

## WEYL'S THEOREM FOR OPERATORS WITH A GROWTH CONDITION AND DUNFORD'S PROPERTY (C)

IN HO JEON

*Department of Mathematics, Ewha Women's University, Seoul 120-750, Korea*

*Dedicated to Professor Yong Tae Kim on his 65th birthday*

*(Received 12 March 2001; accepted 12 June 2001)*

K. Oberai showed that Weyl's theorem holds for spectral operators of finite type on Banach space. In this paper we generalize this to a class of operators with a growth condition and Dunford's property (C).

**Key Words :** Dunford's property (C), a growth condition, Weyl's theorem

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional complex Banach space and let  $B(X)$  be the set of all bounded linear operators acting on a Banach space  $X$ . An operator  $T \in B(X)$  is called *Fredholm* if the range of  $T$ , denoted by  $R(T)$ , is closed, and the kernel of  $T$ , denoted by  $N(T)$ , and  $X/R(T)$  are both finite dimensional. If  $T$  is Fredholm, then the *index* of  $T$  is defined by

$$\text{ind } (T) = \dim N(T) - \dim X/R(T)$$

and a Fredholm operator with index zero is called *Weyl* ([8], [9], [10]). If  $T \in B(X)$ , then we shall denote  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\pi_0(T)$ ,  $\text{iso } \sigma(T)$  by the spectrum of  $T$ , the set of all eigenvalues of  $T$ , the set of all eigenvalues of finite multiplicity of  $T$ , and the set of all isolated points of  $\sigma(T)$ , respectively. We write

$$\pi_{00}(T) = \pi_0(T) \cap \text{iso } \sigma(T)$$

for the set of all isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity of  $T$ . The *Weyl spectrum* of  $T$ , denoted by  $\omega(T)$ , is defined by

$$\omega(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda \text{ is not Weyl}\}.$$

Following Coburn ([3]) we say that *Weyl's theorem holds for  $T$*  if

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T) = \pi_{00}(T).$$

Coburn ([3, Theorem (3.1)] showed that Weyl's theorem holds for hyponormal operators and Toeplitz operators. After Coburn's results, many authors found several classes of operators acting on a Hilbert space for which Weyl's theorem holds (see [1], [2], [7], [10], [15] for further references). On the other hand, Gustafson ([7]), Harte and Lee ([10]), and Schechter ([15]) generally considered various conditions that Weyl's theorem holds for a Banach space operator. In particular, Oberai ([14]) showed that Weyl's theorem holds for a spectral operator of finite type on a Banach space.

In this paper we extend this to operators which satisfy Dunford's property (C) together with a growth condition  $G_m$ . We recall that  $T \in B(X)$  satisfies the growth condition  $G_m$  provided

$$\sup_{\lambda \notin \sigma(T)} \|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))^m < \infty; \tag{1.1}$$

for example [5] spectral operators of type  $m - 1$  satisfy  $G_m$ .

We also need some local spectral theory: we say that  $T \in B(X)$  has the *single valued extension property* if there is implication, for arbitrary open sets  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  and holomorphic functions  $f: U \rightarrow X$ ,

$$(T - zI)f(z) = 0 \text{ on } U \Rightarrow f(z) = 0 \text{ on } U. \tag{1.2}$$

If this holds for a neighbourhood  $Y$  of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we say that  $T$  has SVEP at  $\lambda$ . When  $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is a closed set we write

$$\chi_T(F) = \{y \in X : y = (T - zI)f(z) \text{ for some } f \in \text{Holo}(F, X)\};$$

then Dunford's property (C) is the condition that

$$F = \text{cl } F \subseteq \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \chi_T(F) = \text{cl } \chi_T(F) \subseteq X. \tag{1.3}$$

It is familiar [13] that Dunford's property (C) implies SVEP : (1.3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1.2). When  $F = \{\lambda\}$  is a singleton we write  $\chi_T(F) = \chi_T(\lambda)$ , and recall

$$\chi_T(\lambda) = \{x \in X : \|(T - \lambda I)^n x\|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \tag{1.4}$$

is a sort of generalized kernel of  $T - \lambda I$ ; we also write

$$K_T(\lambda) = \{y \in X : \lambda \notin \sigma_T(X)\} \tag{1.5}$$

for what Mbekhta has called the *coeur analytique* of  $T - \lambda I$  [16]. This can be characterized (13).

$$K_T(\lambda) = \bigcup \{Y \subseteq X : TY \subseteq Y = TY\} \tag{1.6}$$

as the union of the closed invariant subspaces for  $T$  on which the restriction of  $T$  is surjective. Our main result is

**Theorem 1** — *If  $T \in B(X)$  has Dunford's property (C) and also satisfies the growth condition  $G_m$  then Weyl's theorem holds for  $T$ .*

We actually prove a more general result, involving a pair of operators  $S$  and  $T$ . We recall that  $T \in B(X)$  is said to be a *quasi-affine transform* of  $S \in B(Y)$ , written  $T < S$ , if  $S$  and  $T$  are "intertwined" by an operator  $V \in B(X, Y)$  which is both one-one and dense; more generally we shall write  $T <^i S$  to mean that there is  $V \in B(X, Y)$  for which

$$V \text{ is one-one and } SV = VT.$$

Now what we prove is

**Theorem 2** — If  $T \in B(X)$  has Dunford's property (C) while  $S \in B(X)$  has the growth condition  $G_m$  then there is implication

$$T \prec^i S \text{ and } \sigma(S) \subseteq \sigma(T) \Rightarrow \text{Weyl's theorem holds for } T.$$

Taking  $S = T$  gives Theorem 1. Since ([5] Theorem XVI.4.4) spectral operators have Dunford's property (C) it follows that spectral operators which satisfy the growth condition  $G_m$  satisfy Weyl's theorem, in particular (Oberai [14]) spectral operators of finite type.

## 2. PROOFS

The proof of Theorem 2 divides neatly into two propositions.

**Proposition 1** — If  $T \in B(X)$  satisfies Dunford's property (C) then there is inclusion

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T) \subseteq \pi_{00}(T).$$

PROOF : We recall (eg [9] Theorem 9.8.3) that there is always inclusion

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T) \subseteq \pi_0(T);$$

thus we must show that if  $T$  has Dunford's property (C) then

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T) \subseteq \text{iso } \sigma(T).$$

If  $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T)$  then  $T - \lambda I$  is Fredholm and hence [13] provided  $\chi_T(\lambda)$  is closed we have

$$\chi_T(\lambda) \text{ finite dimensional,} \quad \dots (2.1)$$

and also [13] provided  $T$  has SVEP

$$\chi_T(\lambda) \cap K_T(\lambda) = \{0\}; \quad \dots (2.2)$$

thus if  $T$  has Dunford's property (C) then both these hold. We claim that also

$$X = \chi_T(\lambda) + K_T(\lambda); \quad \dots (2.3)$$

for (2.1) guarantees that  $\chi_T(\lambda)$  is complemented, so that we can write

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \chi_T(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \chi_T(\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

and we claim

$$A(Y) = Y.$$

Indeed since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$  is a finite rank operator  $T$  is Weyl on  $X$  iff  $A$  is Weyl on  $Y$ , while (1.5) the inclusion  $N(T-\lambda) \subseteq \chi_T(\lambda)$  guarantees that  $A$  is one-one. The characterization (1.6) guarantees that  $Y \subseteq K_T(\lambda)$ , and hence (2.3). By (2.2) and (2.3)  $X = \chi_T(\lambda) \oplus K_T(\lambda)$ , which now [16] gives Proposition 1. □

*Proposition 2* — Suppose  $T \in B(X)$  is arbitrary and that  $S \in B(X)$  has the growth condition  $G_m$ . If  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy

$$T <^i S \text{ and } \sigma(S) \subseteq \sigma(T) \tag{2.4}$$

then there is inclusion

$$\pi_{00}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T).$$

PROOF : We claim first that if (2.4) holds then

$$\text{iso } \sigma(T) \subseteq \text{iso } \sigma(S). \tag{2.5}$$

This rests on an auxiliary result of Fialkow ([6]) : if  $P = P^2 \in B(X)$  is a projection whose range is invariant under  $T$  and if  $F$  is an open-closed subset of the spectrum of the restriction of  $T$  to  $P(X)$ , then ([6] Theorem 2.5)

$$T <^i S \Rightarrow F \cap \sigma(S) \neq \emptyset.$$

Taking  $F = \{\lambda\} \subseteq \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  gives (2.5).

We also need another result of Fialkow: if in particular  $P_T(F)$  denotes the *Riesz projection* associated with an open-closed subset  $F \subseteq \sigma(T)$ , and if  $F$  is an open-closed subset both of  $\sigma(T)$  and of  $\sigma(S)$ , then ([6] Lemma 2.1)

$$SV = VT \Rightarrow P_S(F) V = VP_T(F). \tag{2.6}$$

With the help of this we claim that if  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy (2.4), and if  $S$  has the growth condition  $G_m$ , then

$$(T - \lambda I) P_T(\lambda) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Indeed if  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(S)$  and if  $S$  has  $G_m$  then the same argument ([10] Theorem 14) as in the case  $m = 1$  gives the norm of the restriction of  $S$  to the range of  $P_S(\lambda)$  equal to zero: now by (2.6)

$$0 = (S - \lambda I) P_S(\lambda) V = (S - \lambda I) VP_T(\lambda) = V(T - \lambda I) P_T(\lambda),$$

giving (2.7) since  $V$  is one-one. But now the operator  $T - \lambda I = 0 \oplus T_1$  is Weyl, being the direct sum of an infinite dimensional zero and an invertible. □

Now, we conclude with some examples of Hilbert space operators :

(a) Wadhwa ([17, Theorem 2.26]) proved that operators with the growth condition  $G_m$  whose spectrum lie in a rectifiable Jordan curve satisfies Dunford's property (C). Thus Weyl's theorem holds for operators with the growth condition  $G_m$  whose spectrum lie in a rectifiable Jordan curve.

(b) If  $T$  is *subdecomposable* (for the definition and details, see [12]), it has Dunford's property (C). Thus Weyl's theorem holds for subdecomposable operators with the growth condition  $G_m$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wish to express his appreciation to Professor R. Haste and the referee whose suggestions led to an improvement of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Michigan Math. J.* **16** (1969), 273-79.
2. M. Cho, M. Itoh, and S. Oshiro, *Glasgow Math. J.* **39** (1997), 217-20.
3. L. A. Coburn, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 285-88.
4. I. Colojoara and C. Foias, *Theory of generalized spectral operators*, Gordon and Breach (1968).
5. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, part III; spectral operators*, Wiley, New York (1971).
6. L. A. Fialkow, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **39** (1977), 67-85.
7. K. Gustafson, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 769-81.
8. R. E. Harte, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sec. A.* **85** (1985), 151-76.
9. R. E. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators*, Marcel Dekker (1988).
10. R. E. Harte and W. Y. Lee, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 2115-24.
11. V. I. Istratescu, *Introduction to Linear Operator Theory*, Dekker, New York (1981).
12. R. Lange and S. Wang, *Contemp Math.* **128** *Amer. Math. Soc.*, (1992).
13. K. B. Laursen, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 1425-34.
14. K. K. Oberai, *Illinois J. Math.* **18** (1974), 208-12.
15. M. Schechter, *Scripta Math.* **29** (1973), 67-75.
16. C. Schmoeger, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 715-19.
17. B. L. Wadhwa, Spectral,  $M$ -hyponorrosses in 700 species and 80 genera from which he obtained 250 hybrids.1856. Louis Pasteur reports that fermentation is caused