

ON THE BOUNDEDNESS OF SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENCE-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACE

by B. G. PACHPATTE, *Department of Mathematics, Deogiri College, Aurangabad (Maharashtra)*

(Communicated by B. R. Seth, F.N.A.)

(Received 18 December 1972)

The object of the present paper is to establish some theorems on the boundedness of solutions of difference-differential equations in Hilbert space which are, in general, not linear.

§1. Difference-differential equations, or functional-differential equations as they are frequently called, have become important in many fields. These equations have been studied by many authors and the most comprehensive work on this topic is a book by Bellman and Cooke (1963). In an interesting paper, Schaeffer (1968) has established a boundedness theorem for the solutions of linear differential equations in Hilbert space. Recently, Kartsatos (1969) has proved a boundedness theorem for the solutions of nonlinear differential equations in Hilbert space, which contains partially as a special case a result of Schaeffer (1968). It is the purpose of this paper to establish some results concerning the boundedness of solutions of difference-differential equations in Hilbert space, which extends the results of Bellman and Cooke (1963), Kartsatos (1969) and Sugiyama (1960).

§2. Let I denote the interval $0 \leq t < \infty$, $H = (H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denote a complex Hilbert space, and $B = B(H, H)$, the space of all bounded linear operators from H into H , associated with the strong operator topology. The only topology that we consider on H is the strong one. For any $x \in H$, $\|x\|$ denote any convenient norm in H .

We first consider below the difference-differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t-1)), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty. \quad \dots(2.1)$$

under the initial conditions

$$x(t-1) = \phi(t) \quad (0 \leq t < 1) \text{ and } x(0) = x_0 \quad \dots(2.2)$$

where $\phi(t)$ is continuous for $0 \leq t < 1$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-0} \phi(t) = \phi(1-0)$ exists, $x : I \rightarrow H$, is a differentiable function on I with continuous first derivative, $A : I \rightarrow B$ is a continuous function on I , and $f : I \times H \times H \rightarrow H$ is also continuous on $I \times H \times H$. It is supposed that the existence of solutions of (2.1) with (2.2) is guaranteed for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

We shall now establish the following boundedness theorem for the solutions of (2.1) under the initial conditions (2.2).

Theorem 1—Consider (2.1) with (2.2) under the following assumptions :

(i) There exists an operator valued function $Q : I \rightarrow B$ continuous and such that

$$\dot{Q}(t) + Q(t)A(t) + A^*(t)Q(t) = 0, \quad t \in I$$

and

$$(ii) \quad g(\|x\|) \leq | \langle Q(t)x, x \rangle |, \quad (t, x) \in I \times H$$

where $A^*(t)$ is the adjoint of the operator $A(t)$, $g: R_+ \rightarrow R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} g(r) = +\infty$;

$$(iii) \quad \|x\|, \|y\| \Rightarrow \|f(t, x, y)\| \leq h(t) (g(\|x\|) + g(\|y\|))$$

where $h : I \rightarrow R_+$ is a continuous function and such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} h(t) \|Q(t)\| dt < +\infty$$

Then, any solution of (2.1) with (2.2) is bounded for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

PROOF : Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (2.1) with (2.2). By differentiation of the function

$$V(t) = \langle Q(t)x(t), x(t) \rangle$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) &= \langle \dot{Q}(t)x(t) + Q(t)\dot{x}(t), x(t) \rangle + \langle Q(t)x(t), \dot{x}(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \dot{Q}(t)x(t) + Q(t)A(t)x(t) + Q(t)f(t, x(t), x(t-1)), x(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Q(t)x(t), A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t-1)) \rangle \\ &= \langle (\dot{Q}(t) + Q(t)A(t) + A^*(t)Q(t))x(t), x(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Q(t)f(t, x(t), x(t-1)), x(t) \rangle + \langle Q(t)x(t), f(t, x(t), x(t-1)) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and by integration from 0 to t ($0 \leq t$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= V(0) + \int_0^t [\langle Q(s)f(s, x(s), x(s-1)), x(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Q(s)x(s), f(s, x(s), x(s-1)) \rangle] ds. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.3)$$

Now, we have to consider two cases.

Case I : $0 \leq t < 1$ —It follows from (2.2), (ii), (iii), (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\|x(t)\|) &\leq |V(t)| \leq |V(0)| + 2 \int_0^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot \|f(s, x(s), \phi(s))\| \cdot \|x(s)\| ds \\ &\leq |V(0)| + 2 \int_0^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot h(s) (g(\|x(s)\|) + g(\|\phi(s)\|)) ds \\ &\leq c + 2 \int_0^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot h(s) g(\|x(s)\|) ds \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c = |V(0)| + 2 \int_0^1 \|Q(s)\| \cdot h(s) g(\|\phi(s)\|) ds.$$

By Gronwall's lemma (Bellman and Cooke 1963), the above inequality yields the estimation

$$g(\|x(t)\|) \leq c \exp \left(2 \int_0^t h(s) \|Q(s)\| ds \right) \leq c \exp \left(2 \int_0^\infty h(s) \|Q(s)\| ds \right). \quad \dots(2.4)$$

Case II : $1 \leq t < \infty$ —It follows from (2.2), (ii), (iii), (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\|x(t)\|) &\leq |V(t)| \leq |V(0)| + 2 \int_0^1 \|Q(s)\| \cdot \|f(s, x(s), \phi(s))\| \cdot \|x(s)\| ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_1^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot \|f(s, x(s), x(s-1))\| \cdot \|x(s)\| ds \\ &\leq |V(0)| + 2 \int_0^1 \|Q(s)\| \cdot h(s) (g(\|x(s)\|) + g(\|\phi(s)\|)) ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_1^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot h(s) (g(\|x(s)\|) + g(\|x(s-1)\|)) ds \\ &\leq c + 2 \int_0^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot (h(s) + h(s+1)) g(\|x(s)\|) ds \end{aligned}$$

where c is as defined above. Now an application of Gronwall's lemma, the above inequality yields the estimation

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\|x(t)\|) &\leq c \cdot \exp\left(2 \int_0^t \|Q(s)\| \cdot (h(s) + h(s+1)) ds\right) \\
 &\leq c \exp\left(4 \int_0^\infty \|Q(s)\| \cdot h(s) ds\right) \quad \dots(2.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies together with (2.4) the boundedness of $\|x(t)\|$.

§3. As for difference-differential equations of neutral type, we shall establish a boundedness theorem, for which the equation to be discussed here is

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t-1), \dot{x}(t-1)), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty$$

under the initial conditions ... (3.1)

$$x(t-1) = \phi(t) \quad (0 \leq t < 1) \quad \text{and} \quad x(0) = x_0 \quad \dots(3.2)$$

where $A(t)$ is as defined above, $f(t, x, y, z)$ is continuous and bounded, $\|f(t, x, y, z)\| \leq M$, for $0 \leq t < \infty$, $x, y, z \in H$, and $\phi(t)$, is a given function as before, continuously differentiable for $0 \leq t < 1$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1-0} \phi(t)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \dot{\phi}(t)$ exist. It is supposed that the existence

of solutions of (3.1) with (3.2) is guaranteed for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

Theorem 2—Consider (3.1) with (3.2) under the assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1. Further we suppose that the inequality

$$\|x\| \cdot \|f(t, x, y, z)\| \leq h(t) \cdot (g(\|x\|) + g(\|y\|) + g(\|z\|))$$

is satisfied for $0 \leq t < \infty$, where $h(t)$ is the same function as defined in Theorem 1 such that

$$\int_0^t h(t) \|Q(t)\| dt < +\infty.$$

Then, any solution of (3.1) with (3.2) is bounded for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

The proof of this theorem is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1. We omit the details.

Theorems 1 and 2 may also be extended to the non-linear equations

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t-h))$$

and

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t-h), x(t-h))$$

respectively, where $h \geq 0$ is a constant retardation and A, x, f are the functions as defined in section 2. This will need suitable modifications in the proof of Theorem 1 and 2, and we leave the details to the reader.

REFERENCES

- Bellman, R. and Cooke, K. L. (1963). *Differential-Difference Equations*. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
- Kartsatos, A.G. (1969). Boundedness of solutions to nonlinear equations in Hilbert spaces. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **45**, 339-41.
- Schaeffer, A. J. (1968). Boundedness of solutions to linear differential equations. *Bull. Am. math. Soc.*, **74**, 508-11.
- Sugiyama, S. (1960). On the boundedness of solutions of difference-differential equations. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **36**, 456-60.