

# SECOND ORDER IMPULSIVE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF MIXED MONOTONE TYPE ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS IN BANACH SPACES\*

XIAOYAN ZHANG

*School of Mathematics and System Science, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, People's Republic of China*

AND

JINGXIAN SUN

*Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou, Jinansu 221116, People's Republic of China*

*(Received 11 November 2002; after final revision 7 July 2003; accepted 18 November 2003)*

In this paper, we use a new coupled fixed point theorem for mixed monotone operators to obtain an existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions of initial value problems for nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations of mixed monotone type on unbounded domains in Banach spaces and its application.

**Key Words:** Initial Value Problem; Impulsive Differential Equation of Mixed Monotone Type; Ordered Banach Space; Measure of Noncompactness

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The theory of nonlinear impulsive differential equations is an important branch of differential equations<sup>1</sup>. In a recent paper<sup>2</sup>, in the special case where  $f$  does not contain  $u'$ , the existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions of initial value problems for second order mixed monotone type of impulsive differential equations in Banach spaces was investigated only on a finite interval with a finite number of impulsive times. In this paper, we shall use a new coupled fixed point theorem with weaker compactness condition, i.e., a completely different method to discuss the initial value problems (IVP) for the nonlinear second order impulsive differential equations of mixed monotone type on a infinite interval with a infinite number of impulsive times in the Banach space  $E$ :

---

\*Project supported by : N FC (19871048) and NSFSP (Z2000A03).

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u'' = f(t, u, u, u'), \quad t \geq 0, \quad t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_i} = I_i(u(t_i), u(t_i)), \\ \Delta u'|_{t=t_i} = \bar{I}_i(u(t_i), u(t_i)) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots), \\ u(0) = w_0, \quad u'(0) = w_1, \end{array} \right. \quad \dots (1)$$

where  $f \in C[J \times E \times E \times E, E]$ ,  $J = [0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_i < \dots, t_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $I_i, \bar{I}_i \in C[E \times E, E]$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $w_0, w_1 \in E$ .  $\Delta u|_{t=t_i}$  denotes the jump of  $u(t)$  at  $t=t_i$ , i.e.,  $\Delta u|_{t=t_i} = u(t_i^+) - u(t_i^-)$ , where  $u(t_i^+)$  and  $u(t_i^-)$  represent the right and left limits of  $u(t)$  at  $t=t_i$ , respectively, and  $\Delta u'|_{t=t_i}$  has a similar meaning for  $u'(t)$ .

Let  $PC[J, E] = \{u : J \rightarrow E \mid u(t) \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_i, \text{ left continuous at } t = t_i \text{ and } u'(t_i^+), u'(t_i^-) \text{ exist for } i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $PC^1[J, E] = \{\mu \in PC[J, E] \mid u'(t) \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_i \text{ and } u'(t_i^-), u'(t_i^+) \text{ exist for } i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . For  $u \in PC^1[J, E]$ , by virtue of the mean value theorem,

$$u'_-(t_i) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} [u(t_i) - u(t_i - h)] = u'(t_i^-).$$

Throughout this paper  $u'(t_i)$  is understood as  $u'_-(t_i)$ . Evidently,  $PC[J, E]$  and  $PC^1[J, E]$  both are Banach spaces with norms  $\|u\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} \|u(t)\|$  and  $\|u\|_{PC^1} = \max\{\|u\|_{PC}, \|u'\|_{PC}\}$ , respectively. Let  $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_i, \dots\}$ . For any  $r > 0$ , we assume that there are finite number  $k$  of impulsive times in the interval  $[0, r]$  and denote  $J_r = [0, r]$ ,  $J_{r0} = [0, t_1]$ ,  $J_{01} = (t_1, t_2)$ , ...,  $J_{rj} = (t_j, t_{j+1}]$ , ...,  $J_{rk} = (t_k, r]$ ,  $J'_r = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_k\}$ . A map  $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$  is called a solution of IVP (1), if it satisfies (1).

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The cone  $P$  defines a partial ordering in  $E$  by  $x, y \in x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in P$ . We write order interval  $[x, y] = \{u \in E \mid x \leq u \leq y\}$ . The properties of the cone and the partial order may be found in <sup>3</sup>. Let  $D \subset E$ .  $A : D \times D \rightarrow E$  is called a mixed monotone operator, if  $A(x, y)$  is nondecreasing in  $x$  and nonincreasing in  $y$ .  $A$  is called demicontinuous at point  $(x_0, y_0) \in D \times D$ , if for any  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset D, x_n \rightarrow x_0, y_n \rightarrow y_0 \Rightarrow A(x_n, y_n) \rightharpoonup A(x_0, y_0)$ . If  $A$  is demicontinuous at each point of  $D \times D$ , then  $A$  is called demicontinuous on  $D \times D$ . It is obvious that if  $A$  is continuous, then  $A$  is demicontinuous. Point  $(x^*, y^*) \in D \times D$  is called a coupled fixed point of  $A$ , iff  $A(x^*, y^*) = x^*$  and  $A(y^*, x^*) = y^*$ . When  $x^* = y^*$ , i.e.,  $A(x^*, x^*) = x^*$ , we say that

$x^* \in D$  is called a fixed point of  $A$ . Recall that  $\rightarrow$  and  $\rightharpoonup$  denote the strong convergence and the weak convergence, respectively.

*Lemma 1* — Let  $P$  be a cone of real Banach space  $E, u_0, v_0 \in E, u_0 \leq v_0. A : [u_0, v_0] \times [u_0, v_0] \rightarrow E$  is a mixed monotone operator and demicontinuous, and the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $u_0 \leq A(u_0, v_0), A(v_0, u_0) \leq v_0.$

(ii) For some  $x_0$  and some  $y_0 \in [u_0, v_0], C_1, C_2 \subset [u_0, v_0]$  countable and

$$\bar{C}_1 \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{x_0\} \cup A(C_1, C_2) \right\}, \quad \bar{C}_2 \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{y_0\} \cup A(C_2, C_1) \right\}$$

imply  $C_1, C_2$  are relatively compact.

Then  $A$  has a minimax coupled fixed point  $(x^*, y^*) \in [u_0, v_0] \times [u_0, v_0]$ , i.e., for any coupled fixed point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in [u_0, v_0] \times [u_0, v_0]$  of  $A$ , it must be that  $x^* \leq \bar{x} \leq y^*, x^* \leq \bar{y} \leq y^*$ ; moreover, we have  $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n, y^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n$ , where  $u_n = A(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}), v_n = A(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ , which satisfy

$$u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq \dots \leq v_n \leq \dots \leq v_1 \leq v_0. \tag{2}$$

PROOF : From (i), we know  $u_0 < v_1 \leq v_1 \leq v_0$ . By induction, (2) holds. Let  $B_1 = \{u_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, B_2 = \{v_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , then  $B_1, B_2$  both are countable subsets in  $D$ . We shall prove

$$\bar{B}_1 \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{u_0\} \cup A(B_1, B_2) \right\}.$$

In fact,  $u_0 \in co \{ \{u_0\}, A(B_1, B_2) \}$ . Suppose that  $u_k \in co \{ \{u_0\}, A(B_1, B_2) \} (k \geq 0)$ . Evidently,  $A(u_k, v_k) \in A(B_1, B_2) \subset co \{ \{u_0\}, A(B_1, B_2) \}$ . Since  $u_{k+1} = 1 \cdot A(u_k, v_k) + 0 \cdot u_k, u_{k+1} \in co \{ \{u_0\}, A(B_1, B_2) \}$ . Hence

$$\bar{B}_1 \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{u_0\}, A(B_1, B_2) \right\} \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{u_0\} \cup A(B_1, B_2) \right\},$$

and therefore,  $\bar{B}_1 \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{u_0\} \cup A(B_1, B_2) \right\}$ . Similarly, we can show  $\bar{B}_2 \subset \bar{co} \left\{ \{v_0\}, A(B_2, B_1) \right\}$ . It follows from (ii) that  $B_1, B_2$  both are relatively compact, i.e.,  $\{u_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $B_2 = \{v_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  are relatively compact, and therefore, there exists a subsequence  $\{u_{n_i}\} \subset \{u_n\}$  such that  $u_{n_i} \rightarrow x^* \in E$ . Suppose that  $\{u_n\}$  is not convergent, then there exists another subsequence  $\{u_{n_j}\} \subset \{u_n\}$  such that  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow w^*$  and  $w^* \neq x^*$ . From (2), we know  $\{u_n\}$  is a monotone increasing sequence in  $D$ . For any fixed  $n_{i_0}$ , we have  $u_{n_{i_0}} \leq u_{n_j}$  when  $n_j$  is sufficiently large. Since

$P$  is a cone and  $u_{n_j} \rightarrow w^*$ ,  $u_{n_0} \leq w^*$ ; and for any  $n_i$ , we have  $u_{n_i} \leq w^*$ . It follows from  $u_{n_i} \rightarrow x^*$  that  $x^* \leq w^*$ . Similarly, we get  $w^* \leq x^*$ . Hence  $x^* = w^*$ . This brings a contradiction. Therefore,  $u_n \rightarrow x^*$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). In the same way, we have  $v_n \rightarrow y^*$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). From (2), we have  $u_n \leq x^* \leq y^* \leq v_n$ .

We now show that  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a minimax coupled fixed point of  $A$ . By the mixed monotony of  $A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= A(u_n, v_n) \leq A(x^*, y^*) \\ &\leq A(y^*, x^*) \leq (Av_n, u_n) = v_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit in above inequality as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$x^* \leq A(x^*, y^*) \leq A(y^*, x^*) \leq y^*. \quad \dots (3)$$

For any  $n$  and  $m$ , from

$$\begin{aligned} A(u_n, v_{n+m}) &\leq A(u_{n+m}, v_{n+m}) = u_{n+m+1}, \\ u_{n+m+1} &= A(v_{n+m}, u_{n+m}) \leq A(v_n, u_{n+m}) \end{aligned}$$

and the demicontinuity of  $A(x, \cdot)$ , letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$A(u_n, y^*) \leq x^*, \quad y^* \leq A(v_n, x^*), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By the demicontinuity of  $A(\cdot, y)$ , letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we find

$$A(x^*, y^*) \leq x^*, \quad y^* \leq A(y^*, x^*). \quad \dots (4)$$

Hence, from (3) and (4), we have  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a coupled fixed point of  $A$ . By standard arguments in<sup>4</sup>, we can easily know  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a minimax coupled fixed point of  $A$ . The proof is completed.

*Remark* : In Lemma 1, condition (ii) is a weaker compactness condition, because the condensing condition "for any countable and bounded subsets  $D_1, D_2 \subset [u_0, v_0]$ , when  $\alpha(D_1) + \alpha(D_2) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha(A(D_1, D_2)) < \max\{\alpha(D_1), \alpha(D_2)\}$ " implies condition (ii).

For  $B \subset PC^1[J, E]$ , we denote  $B' = \{u' \mid u \in B\} \subset PC[J, E]$   $B_{rj} = \{u \mid_{J_{rj}} : u \in B\}$   $B'_{rj} = \{u' \mid_{J_{rj}} : u \in B\}$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). For  $t \in J$ ,  $B(t) = \{u(t) \mid u \in B\} \subset E$ ,  $B'(t) = \{u'(t) \mid u \in B\} \subset E$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_{PC^1}$  denote the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of bounded sets in  $E$  and  $PC^1[J, E]$ , respectively. For details on definitions and properties of measure of noncompactness, one can refer to<sup>5</sup>.

*Lemma 2* — Let  $B_1, B_2 \subset PC^1[J_r, E]$  be two countable subsets satisfying  $\overline{B_1} = \overline{c_0}$   $\left\{ \{x_0\} \cup B_2 \right\}$  for some  $x_0 \in PC^1[J_r, E]$ , then

$$\overline{B_1}(t) = \overline{c_0} \left\{ \{x_0(t)\} \cup B_2(t) \right\}, \quad \overline{B_1}(t) = \overline{c_0} \left\{ \{x'_0(t)\} \cup B'_2(t) \right\}, \quad \forall t \in J_r.$$

Using the similar method as Lemma 4 in<sup>6</sup>, we can easily obtain the conclusion of Lemma 2.

*Lemma 3*<sup>5</sup> — If  $B \subset PC^1[J_r, E]$  is bounded and the elements of  $B$  are equicontinuous on each  $J_{r_j}$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ ), then  $\alpha(\{u(t) \mid u \in B_{r_j}\})$  is continuous on  $t \in J_{r_j}$ .

*Lemma 4*<sup>5</sup> — If  $B \subset PC^1[J_r, E]$  is bounded and the elements of  $B'$  are equicontinuous on each  $J_{r_j}$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ ), then

$$\alpha_{PC^1}(B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in J_r} \alpha(B(t)), \sup_{t \in J_r} \alpha(B'(t)) \right\}.$$

*Lemma 5*<sup>5</sup> — Let  $m \in C[J_{r_j}, R^+]$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ) and  $k \in C[J_r, R^+]$ ,  $\beta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) be nonnegative constants. Suppose that

$$m(t) \leq \int_0^t k(s) m(s) ds = \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \beta_i m(t_i), \quad \forall t \in J_r.$$

Then  $m(t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall t \in J_r.$

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

*Theorem 1* — Suppose that

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $I_i(u, v), \bar{I}_i(u, v)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are nondecreasing in  $u$  and nonincreasing in  $v$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $f(t, u, v, w)$  is nondecreasing in  $u$  and nonincreasing in  $v$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>) For any  $r > 0$  and  $s \in J_r, y_j, v_j \in PC^1[J_r, E]$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), there exist a  $a \in C[J_r, R^+]$  and constants  $b_i \geq 0, c_i \geq 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s), u'_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s), u'_2(s))\| \\ & \leq a(s) \phi(\max\{\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|, \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|, \|u'_1(s) - u'_2(s)\|\}), \\ & \|I_i(u_1(s), v_1(s)) - I_i(u_2(s), v_2(s))\| \\ & \leq b_i \phi(\max\{\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|, \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|\}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \bar{I}_i(u_1(s), v_1(s)) - \bar{I}_i(u_2(s), v_2(s)) \| \\ & \leq c_i \phi(\max\{\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|, \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|\}) \\ & (s \in J_r, i = 1, 2, \dots, k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ is nondecreasing and } \phi(R(s)) \leq R(s), \quad \forall R(s) > 0 (s \in J_r).$$

(H<sub>4</sub>) There exist  $u_0, v_0 \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ ,  $u_0 \leq v_0$ , and  $[u_0, v_0] = \{u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E] \mid u_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq v_0(t) \text{ for } t \in J\}$  is bounded with regard to the norm in  $PC^1[J, E]$ , such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_0''(t) \leq f(t, u_0(t), v_0(t), u_0'(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u_0|_{t=t_i} \leq I_i(u_0(t_i), v_0(t_i)), \\ \Delta u_0'|_{t=t_i} \leq \bar{I}_i(u_0(t_i), v_0(t_i)) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots), \\ u_0(0) \leq w_0, \quad u_0'(0) \leq w_1, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_0''(t) \geq f(t, v_0(t), u_0(t), v_0'(t)), \quad t \in J, \quad t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta v_0|_{t=t_i} \geq I_i(v_0(t_i), u_0(t_i)), \\ \Delta v_0'|_{t=t_i} \geq \bar{I}_i(v_0(t_i), u_0(t_i)) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots), \\ v_0(0) \geq w_0, \quad v_0'(0) \geq w_1, \end{array} \right.$$

Then IVP(1) has an unique solution  $u^* \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ , and  $\|u_n(t) - u^*(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|v_n(t) - u^*(t)\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) &= w_0 + w_1 t + \int_0^t (t-s) f(s, u_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s), u_{n-1}'(s)) ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \left[ I_i(u_{n-1}(t_i), v_{n-1}(t_i)) + (t-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u_{n-1}(t_i), v_{n-1}(t_i)) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_n(t) &= w_0 + w_1 t + \int_0^t (t-s) f(s, v_{n-1}(s), u_{n-1}(s), v'_{n-1}(s)) ds \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \left[ I_i(v_{n-1}(t_i), u_{n-1}(t_i)) + (t-t_i) \bar{I}_i(v_{n-1}(t_i), u_{n-1}(t_i)) \right] \\
 &(t \in J, n = 1, 2, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF : It is clear that  $u \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$  is a solution of IVP(1) if and only if  $u$  is a solution of the following impulsive integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= w_0 + w_1 t + \int_0^t (t-s) f(s, u(s), v(s), u'(s)) ds \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \left[ I_i(u(t_i), v(t_i)) + (t-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i), v(t_i)) \right], \quad t \in J.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define operator  $A$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(u, v)(t) &= w_0 + w_1 t + \int_0^t (t-s) f(s, u(s), v(s), u'(s)) ds \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \left[ I_i(u(t_i), v(t_i)) + (t-t_i) \bar{I}_i(u(t_i), v(t_i)) \right], \quad t \in J. \quad \dots (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $u, v \in PC^1[J, E], t \in J, t \neq t_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ , we have by (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A'(u, v)(t) &= w_1 + \int_0^t f(s, u(s), v(s), u'(s)) ds \\
 &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \bar{I}_i(u(t_i), v(t_i)). \quad \dots (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of condition  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ , we know  $A$  is mixed monotone. Let  $r > 0$  be arbitrary given. For any  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in PC^1[J_r, E] \times PC^1[J_r, E]$ , from condition  $(H_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|A(u_1, v_1)(t) - A(u_2, v_2)(t)\| \\
 &\leq \int_0^t (t-s) \|f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s), u'_1(s)) - f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s), u'_2(s))\| ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} [\|I_i(u_1(t_i), v_1(t_i)) - I_i(u_2(t_i), v_2(t_i))\| \\
& + (t - t_i) \|\bar{I}_i(u_1(t_i), v_1(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(u_2(t_i), v_2(t_i))\|] \\
& \leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|, \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|, \|u_1'(s) - u_2'(s)\| \right\} \right) ds \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \|u_1(t_i) - u_2(t_i)\|, \|v_1(t_i) - v_2(t_i)\| \right\} \right) \quad t \in J_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|A'(u_1, v_1)(t) - A'(u_2, v_2)(t)\| \\
& \leq \int_0^t \|f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s), u_1'(s)) - f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s), u_2'(s))\| ds \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \|\bar{I}_i(u_1(t_i), v_1(t_i)) - \bar{I}_i(u_2(t_i), v_2(t_i))\| \\
& \leq \int_0^t a(s) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|, \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|, \|u_1'(s) - u_2'(s)\| \right\} \right) ds \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} c_i \phi \left( \max \left\{ \|u_1(t_i) - u_2(t_i)\|, \|v_1(t_i) - v_2(t_i)\| \right\} \right) \quad t \in J_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A$  is a continuous operator from  $PC^1[J_r, E] \times PC^1[J_r, E]$  into  $PC^1[J_r, E]$ . For any countable subsets  $D_1, D_2 \subset [u_0, v_0]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{diam } A(D_1, D_2)(t) & \leq \int_{t_0}^t r \cdot a(s) \phi(\max\{\text{diam } D_1(s), \text{diam } D_2(s), \text{diam } D_1'(s)\}) ds \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi(\max\{\text{diam } D_1(t_i), \text{diam } D_2(t_i)\}), \quad t \in J_r.
\end{aligned}$$

For any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a finite number of subsets  $D_1^{(1)}, D_2^{(1)}, \dots, D_1^{(m)}$  and  $D_2^{(1)}, D_2^{(2)}, \dots, D_2^{(l)}$  of  $[u_0, v_0]$ , such that

$$D_1(t) \subset \bigcup_{i_1=1}^m D_1^{(i_1)}(t), \text{diam } D_1^{(i_1)}(t) < \alpha(D_1(t)) + \varepsilon, \quad t \in J_r,$$



$$D_2(t) \subset \bigcup_{j_1=1}^l D_1^{(j_1)}(t), \text{diam}D_2^{(j_1)}(t) < \alpha(D_2(t)) + \varepsilon, t \in J_r,$$

$$D_1'(t) \subset \bigcup_{i_1=1}^m D_1'^{(i_1)}(t), \text{diam}D_1'^{(i_1)}(t) < \alpha(D_1'(t)) + \varepsilon, t \in J_r.$$

Since

$$A(D_1, D_2)(t) \subset \bigcup_{j_1, j_1'} A(D_1^{(j_1)}, D_2^{(j_1')})(t), t \in J_r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{diam}A(D_1^{(i_1)}, D_2^{(j_1')})(t) \\ & \leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \text{diam}D_1^{(i_1)}(s), \text{diam}D_2^{(j_1')}(s), \text{diam}D_1'^{(i_1)}(s) \right\} \right) ds \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \text{diam}D_1^{(i_1)}(t_i), \text{diam}D_2^{(j_1')}(t_i) \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s) \right\} + \varepsilon \right) ds \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \alpha(D_1(t_i)), \alpha D_2'(t_i) \right\} + \varepsilon \right) \\ & (t \in J_r, i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, m, j_1 = 1, 2, \dots, l), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A(D_1, D_2))(t) & \leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s) \right\} + \varepsilon \right) ds \\ & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \alpha(D_1(t_i)), \alpha D_2'(t_i) \right\} + \varepsilon \right), t \in J_r. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R_1(s) = \max\{\alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s)\} + \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $R_3(t_i) = \max\{\alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s)\} + \varepsilon > 0$ , then by condition  $(H_3)$ , we have  $\phi(R_1(s)) \leq R_1(s)$ ,  $\phi(R_2(t_i)) \leq R_2(t_i)$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A(D_1, D_2)(t)) &\leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s) \right\} \right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi \left( \max \left\{ \alpha(D_1(t_i)), \alpha D_2'(t_i) \right\} \right), \quad t \in J_r. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (7)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A'(D_1, D_2)(t)) &\leq \int_0^t a(s) \phi \max \left\{ \alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s) \right\} ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} c_i \phi \max \left\{ \alpha(D_1(t_i)), \alpha D_2'(t_i) \right\}, \quad t \in J_r. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (8)$$

Using the same method as above, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A(D_1, D_2)(t)) &\leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi \max \left\{ \alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s) \right\} ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi \max \left\{ \alpha(D_1(t_i)), \alpha D_2'(t_i) \right\}, \quad t \in J_r. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A'(D_1, D_2)(t)) &\leq \int_0^t a(s) \phi \max \left\{ \alpha D_1(s), \alpha D_2(s), \alpha D_1'(s) \right\} ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} c_i \phi \max \left\{ \alpha(D_1(t_i)), \alpha D_2'(t_i) \right\}, \quad t \in J_r. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (10)$$

For some  $u, v \in [u_0, v_0]$ ,  $D_1, D_2 \subset [u_0, v_0]$  countable, and  $\overline{D_1} \subset \overline{co} \{ \{u\} \cup A(D_1, D_2) \}$ ,  $\overline{D_2} \subset \overline{co} \{ \{v\} \cup A(D_2, D_1) \}$ , then by Lemma 2 and the properties of measure of noncompactness, for any  $t \in J_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_1}(t) &\subset \overline{co} \{ \{u(t)\} \cup A(D_1, D_2) \}, \quad \overline{D_2}(t) \subset \overline{co} \{ \{v(t)\} \cup A(D_2, D_1) \}, \\ \overline{D_1}'(t) &\subset \overline{co} \{ \{u'(t)\} \cup A'(D_1, D_2) \}, \quad \overline{D_2}'(t) \subset \overline{co} \{ \{v'(t)\} \cup A'(D_2, D_1) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{PC^1}(D_1) &= \alpha_{PC^1}(\overline{D_1}) \leq \alpha_{PC^1} A(D_1, D_2), \\ \alpha_{PC^1}(D_2) &= \alpha_{PC^1}(\overline{D_2}) \leq \alpha_{PC^1} A(D_2, D_1), \end{aligned} \quad \dots (11)$$

$$\alpha(D_1(t)) = \alpha(\overline{D_1(t)}) \leq \alpha(A(D_1, D_2)(t)),$$

$$\alpha(D_2(t)) = \alpha(\overline{D_2(t)}) \leq \alpha(A(D_2, D_1)(t)), \quad \dots (12)$$

$$\alpha(D'_1(t)) = \alpha(\overline{D'_1(t)}) \leq \alpha(A'(D_1, D_2)(t)),$$

$$\alpha(D'_2(t)) = \alpha(\overline{D'_2(t)}) \leq \alpha(A'(D_2, D_1)(t)). \quad \dots (13)$$

Condition  $(H_3)$  implies  $A$  is a bounded operator, and from (5) and (6), that the elements of  $A(D_1, D_2), A(D_2, D_1), A'(D_1, D_2), A'(D_2, D_1)$  are all equicontinuous on each  $J_{rj} (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k)$ . By (12) and (13), we have

$$\max\{\alpha(D_1(t)), \alpha(D_2(t))\} \leq \max\{\alpha(A(D_1, D_2)(t)), \alpha(A(D_2, D_1)(t))\}, \quad t \in J_r,$$

$$\max\{\alpha(D'_1(t)), \alpha(D'_2(t))\} \leq \max\{\alpha(A'(D_1, D_2)(t)), \alpha(A'(D_2, D_1)(t))\}, \quad t \in J_r.$$

Let  $m(t) = \max\{\alpha(A(D_1, D_2)(t)), \alpha(A(D_2, D_1)(t)), \alpha(A'(D_1, D_2)(t)), \alpha(A'(D_2, D_1)(t))\}$ ,  $t \in J_r$ , then by Lemma 3, we have  $m \Rightarrow C[J_{rj}, R^+]$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ), and by (7)-(10) and (12), (13), we get

$$m(t) \leq \int_0^t (r+1) a(s) m(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} [b_i + (r+1) c_i] m(t_i), \quad t \in J_r,$$

which implies by virtue of Lemma 5 that  $m(t) \equiv 0, \forall t \in J_r, \forall r > 0$ , i.e.,

$$\alpha(A(D_1, D_2)(t)) = \alpha(A(D_2, D_1)(t))$$

$$= \alpha(A'(D_1, D_2)(t)), \alpha(A'(D_2, D_1)(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in J_r.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4, we have

$$\alpha_{PC^1}(D_1) \leq \alpha_{PC^1}(A(D_1, D_2))$$

$$= \max \left\{ \sup_{j \in J_r} (A(D_1, D_2)(t)), \sup_{j \in J_r} (A'(D_1, D_2)(t)) \right\} = 0,$$

$$\alpha_{PC^1}(D_2) \leq \alpha_{PC^1}(A(D_2, D_1))$$

$$= \max \left\{ \sup_{j \in J_r} (A(D_2, D_1)(t)), \sup_{j \in J_r} (A'(D_2, D_1)(t)) \right\} = 0,$$

that is  $D_1, D_2$  are relatively compact in  $PC^1[J_r, E]$ .

From condition  $(H_4)$ , by performing direct integration of the inequality  $u_0'' \leq f(t, u_0, v_0, u_0')$  and  $v_0'' \leq f(t, v_0, u_0, v_0')$  ( $t \in J$ ) twice, we can easily prove that  $u_0 \leq A(u_0, v_0)$  and  $A(v_0, u_0) \leq v_0$ .

Summing up,  $A$  satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 1, then  $A$  has a minimax coupled fixed point  $(u^*, v^*) \in [u_0, v_0] \times [u_0, v_0]$ . In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^*(t) - v^*(t)\| &= \|A(u^*(t), v^*(t)) - A(v^*(t), u^*(t))\| \\ &\leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) \phi(\|u^*(s) - v^*(s)\|) ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) \phi(\|u^*(t_i) - v^*(t_i)\|), \quad t \in J_r. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m_1(t) = \|u^*(t) - v^*(t)\|$ ,  $t \in J_r$ , then by virtue of the characters of  $\phi$ , we have

$$m_1(t) \leq \int_0^t r \cdot a(s) m_1(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (b_i + rc_i) m_1(t), \quad t \in J_r,$$

which also implies that  $m_1(t) \equiv 0$ ,  $\forall t \in J_r$ ,  $\forall r > 0$ , i.e.,  $u^*(t) = v^*(t)$ ,  $t \in J_r$ . If there exists a fixed point  $w^* \in PC^1[J_r, E] \setminus [u_0, v_0]$  of  $A$ , by using the above method, we have  $w^*(t) = u^*(t)$  ( $t \in J_r$ ). Hence,  $A$  has an unique fixed point  $u^* \in PC^1[J_r, E]$ , i.e., IVP(1) has an unique solution in  $PC^1[J_r, E] \cap C^2[J'_r, E]$ . Since  $r > 0$  is arbitrary, we see that  $u^* \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ , and  $u_n = A(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1})$ ,  $v_n = A(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1})$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and  $\|u_n(t) - u^*(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|v_n(t) - u^*(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ , ( $t \in J, n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

*Remark 2* : In this paper, we discuss the IVP(1) on infinite interval in case  $f$  possess  $u'$  and have no any demands on  $a(t)$  ( $t \in J_r$ ),  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). When we consider the IVP(1) on a finite interval  $J = [0, 1]$  with the finite number  $p$  of impulsive times, we cross out the restriction condition  $a + \sum_{i=1}^p (b_i + c_i) \leq 1$  which is given in<sup>2</sup>. One can also discuss the IVP of the first order impulsive differential equations in a similar way.

### 3. AN EXAMPLE

Consider the IVP of the infinite system for nonlinear second order impulsive integro-differential equations

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u_0'' &= \frac{1}{(n+2)(t+1)} + \frac{1}{6(t+1)^3} u_n(t) - \frac{1}{2^{n+3}(t+1)^3} u_{n+2}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8(t+1)^2} u_n'(t), \quad t \geq 0, t \neq k (k = 1, 2, \dots), \\ \Delta u_n|_{t=k} &= \frac{1}{2^{k+2}} u_n(k), \\ \Delta u_n'|_{t=k} &= \frac{1}{2^{n+k+1}} u_{n+1}(k), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots), \\ u_n(0) = 0, u_n'(0) &= 0, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned} \right. \quad \dots (14)$$

Conclusion — System (14) has an unique solution which is continuously differential on  $[0, k) \cup (k, \infty) (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

PROOF : Let  $E = l^1 = \left\{ u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n| < \infty \right\}$  with norm  $\|u\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n|$

and  $P = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) \in l^1 \mid u_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then  $P$  is a normal cone in  $E$ . System (14) can be regarded as an IVP of form (1) in  $E$ , where  $J = [0, \infty), f = (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots), t_k = k (k = 1, 2, \dots), I_k = (I_{k1}, \dots, I_{kn}, \dots), \bar{I}_k = (\bar{I}_{k1}, \dots, \bar{I}_{kn}, \dots), u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots), v = (v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots), w = (w_{01}, \dots, w_{0n}, \dots), w_1 = (w_{11}, \dots, w_{1n}, \dots)$ , in which  $w_{0n} = 0, w_{1n} = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(t, u(t), v(t), u'(t)) &= \frac{1}{(n+2)(t+1)} + \frac{1}{6(t+1)^3} u_n(t) - \frac{1}{2^{n+3}(t+1)^3} u_{n+2}(t) + \frac{1}{8(t+1)^2} u_n'(t) \\ I_{kn}(u(k), v(k)) &= \frac{1}{2^{k+2}} u_n(k), \quad \bar{I}_{kn}(u(k), v(k)) = \frac{1}{2^{n+k+1}} u_{n+1}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $f \in C[J \times E \times E \times E, E], I_k, \bar{I}_k \in C[E \times E, E] (k = 1, 2, \dots), f(t, u, v, w)$  is non-decreasing in  $u$  and nonincreasing in  $v, I_k(u, v), \bar{I}_k(u, v)$  are nondecreasing in  $u$ . Let  $a(t) = \frac{4}{(t+1)^2}, b_k = \frac{6}{2^k}, c_k = \frac{12}{2^k}$ , then for any  $s \in J, u_j, v_j \in PC^1[J, E] (j = 1, 2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(s, u_1(s), v_1(s), u_1'(s)) - f(s, u_2(s), v_2(s), u_2'(s))\| \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(s, u_1(s), v_1(s), u_1'(s)) - f_n(s, u_2(s), v_2(s), u_2'(s))| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{6(s+1)^2} \\ &\left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_{1n}(s) - u_{2n}(s)| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |v_{1(n+2)}(s) - v_{2(n+2)}(s)| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u'_{1n}(s) - u'_{2n}(s)| \right] \\ &\leq a(s) \phi(\max\{\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|, \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|, \|u'_1(s) - u'_2(s)\|\}), \\ &\|I_k(u_1(s), v_1(s)) - I_k(u_2(s), v_2(s))\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\| \leq b_k \phi(\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|), \\ &\|\bar{I}_k(u_1(s), v_1(s)) - \bar{I}_k(u_2(s), v_2(s))\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_{1(n+1)}(s) - u_{2(n+1)}(s)| \\ &\leq c_k \phi(\|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\|), \end{aligned}$$

in which,  $\phi(x(s)) = \frac{1}{24}x(s)$ , and for any  $x(s) > 0$ , we have  $\phi(x(s)) < x(s)$  ( $\forall s \in J$ ). Hence condition  $(H_3)$  of Theorem 1 is satisfied for  $s \in J_r$  ( $\forall r > 0$ ).

Finally, let  $u_0(t) = (0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $t \in J$  and

$$v_0(t) = \begin{cases} \left( t^2, \dots, \frac{t^2}{n}, \dots \right), & 0 \leq t \leq k, \\ \left( t, (t+1), \dots, \frac{t(t+1)}{n}, \dots \right), & t > k, \end{cases}$$

then we have  $u_0 \in C^2[J, E]$ ,  $v_0 \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$  and  $u_0(t) \leq v_0(t)$ ,  $u'_0(t) \leq v'_0(t)$ . Since  $p$  is a normal cone in  $l_1$ , it is easy to know  $P_c = \{u \in PC^1[J, e] \mid u(t) \geq \theta, u'(t) \geq \theta, t \in J\}$  is a normal cone in  $PC^1[J, E]$ ; hence  $[u_0, v_0]$  is bounded in  $PC^1[J, E]$ . For any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u_{0n} \upharpoonright_{t=k} &= 0 \leq I_{kn}(u_{0n}(k), v_{0n}(k)), \\ \Delta v_{0n} \upharpoonright_{t=k} &= \frac{k}{n} > \frac{k}{2^{k+2}n} = 0 \leq I_{kn}(v_{0n}(k), u_{0n}(k)), \\ \Delta u'_{0n} \upharpoonright_{t=k} &= 0 \leq \bar{I}_{kn}(u_{0n}(k), v_{0n}(k)), \\ \Delta v'_{0n} \upharpoonright_{t=k} &= \frac{1}{n} > \frac{k^2}{2^{n+k+1}n+1} = 0 \leq \bar{I}_{kn}(v_{0n}(k), u_{0n}(k)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(t, u_0(t), v_0(t), u_0'(t)) &\geq \frac{1}{(n+2)(t+1)} - \frac{1}{2^{n+3}} \frac{t(t+1)}{(t+1)^3} \frac{1}{n+2} \\
 &\geq \frac{16(t+1)-t}{2^{n+3}(t+1)^2(n+2)} > 0 = u_{0n}''(t), \quad t \in J, \\
 f_n(t, u_0(t), v_0(t), u_0'(t)) &\geq \frac{1}{(n+2)(t+1)} + \frac{1}{6(t+1)^3} \frac{t(t+1)}{n} + \frac{1}{8(t+1)^2} \frac{2t+1}{n} \\
 &< \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{t+1} + \frac{1}{6n} \frac{t}{t+1} \frac{1}{t+1} + \frac{1}{8n} \frac{2}{t+1} \\
 &< \frac{17}{12n} < \frac{2}{n} = v_{0n}''(t), \quad t \in J, t \neq k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  satisfy  $(H_4)$  of Theorem 1. Therefore, our conclusion follows from Theorem 1. The proof is completed.

*Remark :* In this example, when  $t \in J = [0, 1]$ ,  $a(t) + \sum_{i=1}^p (b_i + c_i) = + \frac{4}{(t+1)^2}$

$\sum_{i=1}^p \left( \frac{6}{2^i} + \frac{12}{2^i} \right) > 1$ . So even if we consider the system (14) on the finite interval  $J = [0, 1]$ , our conclusion can't follow from the main theorem in<sup>2</sup>. This shows that this paper improves and generalizes the main result in<sup>2</sup>.

REFERENCES

1. V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainor and P. S. Simeonov, *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World, Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
2. Jinli Sun and Yihai Ma, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **247** (2000), 506-26.
3. D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
4. D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Anal., TMA*, **11** (1987), 623-32.
5. D. J. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham and X. Z. Liu, *Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
6. Lishan Liu, *Indian J. pure app. Math.*, **27** (1996), 956-72.