

GENERALIZED LOCAL SEPARATION PROPERTIES

MEHMET BARAN

*Erciyes University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences
Department of Mathematics, 38039, Kayseri, Turkey*

*(Received 11 February 1993; after revision 1 December 1993;
accepted 14 December 1993)*

Earlier various generalizations of the usual separation properties of topology and separation properties at a point p are defined for an arbitrary topological category over sets¹. In this paper, under certain conditions a generalized separation property implies that property at p and that a separation property at p implies another separation property at p .

INTRODUCTION

Various generalizations of the usual separation axioms of topology and separation properties at p are given in Baran¹. These generalizations are given at a point, p , i.e., locally, then they are generalized to point free definitions by using the generic element⁶ method of topos theory. These generalizations include two notions of each of T_0 at p and T_2 at p , one notion of T_1 at p , and four notions of each of T_3 at p and T_4 at p . Each of these notions is studied in Baran¹ for the case of topological spaces. One of the other uses of local separation properties is to define the notion of closed subsets of an object of a topological category (see Baran⁴).

The main object of this paper is to investigate the relationships among various forms of generalized separation properties at p .

Let E be a category and Set be the category of sets. Let $U: E \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be a topological functor⁵. Each such topological functor has a left adjoint $D: \text{Set} \rightarrow E$ called the discrete functor, where $D(B)$ is the discrete object of $U^{-1}(B)$, the fiber over B , and is characterized as the minimum element⁷, of the fibers of U . Recall⁷ that an object B in E is discrete if and only if every map $U(B) \rightarrow U(C)$ lifts to a morphism $B \rightarrow C$ for each object C in E . A topological functor U is said to be normalized if there is only one structure on the empty set and on a point.

Let B be a set and $B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2$ be the wedge product of B^2 (i.e. two distinct copies of B^2 identified along the diagonal, Δ). A point (x, y) in $B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2$ will be denoted by $(x, y)_1, ((x, y)_2)$ if (x, y) is in the first (resp. second) component of $B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2$.

Clearly, $(x, y)_1 = (x, y)_2$ iff $x = y$. Recall, Baran¹ p.337, that the principal axis map, $A : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow B^3$ is defined by $A(x, y)_1 = (x, y, x)$ and $A(x, y)_2 = (x, x, y)$. The skewed axis map, $S : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow B^3$ is given by $S(x, y)_1 = (x, y, y)$ and $S(x, y)_2 = (x, x, y)$, and the fold map, $\nabla : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow B^2$ is given by $\nabla(x, y)_i = (x, y)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let B be a set, $p \in B$, and $B \vee_p B$ be the wedge product of B . A point x in $B \vee_p B$ will be denoted by $x_1, (x_2)$ if x is in the first (resp. second) component of $B \vee_p B$. Recall, Baran¹ p.334, that the principal p axis map $A_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow B^2$ is given by $A_p(x_1) = (x_1, p)$ and $A_p(x_2) = (p, x_2)$. The skewed p axis map $S_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow B^2$ is given by $S_p(x_1) = (x_1, x_1)$ and $S_p(x_2) = (p, x_2)$, and the fold map at p , $\nabla_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow B$ is given by $\nabla_p(x_i) = x$ for $i = 1, 2$. Note that $\pi_1 A_p = p_1 = \pi_1 S_p$, $\pi_2 A_p = p_2$, and $\pi_2 S_p = \nabla_p$.

Let $U : E \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be a topological functor, X an object in E , p a point in $U(X) = B$ and $D : \text{Set} \rightarrow E$ be the discrete functor.

Definitions 1.1 — (See Baran¹, pp.334 and 338) — (1) X is \bar{T}_0 iff the initial lift of the U -source $\{A : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow U(X^3) = B^3$ and $\nabla : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow UD(B^2) = B^2\}$ is discrete.

(2) X is T_1 iff the initial lift of the U -source $\{S : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow U(X^3) = B^3$ and $\nabla : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow UD(B^2) = B^2\}$ is discrete.

(3) X is $\text{Pre}T_2$ iff the initial lift of the U -sources $A : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow U(X^3) = B^3$ and $S : B^2 \vee_{\Delta} B^2 \rightarrow U(X^3) = B^3$ agree.

(4) X is \bar{T}_2 iff X is \bar{T}_0 and $\text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$.

(5) X is \bar{T}_0 at p iff the initial lift of the U -source $\{A_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2) = B^2$ and $\nabla_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow UD(B) = B\}$ is discrete.

(6) X is T_1 at p iff the initial lift of the U -source $\{S_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2) = B^2$ and $\nabla_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow UD(B) = B\}$ is discrete.

(7) X is T_0' at p iff the initial lift of the U -source $\{id : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(B \vee_p B) = B \vee_p B$ and $\nabla_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow UD(B) = B\}$ is discrete, where $(B \vee_p B)'$ is the wedge in E , i.e., the final lift of the U -sink $\{i_1, i_2 : U(X) = B \rightarrow B \vee_p B\}$ where i_1, i_2 denote the canonical injections.

(8) X is $\text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$ at p iff the initial list of the U -sources $A_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2) = B^2$ and $S_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2) = B^2$ agree.

(9) X is $\text{Pre}T_2'$ at p iff the initial lift of the U -sources $S_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2) = B^2$ and the final lift of the U -sink $\{i_1, i_2 : U(X) \rightarrow B \vee_p B\}$ agree.

(10) X is \bar{T}_2 at p iff X is \bar{T}_0 at p and $\text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$ at p .

(11) X is T_2' at p iff X is T_0' at p and $\text{Pre}T_2'$ at p .

Lemma 1.2 — If $gh : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ is a final (initial) lift, then so is g (resp. h).

PROOF : It follows easily.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will investigate relationships among various generalized separation properties defined in Definition 1.1. Let 1 denote the one point set.

Lemma 2.1 — Each retract of a discrete object in a topological category $U : E \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is discrete.

PROOF : Suppose $h : Y \rightarrow DA$ is retract, i.e., there exists a map $r : DA \rightarrow Y$ such that $rh = id$, where DA is A equipped with a discrete structure. Let Z be any object in E and $g : UY \rightarrow UZ$ be any function. Since DA is discrete and $gUr : UDA \rightarrow UZ$, there exists a morphism $m : DA \rightarrow Z$ such that $Um = gUr$. If $k = mh$, then clearly $Uk = g$ and consequently Y is discrete.

Definition 2.2 — $p \in UX$ is a retract of X if the initial lift $h : \bar{1} \rightarrow X$ of the U -source $p : 1 \rightarrow UX$ is a retract.

Lemma 2.3 — Let $\bar{f}_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ and $\bar{g}_i : Y \rightarrow Y_i$ be the initial lifts of the U -sources $f_i : B \rightarrow UX_i$ and $g_i : C \rightarrow UY_i$, respectively.

(1) If $h_i : UX_i \rightarrow UY_i$ and $k : B \rightarrow C$ satisfy $h_i f_i = g_i k$, then k lifts to $X \rightarrow Y$ if each h_i lifts to $X_i \rightarrow Y_i$.

(2) If, further, $h : C \rightarrow B$ and $k_i : UY_i \rightarrow UX_i$ satisfy $k_i g_i = f_i h$, k_i lifts to $Y_i \rightarrow X_i$, and $hk = id$, then k lifts to a retract $X \rightarrow Y$.

PROOF : (1) Since $g_i k = h_i f_i$ lifts to $X \rightarrow Y_i$, and $\{\bar{g}_i\}$ is an initial lift, k lift to a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

(2) By (1) h lifts to $Y \rightarrow X$. Note that $U(\bar{h} \bar{k}) = id$ and since U is topological, $\bar{h} \bar{k} = id$, i.e., k is a retract.

Lemma 2.4 — Let $\bar{f}_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ be the final lift of the U -sink $f_i : UX_i \rightarrow B$ and $\bar{g}_j : Y \rightarrow Y_j$ be the initial lift of the U -source $g_j : B \rightarrow UY_j$. All the maps $g_j f_i$ lift to the map $X_i \rightarrow Y_j$ iff there exists a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $U(f) = id$.

PROOF : Let h_{ij} be the lifts of $g_j f_i$, i.e., $U(h_{ij}) = g_j f_i$. Since \bar{g}_j is initial, there exists a map $k_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ such that $U(k_i) = f_i$ and consequently $f : X \rightarrow Y$ exists (since $\bar{f}_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ is the final lift). Conversely, if there exists $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $U(f) = id$, the identity map $B \rightarrow B$, then let $h_{ij} = \bar{g}_j f f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_j$. Clearly, $U(h_{ij}) = g_j f_i$ i.e. $g_j f_i$ lifts.

Lemma 2.5 — The constant map $f : UX \rightarrow UX$ at p lifts to $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow X$ iff p is a retract of X .

PROOF : Suppose $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow X$ is a lift of $f : UX \rightarrow UX$, the constant map at p . Since $\bar{p} : 1 \rightarrow X$ is an initial lift, there exists a map $r : X \rightarrow 1$ such that $Ur : UX \rightarrow 1$. Clearly, $r\bar{p} = id$, i.e., p is a retract of X . Conversely, if p is a retract of X , then there exists $r : X \rightarrow 1$. Let $(\bar{f} : X \rightarrow X) = (pr : X \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow X)$. Clearly, $U(\bar{f}) = f$, the constant map at p .

Theorem 2.6 — Suppose $U : E \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ is topological. Let X be an object in E and let p be a retract of X . Then

- (1) X is \bar{T}_0 at p if X is \bar{T}_0 .
- (2) X is T_1 at p if X is T_1 .
- (3) X is $\text{pre}\bar{T}_2$ at p if X is $\text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$.
- (4) X is \bar{T}_2 at p if X is \bar{T}_2 .

PROOF : (1) In Lemma 2.3, take $\bar{f}_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ to be the initial lift of the U -source $\{A_p: B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2), \nabla_p: B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(DB)\}$, $\bar{g}_i: Y \rightarrow Y_i$ as the initial lift of the U -source $\{A: B^2 \vee_p B^2 \rightarrow U(X^2), \nabla: B^2 \vee_p B^2 \rightarrow U(DB)\}$, $h_i: U(X_i) \rightarrow U(Y_i)$ as $\{h_1: B^2 \rightarrow B^3, h_2: B \rightarrow B^2, \text{ where } h_1(a, b) = (p, a, b) \text{ and } h_2(a) = (p, a)\}$, $k_i: U(Y_i) \rightarrow U(X_i)$ as $\{\pi_{23}: B^3 \rightarrow B^2, \pi_2: B^2 \rightarrow B \text{ where } \pi_{23}(a, b, c) = (b, c) \text{ for any } a, b, c \text{ in } B\}$, $h = \pi_2 + \pi_2: B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2 \rightarrow B \vee_p B$, and $k: B \vee_p B \rightarrow B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2$ given by $k(x) = (p, x)$ for any x in $B \vee_p B$. Clearly, $h_i f_i = g_i k$. Note that h_2 lifts to $\bar{h}_2: DB \rightarrow DB^2$ since DB is discrete, h_1 lifts to $\bar{h}_1: X^2 \rightarrow X^3$ since p is a retract (2.5), and consequently, by Lemma (2.3) (1), there exists a lift k of k . Since X is \bar{T}_0 , Y is discrete, i.e., $Y = D(B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2)$ and consequently h lifts to h' (see introduction). Since $hk = id$ and U is topological (faithful), $h'k = id$, i.e., $k: B \vee_p B \rightarrow D(B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2)$ is a retract. By Lemma 2.1, $B \vee_p B$ is discrete. Hence, this shows (1). The proof of (2) similar to the proof of the first part by replacing S_p and S in place of A_p and A , respectively.

(3) Let $\bar{f}_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ be the initial lift of either $\{A_p: B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2)\}$ or $\{S_p: B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X^2)\}$, $\bar{g}_i: Y \rightarrow Y_i$ be the initial lift of either $\{A: B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2 \rightarrow U(X^3)\}$ or $\{S: B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2 \rightarrow U(X^3)\}$, and $h_1: B^2 \rightarrow B^3, k_1 = \pi_{23}: B^3 \rightarrow B^2, h = \pi_2 + \pi_2: B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2 \rightarrow B \vee_p B$, and $k: B \vee_p B \rightarrow B^2 \vee_\Delta B^2$ be defined as above. We apply 2.3 (1) twice. Clearly, $h_1 A_p = A k$ and h_1 lifts to $\bar{h}_1: X^2 \rightarrow X^3$ since p is a retract Lemma 2.5. Hence, k lifts to $k': \overline{B \vee_p B} \rightarrow \overline{B^2 \vee_p B^2}$. Similarly, $h_1 S_p = S k$ and h_1 lifts to $X^2 \rightarrow X^3$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3(1), k lifts to $k'': \overline{B \vee_p B} \rightarrow \overline{B^2 \vee_p B^2}$. Note that \bar{h}_i is actually the initial lift of h_i . Since $\bar{h}_i \bar{A}_p = \bar{A} k'$ is the initial lift (since the composition of the initial lifts is initial) and $\bar{h}_i \bar{A}_p = \bar{A} k'$, it follows from Lemma 1.2 that k' is initial. Similarly, k'' is the initial lift of k . Since the initial lifts are unique, it follows that $k': k''$, i.e. $\overline{B \vee_p B} \rightarrow \overline{B \vee_p B}$. Hence, X is $\text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$ at p .

Part (4) follows from Definition 1.1 and parts (1) and (3).

Corollary 2.7 — If $U: E \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is normalized, then $\bar{T}_0, T_1, \text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$, and \bar{T}_2 imply \bar{T}_0 at p, T_1 at $p, \text{Pre}\bar{T}_2$ at p , and \bar{T}_2 at p , respectively.

PROOF : Since U is normalized, p is always a retract of X (since $\bar{1}$ is the terminal). Hence, the results follows from 2.6.

Theorem 2.8 — Suppose that $i_1, i_2: X \rightarrow (B \vee_p B)'$ is the final lift of the U -sink $\{i_1, i_2: U(X) \rightarrow B \vee_p B\}$ and $p_1, p_2: B \vee_p B \rightarrow X, p_1, \nabla_p: B \vee_p B \rightarrow X$ are the initial lifts of the U -sources $\{p_1, p_2: B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X)\}$ and $\{p_1, \nabla_p: B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X)\}$, respectively, where p_1, p_2 and ∇_p are defined in 1.1. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) There exists a map $f: (B \vee_p B)' \rightarrow \overline{B \vee_p B}$ such that $U(f) = id$.

(2) p is a retract of X .

(3) There exists a map $g : (B \vee_p B)' \rightarrow B \vee_p \bar{B}$ such that $U(g) = id$.

PROOF : To show that (1) iff (2), in 2.4, let $\bar{f}_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ be the final lift of the U -sink $\{i_1, i_2 : U(X) \rightarrow B \vee_p B\}$ and $\bar{g}_j : Y \rightarrow Y_j$ be the initial lift of the U -source $\{p_1, p_2 : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X)\}$. Note that $p_1 i_1 \cdot id = p_2 i_2$ and $p_1 i_2 = p = p_2 i_1$. Since id always lifts, \bar{p} lifts, by 2.5, i.e. p is retract, iff there exists a map $f : (B \vee_p B)' \rightarrow \bar{B \vee_p B}$ such that $Uf = id$ by Lemma 2.4. i.e. (1) iff (2). For (2) iff (3), in Lemma 2.4, let $\bar{g}_j : Y \rightarrow Y_j$ be the initial lift of the U -source $\{p_1, \nabla_p : B \vee_p B \rightarrow U(X)\}$. Note $p_1 i_1 = id = \nabla_p i_1$, $\nabla_p i_2 = id$, and $p_1 i_2 = p$, i.e. the constant map at p . Since $id : X \rightarrow X$ is always lifts, \bar{p} lifts by Lemma 2.4, i.e. p is a retract Lemma 2.5 iff there exists a map $g : (B \vee_p B)' \rightarrow \bar{B \vee_p B}$ such that $U(g) = id$.

Corollary 2.9 — If $U : E \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is normalized, then there are always morphisms $g : (B \vee_p B)' \rightarrow \bar{B \vee_p B}$ and $f : (B \vee_p B)' \rightarrow \bar{B \vee_p B}$ such that $U(f) = id = U(g)$ since p is always a retract of X .

Theorem 2.10 — (1) If p is a retract, then each of \bar{T}_0 at p , T_1 at p , and \bar{T}_2 at p implies T_0' at p .

(2) Each of \bar{T}_2 at p and T_2' at p implies T_1 at p .

PROOF : It follows easily from 1.1, 2.1, and 2.8.

Corollary 2.11 — If $U : E \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is normalized, then each of \bar{T}_0 at p , T_1 at p , and \bar{T}_2 implies T_0' at p .

PROOF : Since U is normalized, p is always a retract of X and the results follow from Theorem 2.10.

Remark 2.12 : (1) The retract condition of Theorem 2.6 is sufficient for parts (1) and (2) but is not necessary. As the category CP of pair spaces (see Baran⁴ shows; every object of CP is, by Baran⁴, both \bar{T}_0 and T_0 at p , and T_1 and T_1 at p . However, if p is not in B , then the initial lift $1 = (1, \Phi) \rightarrow X = (A, B)$ is clearly not a retract.

(2) Since the category $RRel$ of reflexive relation spaces (see Baran²) is normalized, it follows that p is retract. In $RRel$, T_0' at p does not imply each of \bar{T}_0 at p , T_1 at p , and \bar{T}_2 at p (see Baran²) and consequently the converse of Theorem 2.10(1) does not hold.

(3) Neither T_1 at p nor T_0' at p implies \bar{T}_2 at p and T_2' at p , in general. This happens, for example, in the category $PBorn$ of prebornological spaces (see Baran³). Hence, the converse of Theorem 2.10(2) does not hold, in general. Moreover, in $PBorn$ \bar{T}_2 at p does not imply T_2' at p (Baran³), and both could be equal as can be seen in the category $Prord$ of preordered spaces (see Baran²).

(4) It is possible to have all of the separation properties defined in Definition 1.1 to be equivalent. This happens, for example, in the category $Born$ of bornological spaces (see Baran³).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank the referee for his useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. M. Baran, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.* **23** (1992), 333-41.
2. M. Baran, *Math. Balkanica* **6** (1992), 193-98.
3. M. Baran, *Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara Ser. A₁ : Mathematiques* (To appear).
4. M. Baran, The Notion of Closedness in Topological Categories, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **34**(2) (1993), 383-95.
5. H. Herrlich, *Gen. Top. Appl.* **4** (1974), 125-42.
6. P. T. Johnstone, *Topos Theory*, L. M. S. Math. Monography No. 10, Academic Press, 1977, p.39.
7. M. V. Mielke, *Geometric Topological Completions with Universal Final Lifts*, *Top. & Appl.* **9** (1985), 277-93.