — Under the conditions (A) and (B), the Markov chain $\{Z_{T_n}(x)\}$ has a unique stationary probability measure μ , and the distribution of $Z_{T_n}(x)$ converges weakly to μ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in X.$

PROOF : $\{Z_{T_n}(x)\}$ can be considered as an IFS obtained by successive compositions of a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and proofs can be found in [7] and [14].

Lemma 2.2 — Suppose the assumptions (C) and (D) hold. Then

$$\forall x \in X, \int d(x, y)^2 \mu(dy) < \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

and $\int \int d(x, y)^2 \mu(dx) \mu(dy) < \infty. \tag{2.7}$

PROOF : Since $d(x_0, y)$ is a continuous function of y and the distribution of $Z_{T_n}(x_0)$ converges weakly to μ , we have

$$\int d(x_0, y)^2 \mu(dy) \leq \liminf_n E d(Z_{T_n}(x_0), x_0)^2.$$

Let $M_n := \left(E d(Z_{T_n}(x_0), x_0)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using that $\{J_n\}$ is i.i.d. and applying successively the triangle inequality and the Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \left(E d(\tilde{Z}_{T_n}(x_0), x_0)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(E d(\tilde{Z}_{T_n}(x_0), \tilde{Z}_{T_{n-1}}(x_0))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(E d(\tilde{Z}_{T_{n-1}}(x_0), x_0)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left(E \| F_{J_i} \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E d(\tilde{Z}_{T_1}(x_0), x_0)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + M_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d^{\frac{1}{2}} + M_{n-1} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\leq \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot d^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \cdot d^{\frac{1}{2}} + \dots + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{\frac{1}{2}} + d^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq d^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) < \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

for all $n, n \geq 1$.

From (2.8) and (2.9),

$$\int d(x_0, y)^2 \mu(dy) < \infty. \tag{2.10}$$

Next, we have, by (2.9) and (2.10)

$$\left(\int d(x, y)^2 \mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \liminf_n \left(Ed(Z_{T_n}(x_0), x^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \liminf_n M_n + d(x, x_0)$$

$$\leq d^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) + d(x, x_0) < \infty, \tag{2.11}$$

for each x in X and from that (2.6) follows.

Combining (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain (2.7).

We set $Z_n^\mu := Z_n(Z_0), (n \geq 1), Z_0^\mu = Z_0$

for X -valued random variable Z_0 with invariant initial distributon μ independent of $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$

Theorem 2.1 — Assume (C) and (D) hold. Then $\{Z_{T_n}^\mu\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a stationary ergodic Markov chain and hence for every Lipschitzian function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, the FCLT holds for

$$Y_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} \left(f(Z_{T_j}^\mu) - \bar{f} \right) \quad (t \geq 0). \tag{2.12}$$

PROOF : By Lemma 2.1, $\{Z_{T_n}^\mu\}$ with invariant initial distribution μ is a stationary ergodic Markov chain. For Lipschitzian function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, |f(y)| \leq \|f\| d(x_0, y) + |f(x_0)|$ for $x_0 \in X$. This together with Lemma 2.2, induces that $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P^n(f-\bar{f})(x)|^2 &\leq \int |E[f(Z_{T_n}(x))] - E[f(Z_{T_n}(y))]|^2 \mu(dy) \\
 &\leq \int E|f(Z_{T_n}(x)) - f(Z_{T_n}(y))|^2 \mu(dy) \\
 &\leq \|f\|^2 \int Ed(Z_{T_n}(x), Z_{T_n}(y))^2 \mu(dy). \tag{2.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$Ed(Z_{T_n}(x), Z_{T_n}(y))^2 \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} E\|F_{I_i}\|^2 d(x, y)^2 = \lambda^n d(x, y)^2. \tag{2.14}$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P^n(f-\bar{f})\|_2 &= \left(\int |P^n(f-\bar{f})(x)|^2 \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \|f\| \left(\int \int Ed(Z_{T_n}(x), Z_{T_n}(y)) = \mu(dy) \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \|f\| \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\int \int d(x, y)^2 \mu(dy) \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P^n(f-\bar{f})\|_2 \leq \frac{\|f\| M}{1-\sqrt{\lambda}} < \infty, \tag{2.15}$$

where $M := \left(\int \int d(x, y)^2 \mu(dy) \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, by Lemma 2.2. Hence, by Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 1.1, the FCLT for $Y_n(t)$ of (2.12) follows.

Assume that

(E) $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitzian function such that for some $y_0 \in X$,

$$E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k(y_0)) \right]^2 := K < \infty. \tag{2.16}$$

We set $\Delta_n := \max_{T_n \leq j < T_{n+1}} \|F_{I_j} \circ \dots \circ F_{I_{T_n}}\|$.

Then $\{(T_{n+1} - T_n) \Delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables.

Theorem 2.2 — *In addition to the assumptions (C) and (D), we assume that $E(T_1 \Delta_0)^2 < \infty$. Then for f which satisfies the condition (E),*

(a) *the FCLT holds for*

$$Y_n^\mu(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} \left[\sum_{k=T_j-1}^{T_{j+1}-1} f(Z_k^\mu) - \hat{f} \right], \quad \dots (2.17)$$

and

(b) *for any $x \in X$, the FCLT holds for*

$$Y_n^x(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} \left[\sum_{k=T_j-1}^{T_{j+1}-1} f Z_k^{(x)} - \hat{f} \right]. \quad \dots (2.18)$$

Here
$$\hat{f} := E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k^\mu) \right].$$

To prove the Theorem 2.2, we first consider the followings :

For a function $f: X \times S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, we define

$$\alpha_n := \sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k^\mu, I_k) \quad , \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then $\left\{ Z_{T_n}^\mu, \alpha_n \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Markov chain with transition probability

$$P_x(B, D) = P \left(Z_{T_{n+1}}^\mu \in B, \alpha_{n+1} \in D \mid Z_{T_n}^\mu = x, \alpha_n = s \right)$$

which does not depend on the second component s , and $\left\{ Z_{T_n}^\mu, \alpha_n \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has the unique invariant probability measure given by

$$\pi(B, D) = \int P_y(B, D) \mu(dy). \quad \dots (2.19)$$

Since the distribution of Z_0 is the invariant initial probability μ , $\left\{ Z_{T_n}^\mu, \alpha_n \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a stationary ergodic Markov chain with state space $X \times \mathbf{R}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2 : (a) Consider the stationary ergodic Markov chain

$\left\{ Z_{T_n}^\mu, \alpha_n \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with invariant initial distribution π defined in (2.19).

Let $h : X \times R \rightarrow R$ be such that $h(x, r) = r$ and let $f : X \times S \rightarrow R$ be such that $f(x, s) = f(x)$ where $f : X \rightarrow R$ satisfies the condition (E).

Then followings are obtained :

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_2^2 &= \int (h(x, r))^2 \pi(dx, dr) \\ &= \int E \left[(h(Z_{T_1}^\mu, \alpha_1))^2 \mid Z_{T_0} = x \right] \mu(dx) \\ &= \int E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k(x)) \right]^2 \mu(dx) \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for each $n, n \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} d(Z_k(x), Z_k(y)) \\ &= d \left(Z_{T_{n-1}}(x), Z_{T_{n-1}}(y) \right) + d \left(F_{I_{T_{n-1}}} \circ Z_{T_{n-1}}(x), F_{I_{T_{n-1}}} \circ Z_{T_{n-1}}(y) \right) \\ &+ \dots + \\ &+ d \left(F_{I_{T_n-2}} \circ \dots \circ F_{I_{T_{n-1}}}(x), F_{I_{T_n-2}} \circ \dots \circ F_{I_{T_{n-1}}}(y) \right) \\ &\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \|F_{J_i}\| d(x, y) [(T_n - T_{n-1}) \Delta_{n-1} + 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Moreover, using inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ ($a, b \in R$), we have

$$\left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k(x)) \right]^2 \leq 2 \left[\|f\| \sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} d(Z_k(x), Z_k(y_0)) \right]^2 + 2 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k(y_0)) \right]^2 \dots \tag{2.22}$$

From (2.6), (2.16) and (2.20)-(2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_2^2 &= \int E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k(x)) \right]^2 \mu(dx) \\ &\leq 2 \|f\|^2 \int E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} d(Z_k(x), Z_k(y_0)) \right]^2 \mu(dx) + 2 E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k(y_0)) \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2 \|f\|^2 \int E [d(x, y_0) (T_1 \Delta_0 + 1)]^2 \mu(dx) + 2K \\ &= 2 \|f\|^2 E (T_1 \Delta_0 + 1)^2 \int d(x, y_0)^2 \mu(dx) + 2K < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $h \in L^2(X \times R, \pi)$.

Now for the transition operator P defined on $L^2(X \times R, \pi)$, it follows that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$P^n h(x, r) = E \left[\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k^\mu) \mid Z_0 = x \right] = E \left[\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k(x)) \right]. \quad \dots (2.23)$$

On the other hand, since $Z_T^\mu, n \geq 0$ is a stationary ergodic Markov chain, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\bar{h} = \int h(x, r) \pi(dx, dr) = \int E \left[\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k(x)) \right] \mu(dx). \quad \dots (2.24)$$

Using eq. (2.21), (2.23) and (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|P^n (h - \bar{h})(x, r)|^2 \\ &= \left| \int \left(E \left[\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k(x)) \right] - E \left[\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k(y)) \right] \right) \mu(dy) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \int E \left[\sum_{k=T_{n-1}}^{T_n-1} f(Z_k(x)) - f(Z_k(y)) \right]^2 \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \int E \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \|F_{J_i}\| d(x, y) ((T_n - T_{n-1}) \Delta_{n-1} + 1) \right]^2 \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \lambda^{n-1} E \left[(T_n - T_{n-1}) \Delta_{n-1} + 1 \right]^2 \int d(x, y)^2 \mu(dy). \quad \dots (2.25) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), (2.23) and the fact that $\{(T_n - T_{n-1}) \Delta_{n-1}\}$ is i.i.d., we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|P^n (h - \bar{h})\|_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\int |P^n(h-\bar{h})(x,r)|^2 d\pi(x,r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(E[(T_n - T_{n-1})\Delta_{n-1} + 1]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \int d(x,y)^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(E(T_1 \Delta_0 + 1)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{\lambda}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where M is given in the proof of Theorem 2.1. This implies that by Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 1.1, the FCLT holds for

$$Y_n^\mu(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} (h(Z_{T_j}^\mu, \alpha_j) - \bar{h}) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} \left(\sum_{k=T_{j-1}}^{T_j-1} f(Z_k^\mu) - \hat{f} \right),$$

where
$$\hat{f} = E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{T_1-1} f(Z_k^\mu) \right].$$

(b) Note that Z_0 and $\{I_n\}$ are independent. For each x and X

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |Y_n^\mu(t) - Y_n^x(t)| \right) \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^n \left[\int E \left(\sum_{k=T_{j-1}}^{T_j-1} |f(Z_k(x)) - f(Z_k(y))| \mu(dy) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \|f\| n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^n \left[\int E \left(\sum_{k=T_{j-1}}^{T_j-1} d(Z_k(x), Z_k(y)) \mu(dy) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \|f\| E(T_1 \Delta_0 + 1) \left(\int d(x,y) \mu(dy) \right) \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

The third inequality in (2.26) follows from eq. (2.21). Therefore, from (2.26) and the conclusion of part (a) of Theorem 2.2, the FCLT for $Y_n^x(t)$ of (2.18) is obtained.

Remark : If $\{I_n\}$ is a sequence of Harris recurrent Markov chain with a general state space S , then $\{Z_n(x)\}$ can be considered as in IFS controlled by the regenerative sequence $\{\tilde{I}_n\}$ which is an artificial Markov chain obtained by the method developed by Athreya and Ney¹. In this case it

is natural to express the conditions related to the regeneration moment T_1 in terms of the transition probabilities of the Markov chain $\{Z_n(x), \tilde{I}_n\}$. This however is not an obvious task, and can constitute a good topic for a further research.

REFERENCES

1. K. B. Athreya and P. Ney, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **245** (1978) 493-501.
2. M. F. Barnsley, J. H. Elton and D. P. Hardin, *Constr. Approx.* **5** 3-31.
3. M. Benda, *J. appl. Prob.* **35** (1998) 200-5.
4. R. N. Bhattacharya and O. Lee, *Ann. Prob.* **16**, (1988) No. 3, 1333-47.
5. R. N. Bhattacharya and E. C. Waymire *Stochastic Processes with Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1990.
6. P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968.
7. J. H. Elton, *Stoch. Proc. and Their Appl.* **34** (1990) 39-47.
8. P. Glynn and S. Meyn, *Ann. Prob.* **24** (1996) No. 2, 916-31.
9. M. I. Gordin and B. A. Lifšic, *Soviet math. Dokl.* **19** (1978) No. 2, 392-93.
10. G. Letac, *Contemp. Math.* **50** (1986) 263-73.
11. O. Lee, *Stat. Pro. Lett.* **32** (1997) 215-21.
12. K. Loskot and R. Rudnicki, *J. appl. Prob.* **32** (1995) 459-69.
13. S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie, *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*. Springer-Verlag, London, 1993.
14. D. S. Silvestrov and Ö. Stenflo, *J. theor. Prob.* **11** (1998) No. 3, 589-608.
15. Ö. Stenflo, *Theor. Stoch. Proc.* **18** (1996), 305-13.