

MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS BETWEEN CESARO SEQUENCE SPACES

F. M. KHAN AND MUSHIR A. KHAN

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202 002

(Received 13 May 1993; after revision 26 October 1993;
accepted 14 December 1993)

The main purpose of this paper is to characterize the matrices in the classes $(Ces(p, s), cs)$ and $(Ces(p, s), bs)$, where cs is the space of convergent series and bs is the space of bounded series.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X and Y be any two non-empty subsets of the space of all sequences of complex numbers and let $A = (a_{nk}), (n, k = 1, 2, \dots)$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. We write $Ax = (A_n(x))$ if $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ converges for each n . If $x = (x_k) \in X \Rightarrow Ax = (A_n(x)) \in Y$, we say that A defines a matrix transformation from X into Y and we denote it by $A : X \rightarrow Y$. By (X, Y) we mean the class of matrices A such that $A : X \rightarrow Y$.

The main purpose of this paper is to characterize the matrices in the classes $(Ces(p, s), cs)$, $(Ces(p, s), bs)$ and for $p = (p_r)$ with $\inf p_r > 0$ we define (see Khan and Khan¹) for $s \geq 0$

$$Ces(p, s) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2^r)^{-s} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^{p_r} < \infty \right\}$$

where Σ denotes a sum over the ranges $2^r \leq k < 2^{r+1}$.

These spaces i.e. $Ces(p, s)$ can be viewed as $Ces(p)$ spaces with weights, generalizing $Ces(p)$ spaces. Obviously, the space $Ces(p)$, which has been investigated by Lim² is a special case of $Ces(p, s)$ with $s = 0$.

Space $Ces(p, s)$ is paranormed by

$$g(x) = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2^r)^{-s} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k|^{P_r} \right)^{1/M} \right)$$

if $H = \sup_r p_r < \infty$ and $M = \max(1, H)$ (see Khan and Khan¹).

Now we define (see Stieglitz and Tietz⁴)

$$cs = \left\{ x : \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \in c \right\}$$

$$c_0(s) = \left\{ x : \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \in c_0 \right\}$$

$$bs = \left\{ x : \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \in l_{\infty} \right\}.$$

We state the following inequality (see Maddox³) which will be used later. For any $C > 0$ and any two complex numbers a, b ,

$$|ab| \leq C (|a|^q C^{-q} + |b|^p) \quad \dots (1)$$

where $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

2. MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS ON $Ces(p, s)$

The following notations are used throughout for all integers $n \geq 1$, we write

$$t_n(Ax) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k$$

where

$$b_{nk} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ik}.$$

We now prove :

Theorem 1 — Let $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$. Then $A \in (Ces(p, s), cs)$ iff (i) there exists an integer $E > 1$ such that

$$T = \sup_n (U_n) < \infty$$

where
$$U_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\max_r |b_{nk}| 2^r \right)^{q_r} (2^r)^{s(q_r-1)} E^{-q_r}$$

and
$$\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{nk} = \alpha_k$ for all k .

PROOF : *Necessity* — Suppose $A \in (\text{Ces}(p, s), cs)$. Now $t_n(Ax)$ exists for each n and $x \in \text{Ces}(p, s)$. If we put $\sigma_n(x) = t_n(Ax)$, then $\{\sigma_n\}_n$ is a sequence of continuous real functions on $\text{Ces}(p, s)$ and further $\sup_n |t_n(Ax)| < \infty$ on $\text{Ces}(p, s)$. Now arguing with uniform boundedness principle (see Khan and Khan¹, Th.3) we have condition (i).

The condition (ii) is obtained by taking $x = e_k \in (\text{Ces}(p, s))$ where $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, where 1 appears at k 'th place.

Sufficiency — Suppose condition (i) and (ii) hold. Then the conditions imply that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 2^r \max_r |\alpha_k| \right\}^{q_r} (2^r)^{s(q_r-1)} E^{-q_r} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U_n) \leq \sup_n (U_n) < \infty. \dots (2)$$

Thus the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$ converges for each n and $x \in \text{Ces}(p, s)$ from (2).

Put $t_{nk} = b_{nk} - \alpha_k$.

Then
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{nk} x_k.$$

By (ii) for $k_0 \in Z^+$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{k_0 \\ k \leq 2}} t_{nk} x_k = 0.$$

Moreover, for each $x \in \text{Ces}(p, s)$, there exists $k_0 \in Z^+$ such that

$$g_{k_0}(x) = \sum_{r=k_0}^{\infty} (2^r)^{-s} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^{p_r} < 1.$$

If $g_{k_0}(x) \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} |t_{nk}| |x_k| / (g_{k_0}(x))^{1/M} &= \sum_{r=k_0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_r |t_{nk}| |x_k| \right) / (g_{k_0}(x))^{1/M} \\ &\leq E(V_n + 1) \quad (\text{from (1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where
$$V_n = \sum_{r=k_0}^{\infty} (2^r B_r(n))^q E^{-q} (2^r)^{s(q-1)}$$

and
$$B_r(n) = \max_r |t_{nk}|.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |t_{nk}| |x_k| \leq E(V_n + 1) (g_{k_0}(x))^{1/M}.$$

Since

$$V_n \leq \sup_n (V_n) \leq 2T \quad (\text{from (2)}).$$

Therefore
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |t_{nk}| |x_k| = 0.$$

Hence
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$$

and this completes the proof.

Corollary — Let $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$. Then $A \in (\text{Ces}(p, s), (c_0) s)$ iff

(i) the condition (i) of above theorem holds.

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{nk} = 0$.

Theorem 2 — Let $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$. Then $A \in (\text{Ces}(p, s), bs)$ iff there exists an integer $E > 1$ such that $T < \infty$, where T is defined as in Theorem 1.

PROOF : Necessity follows by using similar argument as in Theorem 1. For sufficiency, suppose that the condition holds and that $x \in \text{Ces}(p, s)$, then by inequality (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |t_n(Ax)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_{nk} x_k| = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_r |b_{nk} x_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left[2^r \max_r |b_{nk}| (2^r)^{s/p_r} \cdot \frac{1}{2^r} (2^r)^{-s/p_r} \sum_r |x_k| \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E \left[\left\{ 2^r \max_r |b_{nk}| \right\}^{q_r} (2^r)^{s(q_r-1)} E^{-q_r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\{ (2^r)^{-s} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^{p_r} \right\} \\
 = E & \left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(2^r \max_r |b_{nk}| \right)^q (2^r)^{s(q-1)} E^{-q} \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2^r)^{-s} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^{p_r} \right] < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $A \in (\text{Ces}(p, s), bs)$ and this completes the proof. Finally we are thankful to the referee for his valuable remarks.

REFERENCES

1. F. M. Khan and M. A. Khan, *Research ser. mat.* 21 (1991), 95-104.
2. K. P. Lim, *Tamkang J. Math.* 8 (1977), 213-20.
3. J. J. Maddox, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 65 (1969), 431-35.
4. M. Stieglitz and H. Tietz, *Math. Z.* 154 (1977), 1-16.