

CHARACTERIZATION OF ε -CHAINABLE SETS IN METRIC SPACES

KIRAN SHRIVASTAVA* AND GEETA AGRAWAL**

*Department of Mathematics, Govt. Sarojini Naidu College, Bhopal
**Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya, Bhopal

(Received 20 January 2000; accepted 12 September 2001)

Connectedness and compactness are widely studied in Topology. In 1883 Cantor defined connectedness with the help of ε -chains. At present, however, the Riesz-Hausdorff definition using the idea of separated sets, is universally accepted. On the other hand, a lot of experiments has led to several forms of compactness. Compactness and several of its generalizations are defined in terms of open covers; e.g. compact, countably compact, paracompact, Lindeoff etc. Chainability characterizes connected sets among compact sets in the setting of metric spaces. In the same setting Beer¹ has characterized compact sets among the connected ones.

In this paper we extend the concept of ε -chain between two points to ε -chain between two sets in metric spaces. This generalization yields a simple characterization of ε -chainable sets in terms of ε -chains between their points. Some of the results of Beer¹ have been generalized. Also, results pertaining to uniformly continuous and contraction mappings in the context of ε -chainability have been obtained.

Many of the properties of connectedness and local connectedness can be extended to ε -chainable sets. In future we wish to extend some of the properties of connectedness and local connectedness to ε -chainable sets.

Key Words : Chainable; Uniformly Chainable; Connected; Uniformly Locally Compact; Contraction; Uniformly Continuous

Let A be a subset of the metric space (X, d) .

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $V_\varepsilon(A) = \{x \in X/d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$, where $d(x, A) = \inf \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$

Throughout this paper X will stand for metric space with metric d .

Definition 1 — For points $p, q \in X$ an ε -chain of length n from p to q is a finite sequence $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ in X with $a_0 = p, a_n = q$ and $d(a_{i-1}, a_i) < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We call X ε -chainable if each two points in X can be joined by an ε -chain and X is chainable if X is ε -chainable for each positive ε .

Definition 2 — Let $A, B \subset X$. An ε -chain of length n from A to B is a finite sequence $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ of subsets of X with $A = A_0, A_n = B, A_{i-1} \subset V_\varepsilon(A_i)$ and $A_i \subset V_\varepsilon(A_{i-1}), 1 \leq i \leq n$. If ε -chain exists between A and B we say $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable and $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable if it is ε -chainable for each positive ε .

Let $B_\varepsilon(A)$ be the union of all open ε -balls centered on each point of A . It may be observed that $V_\varepsilon(A) = B_\varepsilon(A)$. Also $B_\varepsilon(A)$ being the union of open sets is open. Using the notation of¹,

inductively construct the sets $V_\epsilon^n(A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ as follows : $V_\epsilon^1(A) = V_\epsilon(A)$ and for each $n \geq 2$ set $V_\epsilon^n(A) = V_\epsilon(V_\epsilon^{n-1}(A))$. The following should be observed :

$$(1) V_\epsilon^n(A) \subset V_\epsilon^{n+1}(A)$$

$$(2) V_\epsilon^n(A) \subset V_{n\epsilon}(A).$$

Using the notation in¹ of the shortest length of ϵ -chain between two points in a metric space we set $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle)$ to be the length of the shortest ϵ -chain between A and B .

Examples of Chainable Sets

(1) Let $\langle x_n \rangle$ be a real sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow l$. Let $A = \{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0\}$ and $B = \{l\}$. Then $A \subset V_\epsilon(B)$ and $B \subset V_\epsilon(A) \Rightarrow \langle A, B \rangle$ is ϵ -chainable and $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = 1$.

(2) Let $Y = A \cup B$, and d be the usual metric on Y where,

$$i. A = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\} \text{ and } B = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{4^2}, \dots \right\}, \text{ then } \langle A, B \rangle \text{ is } \epsilon\text{-chainable and}$$

$$\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = 1 \text{ for } \epsilon = 1.$$

$$ii. \text{ Let } A = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\}, A_1 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \right\}, A_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{4^2} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$B = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{3^2}, \frac{1}{4^2}, \dots \right\} \text{ then we have the following two chains between } A \text{ and } B :$$

A, A_1, B and A, A_1, A_2, B where $\epsilon = \frac{2}{3}$, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ϵ -chainable and

$$\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = 2.$$

iii. Let A be the set of rational numbers and B the set of irrational numbers then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable for any ϵ and $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = 1$.

3. Let d be the usual metric on $R \times R$. Then the following subsets of $R \times R$ are ϵ -chainable

i) Consider a rectangle $ABCD$ of breadth n . Divide the rectangle into m equidistant segments $AB = A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_m = CD$, then $\langle A_1, A_m \rangle$ is ϵ -chainable for $\epsilon > \frac{n}{m-1}$.

ii) If we take a circle C of radius R and divide it into n concentric circles of radii, $\frac{R}{n}, \frac{2R}{n}, \frac{3R}{n}, \dots, \frac{nR}{n}$ with circumferences $C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots, C_n = C$ respectively, then $\langle C_1, C_n \rangle$ are ϵ -chainable for $\epsilon > \frac{R}{n}$.

iii) Divide a square ABCD into concentric squares such that the distance between parallel sides of any two consecutive squares is same say m , then the perimeters of the smallest and biggest square are ϵ -chainable for $\epsilon > m$.

Definition 3 — Let $A, B \subset X$. $\langle A, B \rangle$ is called *uniformly ϵ -chainable* if there exists a positive integer n such that each point of A can be joined to a point of B by an ϵ -chain of length at most n and vice versa. Also $\langle A, B \rangle$ is called *uniformly chainable* if it is uniformly ϵ -chainable for all positive ϵ .

EXAMPLES

1) Let d be the usual metric on $R \times R$ and B the union of the following sets :

$$A_1 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1 \}, A_2 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = (1.4)^2 \}, A_3 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 2^2 \} ,$$

$$A_4 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 3^2 \}, A_5 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 4^2 \}, A_6 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 5^2 \} \text{ and}$$

$$A_7 = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \geq 7^2 \}.$$

Consider the subspace (B, d) of $(R \times R, d)$. Then we have the following two chains between A_1 and A_6 : $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6$ and A_1, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6 where $\epsilon = 1.5$ then $\langle A_1, A_6 \rangle$ is uniformly ϵ -chainable.

2) Let $A = \{ (x, y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1 \}$ be a subset of $R \times R$ and consider the subspace (A, d) of $(R \times R, d)$ where d is the usual metric on $R \times R$. Then for any pair of points (x, y) in A , $d(x, y) \leq 2\pi$. Thus any two subsets of A are uniformly chainable.

3. Let (R, d) be the usual metric space and $Y = A \cup B$ where $A = [1, 2)$ and $B = (2, 3]$. Then (Y, d) is disconnected subspace of (R, d) and $\langle A, B \rangle$ is uniformly chainable in (Y, d) .

4. Let $A = \left\{ \left(1, \frac{1}{n} \right) : n \geq 1, n \text{ is a natural number} \right\}$, $B = \{ (x, 0) : 1 \leq x \leq 2 \}$ and $Y = A \cup B$.

Consider the subspace (Y, d) of $(R \times R, d)$. Then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is uniformly chainable in (Y, d) .

Definition 4 — A subset A of X is called *uniformly locally compact* if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ for which each closed ϵ -ball is compact with respect to the relative metric on A .

Some Preliminary Results :

I. Let $A, B \subset X$, then

- i) $A \subset V_\epsilon(A)$
- ii) $A \subset B \Rightarrow V_\epsilon(A) \subset V_\epsilon(B)$
- iii) $V_\epsilon(A) \cup V_\epsilon(B) = V_\epsilon(A \cup B)$
- iv) $V_\epsilon(A \cap B) \subseteq V_\epsilon(A) \cap V_\epsilon(B)$

$$v) A \subseteq \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} V_\varepsilon(A) = \bar{A}$$

$$vi) A \text{ is closed iff } A = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} V_\varepsilon(A).$$

II. If $\langle A, B \rangle$ and $\langle C, D \rangle$ are chainable, then $\langle A \cup C, B \cup D \rangle$ is also chainable where $A, B, C, D \subset X$.

III. If $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable and $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a uniformly continuous function then $\langle f(A), f(B) \rangle$ is also chainable where $A, B \subset X$.

IV. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a contracting mapping, that is there exists a real number $\varepsilon, 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$, such that for every $x, y \in X, d[f(x), f(y)] \leq \varepsilon d(x, y)$. If $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable then $\langle f(A), f(B) \rangle$ is also ε -chainable where $A, B \subset X$.

V. Let $A, B \subset Y$ and Y be the subspace of X . If $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable in Y , then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is also chainable in X but converse part is not true by the following example: Let $X = R \times R$, and d the usual metric on X and $A = \left\{ \left(1, \frac{1}{n} \right) : n \geq 1, n \text{ is a natural number} \right\}$, $B = \{(x, 0) : x \geq 0\}$, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable in X but not in Y where $Y = A \cup B$.

VI. Let $A, B \subset X$. If $d(A \cup B) \leq \varepsilon$, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable but converse part of this result is not true (see example 3(i)). This result also holds if $d(A) + d(B) + d(A, B) \leq \varepsilon$.

Theorem 1 — Let $A, B \subset X$ and $\langle A, B \rangle$ be ε -chainable, then there exists an ε -chain from every point of A to some point of B and vice-versa. Also the converse holds.

PROOF : We prove the necessary part first. As $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable there exists a sequence A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n of subsets of X with $A = A_0, A_n = B, A_i \subset V_\varepsilon(A_{i+1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_\varepsilon(A_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. The $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in V_\varepsilon(A_1) \Rightarrow d(x, x_0) < \varepsilon$ for some $x_0 \in A_1$. Again $x_0 \in A_1 \Rightarrow d(x_0, x_1) < \varepsilon$ for some $x_1 \in A_2$. Repeating the above process n times we obtain a sequence of points $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = y \in B$ such that $d(x_i, x_{i-1}) < \varepsilon, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x_i \in A_i$, showing that there exist an ε -chain from x to y . Likewise we can obtain an ε -chain from every point of B to a point of A .

We next prove the sufficient part. Let there exist an ε -chain from every point of A to some point of B and vice-versa. Let $A_1 = \{y \in X / d(y, x) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in A \text{ and } y \neq x\}$. Clearly $A_1 \neq \emptyset$

and $A_i \subset V_\varepsilon(A)$. Next, we show that $A \subset V_\varepsilon(A_1)$. If $x \in A$, then there exists a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = y \in B$ such that $d(x, x_1) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow x_1 \in A_1$ and $d(x, A_1) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow x \in V_\varepsilon(A_1)$.

Again let $A_2 = \{y \in X / d(y, x) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } x \in A_1 \text{ and } y \neq x\}$. Clearly $A_2 \neq \emptyset, A_2 \subset V_\varepsilon(A_1)$ and it can be shown as above that $A_1 \subset V_\varepsilon(A_2)$. Repeating the above process n times we obtain a sequence $A = A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n = B$ of subsets of X , such that $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable.

Theorem 2 — *Sequential Characterization of ε -chainable Sets in Metric Spaces* — Let $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ be a monotonically increasing sequence of positive real numbers converging to ε (arbitrary). Then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable iff there exists a subsequence $\langle \varepsilon_{n_k} \rangle$ of $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ such that $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε_{n_k} -chainable for each $k \in N$.

PROOF : Let $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ be a monotonically increasing sequence such that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow \varepsilon$. Then for every $\delta > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon| < \delta \Rightarrow \varepsilon_n - \delta < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_n + \delta$. $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable \Rightarrow there exists a sequence of sets $A = A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_l = B$ such that $A_i \subset V_\varepsilon(A_{i-1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_\varepsilon(A_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, $\Rightarrow A_i \subset V_{\varepsilon_n + \delta}(A_{i-1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_{\varepsilon_n + \delta}(A_i) \forall n \geq n_0$ or $A_i \subset V_{\varepsilon_{n_0} + \delta}(A_{i-1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_{\varepsilon_{n_0} + \delta}(A_i)$. Let $m > n_0$ be the least positive integer such that $\varepsilon_{n_0} + \delta \leq \varepsilon_m$ for if $\varepsilon_m \leq \varepsilon_{n_0} + \delta \forall m \in N$, then the sequence $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ is not monotonically increasing. $\Rightarrow A_i \subset V_{\varepsilon_m}(A_{i-1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_{\varepsilon_m}(A_i) \Rightarrow A_i \subset V_\varepsilon(A_{i-1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_\varepsilon(A_i) \forall n \geq m$. Let $n_1 = m, n_2 = m + 1, \dots$. Then $A_i \subset V_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(A_{i-1})$ and $A_{i-1} \subset V_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}(A_i) \forall k \in N$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Hence $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε_{n_k} -chainable for each $k \in N$.

Conversely, let $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε_{n_k} -chainable for each $k \in N$, where $\langle \varepsilon_{n_k} \rangle$ is a subsequence of $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ converging to ε and $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ is monotonically increasing. Now $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow |\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon| < \delta$. Without loss of generality we may assume $|\varepsilon_{n_k} - \varepsilon| < \delta$ for $k \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{n_k} - \delta < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{n_k} + \delta \forall k \geq n_0$ or $\varepsilon_{n_0} - \delta < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{n_0} + \delta \dots$ (1). Let $\varepsilon_{n_m} < \varepsilon_{n_0} - \delta$. If no such n_m exists then in (1) we may take instead of n_0 some n_k for much larger k so that such a n_m exists. Now $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε_{n_m} -chainable and hence ε -chainable.

Theorem 3 — *Let $A, B \subset X$ and $\langle A, B \rangle$ be chainable then $\langle \bar{A}, \bar{B} \rangle$ is chainable.*

PROOF : Let $\langle A, B \rangle$ be chainable and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $2\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Now $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε' -chainable $\Rightarrow \exists$ a sequence $A = A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n = B$ of subsets of X such that $A_{i-1} \subset V_{\varepsilon'}(A_i)$ and $A_i \subset V_{\varepsilon'}(A_{i-1})$. This implies $\overline{A_{i-1}} \subset \overline{V_{\varepsilon'}(A_i)} \subset V_{2\varepsilon'}(\overline{A_i}) \subset V_{\varepsilon}(\overline{A_i})$ and $\overline{A_i} \subset \overline{V_{\varepsilon'}(A_{i-1})} \subset V_{2\varepsilon'}(\overline{A_{i-1}}) \subset V_{\varepsilon}(\overline{A_{i-1}}) \Rightarrow \langle \overline{A}, \overline{B} \rangle$ is chainable.

Proposition — Let $A, B \subset X$ and $\varepsilon \gg \max \{d(A), d(B), d(A, B)\}$, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\langle A, B \rangle) = 2$.

PROOF : Let $x \in A$. Now $d(A, B) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \exists a \in A, b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) < \varepsilon$. Also $d(A) < \varepsilon \Rightarrow d(x, a) < \varepsilon$. Thus $d(x, b) \leq d(x, a) + d(a, b) < 2\varepsilon \Rightarrow x$ is chainable to b . Similarly if $y \in B$, then y is chainable to a . Thus, $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable and $\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\langle A, B \rangle) = 2$.

Theorem 4 — Let $A, B \subset X$. If $(A \cup B)$ is connected and $\varepsilon > \max \{d(A), d(B)\}$ then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable.

PROOF : $A \cup B$ is connected $\Rightarrow A \cap \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$ or $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $A \cap \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists an $a \in A$ and $a \in \overline{B}$. Now $a \in \overline{B} \Rightarrow a \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} V_{\varepsilon}(B) \Rightarrow$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $b_1 \in B$ with $d(a, b_1) < \varepsilon$. Let $x \in A, y \in B$ then as $\varepsilon > \max \{d(A), d(B)\}$ and $x, a \in A \Rightarrow d(x, a) < \varepsilon$, hence x is ε -chainable to some point b_1 in B . Again y of B is ε -chainable to $a \in A$. Hence by Theorem 1, $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable. A similar result is obtained by taking $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

The following example shows that the converse part of the above theorem is not true, for if (R, d) is the usual metric space, $A = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots \right\}$ and $B = \{1\}$ subsets of R , then $\overline{A} = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\}$ and $\overline{B} = B$. Also, $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset \Rightarrow A \cup B$ is not connected. But $A \subset V_{\varepsilon}(B)$ and $B \subset V_{\varepsilon}(A) \Rightarrow \langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable where $\varepsilon = 1$.

Theorem 5 — X is ε -chainable if and only if $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ε -chainable for every pair of subsets A, B of X .

PROOF : Obvious

Theorem 6 — Let A and B be totally bounded subsets of X and further let $\langle A, B \rangle$ be chainable, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is uniformly chainable. If $A \cup B$ is uniformly locally compact then X is complete.

PROOF : For the above theorem refer to Lemma given in¹.

Theorem 7 — Let $A, B \subset X$. Then $\bar{A} = \bar{B}$ if and only if $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable and $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = 1$.

PROOF : $\bar{A} = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} V_\epsilon(A), \bar{B} = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} V_\epsilon(B)$. Then $B \subset \bar{B} = \bar{A} \subset V_\epsilon(A)$ and $A \subset \bar{A} = \bar{B} \subset V_\epsilon(B)$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, that is $\langle A, B \rangle$ is chainable and $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = 1$. For converse $A \subset V_\epsilon(B)$ and $B \subset V_\epsilon(A)$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ implies $A \subset \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} V_\epsilon(B) = \bar{B}$ and $B \subset \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} V_\epsilon(A) = \bar{A}$, that is $\bar{A} = \bar{B}$.

Theorem 8 — If $V_\epsilon^n(A) \subset B \subseteq V_\epsilon^{n+1}(A)$, then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is ϵ -chainable and $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = n + 1$.

PROOF : The sets $\{A, V_\epsilon(A), V_\epsilon^2(A), \dots, V_\epsilon^n(A), B\}$ form an ϵ -chain of length $n + 1$. Now, to prove $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = n + 1$. Let $A = A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m = B$ be some other ϵ -chain between A and B . Then $A \subset V_\epsilon(A_1)$ and $A_1 \subset V_\epsilon(A)$. Also $A_2 \subset V_\epsilon(A_1) \subset V_\epsilon^2(A_1)$. Continuing the above process $A_{m-1} \subset V_\epsilon^{m-1}(A_1)$. If possible, let $m \leq n$. (i) Say $m < n$. Then $B = A_m \subset V_\epsilon(A_{m-1}) \subset V_\epsilon^m(A) \subset V_\epsilon^n(A)$ which is a contradiction. (ii) say $m = n$, then $B = A_m \subset V_\epsilon^m(A) = V_\epsilon^n(A)$ which is again a contradiction, hence $m > n$, that is $\Phi_\epsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = n + 1$.

Theorem 9 — Let A be any subset of X which is not necessarily open but contained in a finite number of proper open subsets (say n) of X . Then A is uniformly chainable to the largest open set containing A .

PROOF : We have $A \subset \bar{A} \subset V_\epsilon(A)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Then by theorem 10.6, page 141 [4] there exists an open set A_1 such that $A \subset \bar{A} \subset A_1 \subset \bar{A}_1 \subset V_\epsilon(A)$, thus we get $A \subset V_\epsilon(A_1)$ and $A_1 \subset V_\epsilon(A)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Again $A_1 \subset \bar{A}_1 \subset V_\epsilon(A_1)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ implies $A_2 \subset V_\epsilon(A_1)$ and $A_1 \subset V_\epsilon(A_2)$, for some open set A_2 . Continuing the above process at most n times we have A is chainable to the largest open set A_n containing A .

Let A, B_1, \dots, B_m, A_n be some other chain between A and A_n . Then $A \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset B_m \subset A_n$. Hence $A \subset V_\epsilon(B_1) \subset V_\epsilon(B_2) \subset \dots \subset V_\epsilon(B_m) \subset A_n$. Each $V_\epsilon(B_m)$ being open $m \leq (n - 1)$. So any chain between A and A_n is of length at most n . Thus $\langle A, A_n \rangle$ is uniformly chainable.

Theorem 10 — *Let X be ε -chainable. Define a relation \sim on X as follows :*

$\langle A, B \rangle \sim \langle C, D \rangle$ iff $\Phi_\varepsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) = \Phi_\varepsilon(\langle C, D \rangle)$. Then \sim is an equivalence relation on X , which partitions X into disjoint equivalence classes denoted by $\overline{\langle A, B \rangle}, \overline{\langle C, D \rangle}$. Define a metric d on the quotient set X / \sim as follows : $d : X / \sim \times X / \sim \rightarrow R$ by $d(\overline{\langle A, B \rangle}, \overline{\langle C, D \rangle}) = |\Phi_\varepsilon(\langle A, B \rangle) - \Phi_\varepsilon(\langle C, D \rangle)|$. Then d is a metric on the quotient set X / \sim .

PROOF : Obvious.

REFERENCES

1. B. Gerald, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83**, (1981).
2. G. Simmons, *Introduction to topology and modern analysis*, Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1963.
3. Kelly, John *General topology*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1969.
4. Lipschutz, Seymour, *Schaum's outline of theory and problems of General Topology*, 1965.
5. M. H. A. Newmann, *Elements of topology of plane sets of points*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1961.