

## ON THE ORDER OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY GENERALIZED BASKAKOV OPERATORS

ABDUL WAFI AND SALMA KHATOON

*Department of Mathematics, Jamia Millia Islamia, Jamia Nagar, New Delhi 110 025*

*(Received 8 February 2002; after final revision 7 July 2003; accepted 18 November 2003)*

The object of this paper is to establish the order of approximation using modulus of continuity of  $f'$  and asymptotic behaviour of  $f$  over  $[0, +\infty)$  for linear positive operator  $B_n^a(f; x)$  introduced by Mihešan. Also a direct estimate is proved using Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness.

**Key Words:** Approximation by Linear Positive Operators; Pointwise Estimate; Voronovskaja Theorem; Ditzian-Totik Modulus of Smoothness

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1998 Vasile Mihešan<sup>4</sup> introduced a sequence of Linear Positive Operators on  $[0, +\infty)$  defined as:

$$B_n^a(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) \cdot f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad a \geq 0, x \geq 0,$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad \dots (1.1)$$

where,

$$p_{n,k}(x, a) = e^{\frac{-ax}{1+x}} \cdot \frac{p_k(x, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}}, \quad \dots (1.2)$$

such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) = 1, \quad \dots (1.3)$$

and

$$p_k(n, a) = \sum_{i=0}^k {}^k C_i(n)_i a^{k-i} \quad \dots (1.4)$$

$$\text{with } (n)_0 = 1; \quad (n)_i = n(n+1)(n+2) \dots (n+i-1), \quad \text{for } i \geq 1. \quad (1.5)$$

For  $a = 0$ ,  $B_n^a(f; x)$  reduces to Baskakov operator<sup>1</sup>. Mihešan proved the following lemma and theorems in his paper<sup>4</sup>.

*Lemma 1.1* — For  $x \geq 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have,

$$B_n^a(1; x) = 1; \quad B_n^a(t; x) = x + \frac{ax}{n(1+x)}, \quad \dots (1.6)$$

$$B_n^a((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x}. \quad \dots (1.7)$$

He also obtained the following two estimations in order to prove Lemma (1.1):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{k+1}(n, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} = e^{\frac{ax}{(1+x)}} [a + n(1+x)] \quad \dots (1.8)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{k+2}(n, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} = e^{\frac{ax}{(1+x)}} [n(1+x)^2 + \{a + n(1+x)\}^2]. \quad \dots (1.9)$$

The following theorem proved by Mihesan<sup>4</sup> yields uniform convergence for functions with exponential growth on the positive x-axis.

**Theorem 1.1** — If  $f \in C[0, +\infty)$ ,  $|f(x)| \leq e^{Ax}$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , for some finite number  $A$ , then for  $a \geq 0$ ,  $a/n \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^a(f; x) = f(x) \quad \dots (1.10)$$

holds uniformly on  $[0, b]$  for each  $b > 0$ .

Popoviciu<sup>5</sup> proved the following theorem which shows the manner in which the Bernstein operator  $B_n(f; x)$  tends to  $f(x)$ :

**Theorem 1.2** — If  $f(x)$  is continuous and  $\omega(\delta)$  the modulus of continuity of  $f(x)$ , then,

$$\left| f(x) - B_n(f; x) \right| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

Let  $E$  be the set of all functions  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow R$  which are continuous and differentiable on  $[a, b]$ ,  $b > 0$  s.t.  $|f(x)| \leq e^{Ax}$  for some finite number  $A$ .

Mihesan<sup>4</sup> established the order of approximation in terms of modulus of continuity for  $f \in E$ :

**Theorem 1.3** — Let  $a \geq 0$ ,  $a/n \rightarrow 0$  and  $B_n^a$  defined as in (1.1), then for every  $f \in E$ ,  $x \in [0, b]$  the following estimation

$$\left| f(x) - B_n^a(f; x) \right| \leq \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{x(1+x) + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x}} \right\} \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \quad \dots (1.11)$$

holds.

Mihesan<sup>4</sup> also proved that for  $a, x \geq 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) |x - t| \leq \sqrt{B_n^a((t-x)^2; x)}. \quad \dots (1.12)$$

### 2. ESTIMATIONS AND LEMMAS

To investigate some of the characteristics of the operator (1.1), we can easily find out from<sup>4</sup> the following identities for  $x \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots, a \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) = \frac{ax}{1+x} + nx, \quad \dots (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k-1) p_{n,k}(x, a) = \left[ \frac{a^2 + n(1+n)(1+x)^2}{(1+x)^2} \right] x^2 + \frac{2anx^2}{1+x}. \quad \dots (2.2)$$

Also we will prove following lemma for later use.

*Lemma 2.1* : For  $a, x \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , if  $a = a/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have,

$$B_n^a((t-x); x) = \frac{ax}{n(1+x)} \leq 0 \quad \dots (2.3)$$

and

$$B_n^a(t^2; x) = \frac{x^2}{n} + \frac{x}{n} + x^2 + \frac{a^2 x^2}{n^2 (1+x)^2} + \frac{2ax^2}{n(1+x)} + \frac{ax}{n^2 (1+x)}. \quad \dots (2.4)$$

PROOF . Taking  $f(t) = t - x$  in (1.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^a((t-x); x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x) \\ &= e^{\frac{-ax}{1+x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(n, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} (t-x) \\ &= e^{\frac{-ax}{1+x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(n, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} t \\ &\quad - e^{\frac{-ax}{1+x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(n, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} x \\ &= B_n^a(t; x) - xB_n^a(1; x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.6), we get,

$$B_n^a((t-x); x) = x + \frac{ax}{n(1+x)} - x = \frac{ax}{n(1+x)},$$

which tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ .

Now, taking  $f(t) = t^2$  in (1.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^a(t^2; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) \cdot t^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) [k(k-1) + k], \\ B_n^a(t^2; x) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k-1) p_{n,k}(x, a) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n,k}(x, a) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{a^2 + n(1+n)(1+x)^2}{(1+x)^2} \right] x^2 + \frac{2anx^2}{1+x} + \frac{ax}{1+x} + nx \right\}, \\ &= \frac{x^2}{n} + \frac{x^2}{n} + \frac{a^2 x^2}{n^2 (1+x)^2} + \frac{2ax^2}{n(1+x)} + \frac{ax}{n^2 (1+x)}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. ORDER OF APPROXIMATION

Assuming  $f \in E$ , we shall obtain the difference  $\left| f(x) - B_n^a(f; x) \right|$  in terms of modulus of continuity of  $f'$  with  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , i.e.,  $\omega\left(f'; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \omega_1(f; \delta)$ , which is an improvement over the Theorem (1.2) of Mihasan<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 3.1** — Let  $a \geq 0, a/n \rightarrow 0$ . Then for every  $f \in E, x \in [0, b], b > 0$ , such that  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $\omega_1(\delta)$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f'(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq \sqrt{B_n^a((t-x)^2; x)} \\ &\left\{ 1 + \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{B_n^a((t-x)^2; x)} \right\} \omega_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.1)$$

where,

$$B_n^a((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x}.$$

PROOF : By Mean-Value Theorem of differential calculus, we have, for  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1) - f(x_2) &= (x_1 - x_2)f'(\xi) \\
 &= (x_1 - x_2)f'(x_1) + (x_1 - x_2)[f'(\xi) - f'(x_1)] \quad \dots (3.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1 < \xi < x_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| (x_1 - x_2)[f'(\xi) - f'(x_1)] \right| &\leq \left| x_1 - x_2 \right| (\lambda + 1) \omega_1(\delta), \\
 \lambda &= \lambda(x_1, x_2; \delta) \quad \dots (3.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from above relation, we obtain,

$$\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| = \left| e^{\frac{-ax}{1+x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_k(n, a)}{k!} \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|$$

and using eq. (1.2), we get,

$$= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right|$$

Since,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) f(x) \right| \\
 &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) \left[ f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right] \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $t = \frac{k}{n}$ , the above relation changes to

$$\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) [f(x) - f(t)] \right|$$

Applying (3.2) and for  $x < \xi < t$ , we have,

$$\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) [(x-t)f'(\xi)] \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) \{ (x-t)f'(x) + (x-t)[f'(\xi) - f'(x)] \} \right| \\
&\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (x-t)f'(x) \right| \\
&+ \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (x-t)[f'(\xi) - f'(x)] \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), above inequality reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (x-t)f'(x) \right| \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| p_{n,k}(x, a) \right| |x-t| (\lambda+1) \omega_1(\delta). \quad \dots (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

First term on the right hand side of (3.4) vanishes because of Lemma (2.1). Now, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f'(\xi) - f'(x)| &\leq \omega_1(|\xi - x|) = \omega_1\left(\frac{1}{\delta}|\xi - x| \cdot \delta\right) \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}|\xi - x|\right) \omega_1(\delta) \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}|t - x|\right) \omega_1(\delta), \quad (x < \xi < t). \quad \dots (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.3) and (3.5), we get,

$$(1 + \lambda) \omega_1(\delta) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}|t - x|\right) \omega_1(\delta) \quad \dots (3.6)$$

Using (3.6) in (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) |x-t| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}|t-x|\right) \omega_1(\delta) \\
&\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) |x-t| + \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 \right\} \omega_1(\delta) \\
&= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) |x-t| + \frac{1}{\delta} B_n^a((t-x)^2; x) \right\} \omega_1(\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of inequality (1.12), eq. (1.7) and taking  $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , above inequality changes to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq \left\{ \sqrt{B_n^a((t-x)^2; x)} + \sqrt{n} \cdot B_n^a((t-x)^2; x) \right\} \omega_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{B_n^a((t-x)^2; x)} \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{B_n^a((t-x)^2; x)} \right\} \omega_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is the required relation (3.1).

#### 4. ASYMPTOTIC RELATION

Later on the equation arose about the speed with which  $B_n^a(f; x)$  tends to  $f(x)$ . An answer to this question has been given in different directions. One direction is that in which  $f(x)$  is supposed to be atleast twice differentiable at a point  $x$  of  $[0, +\infty)$ .

We establish a similar asymptotic relation for the operator  $B_n^a(f; x)$  (1.1) as proved by Voronovskaja<sup>7</sup> for Bernstein Operator.

**Theorem 4.1** — *If  $f \in C[0, +\infty)$  s.t.  $|f(x)| \leq e^{Ax}, x \geq 0$ , for some finite number  $A$  and supposing that  $f''(x)$  exists at a certain point of  $[0, +\infty)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right\} \cdot f''(x) \\ &\quad - 2x^2 \frac{\varepsilon_n^{(a)}(x)}{n} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_n^{(a)}(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF : Let  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ . It is well known that if  $f(t)$  has finite second order derivative at a point  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ , then  $\exists$  a function  $g(t)$  defined on  $[0, +\infty)$  so that as  $t \rightarrow x, g(t) \rightarrow 0$  and  $f(t)$  can be expanded by Taylor's formula,

$$f(t) = f(x) + (t-x)f'(x) + (t-x)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2}f''(x) + g(t) \right].$$

Multiplying both sides by  $p_{n,k}(x, a)$  and summing over  $k$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) f(t) &= f(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) + f'(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f''(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) g(t) (t-x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From relations (1.1), (1.3), (2.3) and (1.7), above relation reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} f''(x) \left\{ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right\} + \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \quad \dots (4.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\rho_n^{(a)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 g(t).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \right| &\leq \sum_{|t-x| \leq \delta} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 |g(t)| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{|t-x| > \delta} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 |g(t)|. \quad \dots (4.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $g(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow x$ , this implies for all  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists$  another number  $\delta$  such that  $|t-x| < \delta \Rightarrow |g(t)| < \varepsilon$ , and also for  $t, x \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $(t-x)^2 |g(t)| < M$ , we can write (4.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \right| &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{|t-x| \leq \delta} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 \\
 &\quad + M \sum_{|t-x| > \delta} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying relation (1.7), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \right| &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \left\{ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right\} \\
 &\quad + M \sum_{|t-x| > \delta} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2. \quad \dots (4.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Given positive numbers  $\delta, \eta, \exists$  positive integer  $N_{(\delta, \eta)}$  such that  $n > N_{(\delta, \eta)}$  implies that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{|t-x| > \delta} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 < \eta \\
 & \frac{M}{\delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x, a) (t-x)^2 < M\eta
 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots (4.5)$$

Using (4.5) in (4.4), we have,

$$\left| \rho_n^a(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \left\{ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right\} + M\eta$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \left\{ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot (ax+x+1) \right\} + M\eta \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \cdot \left\{ x(1+x) + \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot (ax+x+1) \right\} + M\eta \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon x}{n} \cdot \left\{ \frac{x^2 + (a^2+a+2)x + (a+1)}{1+x} \right\} + M\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing,

$$\eta = \varepsilon x \cdot \left\{ \frac{x^2 - (a^2+a)x - (a+1)}{n(1+x)M} \right\},$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \right| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon x}{n} \cdot \left\{ \frac{x^2 + (a^2+a+2)x + (a+1)}{1+x} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon x}{n} \left\{ \frac{x^2 - (a^2+a)x - (a+1)}{(1+x)M} \right\} M \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon x}{n} \cdot \frac{2x(x+1)}{(1+x)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\left| \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \right| \leq 2x^2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{n}{2x^2} \left| \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

whenever,  $x$  is greater than a certain number  $n_0(\varepsilon)$ .

Denoting  $\frac{n}{2x^2} \rho_n^{(a)}(x) \leq \varepsilon$  by  $-\varepsilon_n^{(a)}(x)$ , we have,

$$\rho_n^{(a)}(x) = -2x^2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_n^{(a)}(x)}{n}$$

where,

$$\varepsilon_n^{(a)}(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, substituting (4.6) in (4.2), we get (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right\} f''(x) \\ &\quad - 2x^2 \frac{\varepsilon_n^{(a)}(x)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

## 5. DIRECT ESTIMATE

Recently, a new pointwise approximation for Bernstein polynomials was proved by Ditzian<sup>2</sup> as:

$$\left| B_n(f; x) - f(x) \right| \leq C \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right),$$

$$0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad \varphi(x)^2 = x(1-x). \quad \dots (5.1)$$

This result bridges the gap between the classical estimates on approximation obtained by Timan<sup>6</sup> and others ( $\lambda = 0$ ) and those estimated by Ditzian and Totik ( $\lambda = 1$ )<sup>3</sup>.

In this section we will prove following analogous pointwise approximation for the operator (1.1).

**Theorem 5.1** — For  $f \in C[0, +\infty)$ , we have,

$$\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right)$$

$$\left[ C + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{a}{(1+x)^2} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right],$$

$$0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \quad \varphi(x)^2 = x(1+x). \quad \dots (5.2)$$

$$\leq C \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right), \text{ for large } n.$$

Before giving the proof we need the Ditzian-Totik Modulus of smoothness<sup>3</sup> which is defined as:

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left\| \Delta_{h\varphi(x)^2}^2 f(x) \right\|$$

$$= \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \sup_{x \pm h\varphi^\lambda \in [0, +\infty)} \left| f(x - h\varphi^\lambda(x)) - 2f(x) + f(x + h\varphi^\lambda(x)) \right|,$$

where,

$$\varphi(x)^2 = x(1+x). \quad \dots (5.3)$$

Also, Peetre's  $K$ -functional<sup>3</sup> is given by

$$K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, \delta^2) = \inf_g \left( \left\| f - g \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} + \delta^2 \left\| \varphi^{2\lambda} g'' \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} \right)$$

$$g, g' \in AC_{loc}. \quad \dots (5.4)$$

This  $K$ -functional is equivalent to the modulus of smoothness<sup>3</sup>, i.e.,

$$C^{-1} K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, \delta^2) \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2(f, \delta) \leq C K_{\varphi^\lambda}(f, \delta^2). \quad \dots (5.5)$$

PROOF : Using (5.4) and (5.5), we can choose  $g_n \equiv g_{n, x, \lambda}$  for fixed  $x$  and  $\lambda$  s.t.

$$\|f - g\|_{C[0, +\infty)} \leq A \omega_{\varphi}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right) \quad \dots (5.6)$$

$$n^{-1} \varphi(x)^{2-2\lambda} \left\| \varphi^{2\lambda} g'' \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} \leq B \omega_{\varphi}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right). \quad \dots (5.7)$$

Thus, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq \left| B_n^a(f - g_n; x) - (f - g_n)(x) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| B_n^a(g_n; x) - g_n(x) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \left| B_n^a(f - g_n; x) \right| + \left| B_n^a(g_n(t) - g_n(x); x) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

with  $B_n^a(1; x) = 1$  and (5.6), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| &\leq 2 \left\| f - g_1 \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} B_n^a(1; x) \\ &\quad + \left| B_n^a(g_n(t) - g_n(x); x) \right| \\ &\leq 2A \omega_{\varphi}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right) + \left| B_n^a(g_n(t) - g_n(x); x) \right|. \quad \dots (5.8) \end{aligned}$$

With the application of Taylor's Formula, following the steps as in<sup>3</sup> and using (2.3), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| B_n^a(g_n(t) - g_n(x); x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| B_n^a \left( g_n'(x)(t-x) + \int_t^x (x-u) g_n''(u) du; x \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| g_n'(x) B_n^a((t-x); x) \right| + B_n^a \left( \int_t^x |x-u| \left| g_n''(u) \right| du; x \right) \\ &\leq B_n^a \left( \frac{|x-k/n|}{\varphi^{2\lambda}(x)} \int_{k/n}^x \varphi^{2\lambda}(u) \left| g_n''(u) \right| du; x \right) \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi^{2\lambda} g_n'' \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} \frac{1}{\varphi^{2\lambda}(x)} B_n^a((x-k/n)^2; x) \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi^{2\lambda} g_n'' \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} \frac{1}{\varphi^{2\lambda}(x)} \cdot \left[ \frac{x(1+x)}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{ax}{1+x} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right] \\ &\leq \left\| \varphi^{2\lambda} g_n'' \right\|_{C[0, +\infty)} \varphi^{2-2\lambda}(x) n^{-1} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{a}{(1+x)^2} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right], \end{aligned}$$

using (5.7), it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B_n^a(g_n(t) - g_n(x); x) \right| \\ & \leq B \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{a}{(1+x)^2} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (5.9)$$

Using (5.9) in (5.8), we yield,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| \leq A \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right) \\ & + B \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{a}{(1+x)^2} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right], \end{aligned}$$

choosing  $C = \max [2A, B]$ , we have,

$$\leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right) C \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{a}{(1+x)^2} \cdot \frac{(a+1)x+1}{1+x} \right].$$

For large value of  $n$  above inequality reduces to

$$\left| B_n^a(f; x) - f(x) \right| \leq C \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^2 \left( f, n^{-1/2} \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \right).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. V. A. Baskakov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **113** (1957), 249-51.
2. Z. Ditzian, *J. Approx. Theory*, **79** (1994), 165-66.
3. Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
4. Mihasan, Vasile, *Autom. Comp. Appl. Math. Cluj-Napoca*, **7**(1) (1998), 34-47.
5. T. Popoviciu, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **10** (1935), 49-54.
6. A. F. Timan, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, English translation 1963, Pergaman Press, The MacMilan Co.
7. E. Voronovskaja, *C.R. Acad. Sci., URSS* (1932), 79-85.