

HANKEL-TOEPLITZ TYPE OPERATORS BETWEEN WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES ON THE UNIT DISK*

JIANXUN HE

*Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Guihuagang School District,
Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510 405, People's Republic of China
e-mail : h_jianxun@hotmail.com*

AND

MEI WANG

*Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071,
People's Republic of China*

(Received 24 June 2003; accepted 8 March 2004)

In this paper we define some kinds of Hankel-Toeplitz type operators between the weighted Bergman spaces on the unit disk, and develop their boundedness, compactness and Schatten von Neumann properties.

Key Words : Hankel Type Operator; Toeplitz Type Operator; Besov Space; Schatten von Neumann Class

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk of complex plane \mathbb{C} and, for $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, let

$$d\mu_\alpha(z) = \frac{\alpha+1}{\pi} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha dm(z),$$

where $dm(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{D} . Thus $L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha)$ denotes the Hilbert space of all measurable functions f on \mathbb{D} for which the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha)} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu_\alpha(z) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is finite. The weighted Bergman space $A^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is the subspace of all analytic functions in $L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha)$, and $\bar{A}^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha) : \bar{f} \in A^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D}) \right\}$ is the conjugate Bergman space. let P_α and \bar{P}_α denote the orthogonal projection operators from $L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha)$ onto $A^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D})$ and

*The work for this paper was supported by the Foundation of the National Science of China (Grant 10071039) and the Foundation of Gangzhou University.

$\bar{A}^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D})$ respectively, and let $b(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{b}(n) z^n$ be an analytic function on \mathbb{D} . The Toeplitz operators, small and big Hankel operators with symbol b are defined by $T_b = P_{\alpha} M_{\bar{b}} P_{\alpha} h_b = \bar{P}_{\alpha} M_{\bar{b}} P_{\alpha}$ and $H_b = (I - P_{\alpha}) M_{\bar{b}} P_{\alpha}$ respectively. The characterization of the symbols b such that the small and big Hankel operators belong to S_p on the Bergman spaces $A^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D})$ was first investigated by Peller¹⁰ and Axler². The general case was considered by Arazy *et al.*¹. Then many interesting some authors extended the properties of these operators to the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n (see [3, 7, 14, 15]) and other domains (see [6-9, 12]). We first recall some basic concepts. For $0 < p \leq \infty$, H_1 and H_2 are two Hilbert spaces, an operator T from H_1 to H_2 belongs to the Schatten-von Neumann class S_p if the sequence of singular numbers $\{S_n(T)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ belongs to l^p . S_{∞} denotes the class of all bounded operators. Let $0 < p \leq \infty, -\infty < s < +\infty, m$ is a nonnegative integer with $m > s$. The analytic Besov space B_p^s is defined by

$$B_p^s = \left\{ f : (1 - |z|^2)^{m-s} D^m f(z) \in L^p((1 - |z|^2)^{-1} dm(z)) \right\},$$

where $D = \frac{d}{dz}$ is the usual differential operator. Let b_{∞}^s denote the closure of the polynomials in B_{∞}^s . Then

$$b_{\infty}^s = \left\{ f : (1 - |z|^2)^{m-s} |D^m f(z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 1 \right\}.$$

For the further information of S_p and B_p^s we refer to Peller¹⁰, Janson⁵, Zhu¹⁶ and the references therein. In^{4, 5, 7, 11, 15}, the authors investigated the properties for Hankel and Toeplitz type operators between Bergman spaces with different weights. More precisely, let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (-1, \infty)$ denote three parameters, we define $h_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} = \bar{P}_{\alpha} M_{\bar{b}} P_{\beta}$, $T_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} = P_{\alpha} M_{\bar{b}} P_{\beta}$ and $H_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} = (I - P_{\alpha}) M_{\bar{b}} P_{\beta}$ respectively as the operators from $A^{\beta, 2}(\mathbb{D})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_{\gamma})$. Janson⁵ considered the case $\alpha = \gamma$ for the operators $h_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \alpha)}$ and $H_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \alpha)}$. The first author of this paper in⁴ studied the properties of Schatten-von Neumann for $h_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ and $T_b^{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$. The purpose of present paper is to discuss the phenomenon of the operators defined in¹¹ with different weights.

2. MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

Let

$$d_{m, \alpha}^2 = (\alpha + 1) \int_0^1 x^m (1 - x)^\alpha dx = \frac{\Gamma(m + 1) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(m + \alpha + 2)} \asymp (m + 1)^{-(\alpha + 1)}$$

Then we have

$$A^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D}) = \text{span} \left\{ e_m^\alpha(z) = \frac{z^m}{d_{m, \alpha}} : m \geq 0 \right\}.$$

As in Peng¹¹ we let $f_n^{s, \beta} = r^{n^s} e^{in\theta} / d_{n^s, \beta}$, and $B^{\beta, 2}(\mathbb{D}) = \text{span} \{ f_n^{s, \beta} : n \geq 0 \}$. P_β^s is used to denote the corresponding projection operator. The operator $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ with analytic symbol b is defined by

$$T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} f = P_\alpha M_b^s P_\beta^s f, \text{ for } f \in B^{\beta, 2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

If $s = 1, \alpha = \beta = \gamma, T_b^{(1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} = T_b$ is the usual Toeplitz operator on the Bergman space $A^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D})$. If $s \neq 1, T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is called a Hankel-like operator (see¹¹), it can also be called a Toeplitz-like operator. Here we call them Hankel-Toeplitz type operators. It is easy to calculate that

$$\left\langle T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}(f_m^{s, \beta}), e_m^\alpha \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D}d\mu_\gamma)} = \overline{\hat{b}}(n - m) \frac{d_{(n^s + n)/2, \gamma}^2}{d_{n^s, \beta} d_{m, \alpha}}$$

A direct estimate for the matrix coefficients gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_{(n^s + n)/2, \gamma}^2}{d_{n^s, \beta} d_{m, \alpha}} &= \frac{(m + 1)^{(\alpha + 1)/2} (n^s + 1)^{(\beta + 1)/2}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} (n^s + n) + 1 \right)^{(\gamma + 1)}} \\ &\asymp \begin{cases} (n + 1)^{-[(\gamma + 1) - (\beta + 1)/2]s} (m + 1)^{(\alpha + 1)/2}, & \text{for } s \geq 1, \\ (n + 1)^{-[(\gamma + 1) - s(\beta + 1)/2]} (m + 1)^{(\alpha + 1)/2}, & \text{for } 0 < s < 1, \\ (n + 1)^{-(\gamma + 1)} (m + 1)^{(\alpha + 1)/2}, & \text{for } -\infty < s \leq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By the result of Example 1 in¹¹ we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1 — Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > -1$, and $0 < p \leq \infty$.

(1) Suppose that $s \geq 1$

(i) If $1/p < s [(2\gamma - \beta + 1)/2] - (\alpha + 1)/2$, then $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \in S_p$ if and only if

$$b \in B_p^{[(2\gamma - \beta + 1)/2] + s(\alpha + 1)/2 + 1/p}$$

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$, and $1/p \geq s[(2\gamma - \beta + 1)/2] - (\alpha + 1)/2$, then $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$.

(iii) $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is compact if, and only if, $b \in b_\infty^{-s[(2\gamma - \beta + 1)/2] + (\alpha + 1)/2}$

(2) Suppose that $0 < s < 1$.

(i) If $1/p < [(2\gamma - \alpha + 1 - s(\beta + 1))/2]$, then $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{-s[(2\gamma - \beta + 1)/2] + (\alpha + 1)/2 + 1/p}$

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$, and $1 < p \geq [(2\gamma - \alpha + 1) - s(\beta + 1)]/2$, then $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$.

(iii) $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is compact if, and only if, $b \in b_\infty^{-[(2\gamma - \alpha + 1) - s(\beta + 1)]/2}$

(3) Suppose that $-\infty < s \leq 0$.

(i) If $1/p < (2\gamma - \alpha + 1)/2$, then $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{-(2\gamma - \alpha + 1)/2 + 1/p}$

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$ and $1/p \geq (2\gamma - \alpha + 1)/2$, then $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$.

(iii) $T_b^{(s, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$ is compact if, and only if, $b \in b_\infty^{-(2\gamma - \alpha + 1)/2}$

When $s = 1$, part 1) of this theorem is the result of Theorem 6 in⁴. When $s = 1, \gamma = \alpha$, part 1) is also the result of Theorems 3 and 4 in⁵.

In order to show the following results, we first establish a useful lemma. Let T denote the unit circle of complex plane \mathbb{C} , $H^2(T)$ is the Hardy space. The Toeplitz operator T_b is defined by $T_b f = pM_{\bar{b}}f, f \in H^2(T)$, where P is the projection from $L^2(T)$ to $H^2(T)$. Let I^l be the Riesz potential operator of order $l (l \in \mathbb{R})$, which is defined by $\hat{I}^l f(n) = (n + 1)^{-l} \hat{f}(n)$. It is not difficult to compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \langle I^{-l} T_{I^l b} I^{-s} (e^{in\theta}), e^{im\theta} \rangle \right\}_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0} \\ &= \left\{ \hat{b}(n - m) (n - m + 1)^l (n + 1)^s (m + 1)^t \right\}_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0} \end{aligned}$$

$I^{-l} T_{I^l b} I^{-s}$ is called the periodic paracommutator. It is natural to consider the following operator

$$\Gamma_b^{s,t} \sim \left\{ \hat{b}(n - m) A(n, m) (n + 1)^s (m + 1)^t \right\}_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0},$$

where $A(n, m)$ is called the Fourier kernel of $\Gamma_b^{s,t}$. By¹¹ for $\Gamma_b^{s,t}$ we have

Lemma 1 —

(1) Let $A(n, m) \equiv 1$.

(i) If $s \in \mathbb{R}, t > \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{p}\right\}, 0 < p \leq \infty$ and $s + t + \frac{1}{p} < 0$, then $\Gamma_b^{s,t} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{s+t+\frac{1}{p}}$.

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty, s + t + \frac{1}{p} \geq 0$, then $\Gamma_b^{s,t} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$.

(iii) If $s \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, s + t < 0$, then $\Gamma_b^{s,t}$ is compact if and only if $b \in b_\infty^{s+t}$.

(iv) If $t > 0$, then $\Gamma_b^{-t,t}$ is bounded if and only if $b \in L^\infty, \Gamma_b^{-t,t}$ is compact only if $b \equiv 0$.

(2) Let $A(n, m) = \left(\frac{n-m}{n+1}\right)^\nu, \nu > 0, 0 < p \leq \infty$.

(i) If $s \in \mathbb{R}, t > \max\left\{\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-1}{p}\right\}$, and $s + t + \frac{1}{p} < \nu$, then $\Gamma_b^{s,t} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{s+t+\frac{1}{p}}$.

(ii) If $s + t + \frac{1}{p} \geq \nu$, then $\Gamma_b^{s,t} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv C$.

(iii) $\Gamma_b^{s,t}$ is compact if and only if $b \in b_\infty^{s+t}$.

The proof of this lemma can be found in⁴ or in¹¹.

Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers, and $k = \max\{l \in \mathbb{Z}: l < (\alpha + 1)/2\}$. From Peng¹¹ we know that

$$L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k A_l^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D}) \oplus L^2(\rho(\lambda) d\lambda d\theta),$$

where $A_l^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D}) (l=0, 1, \dots, k)$ are called the discrete parts and $L^2(\rho(\lambda) d\lambda d\theta)$ is the continuous part. Furthermore, each component $A_l^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is invariant under the Möbius group $SU(1, 1)$, where

$$SU(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\},$$

and $A_0^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D}) = A^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{D})$ is the weighted Bergman space. Let

$$e_m^{\alpha, 1}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(m+\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+1)}} \frac{(1-z^2 - (m+1)/(m+\alpha+1))z^m}{d_{m+1, \alpha-1}(1-|z|^2)} \quad (m \geq 0),$$

$$e_{-1}^{\alpha, 1}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\alpha+1}} \frac{\bar{z}}{1-|z|^2}.$$

Then we know that

$$A_1^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D}) = \text{span} \left\{ e_m^{\alpha, 1}(z) : m \geq -1 \right\}.$$

Let P_α^l denote the projection from $L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha)$ onto $A_1^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D})$. Define

$$T_b^{(l, l', \alpha, \beta, \gamma)} f = P_\alpha^l M_{\bar{b}} P_\beta^{l'} f \quad \text{for } f \in A_l^{\beta, 2}(\mathbb{D}),$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > -1$. First we deal with the operators $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta, \alpha)}$ and $T_b^{(1, 1; \alpha, \beta, \beta)}$. For simplicity, we write $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta)}$ for $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta, \alpha)}$. Thus we can now prove the following

Theorem 2 — Let $\alpha > 1, \beta > -1$, and $0 < p \leq \infty$.

(i) If $1/p < 1 + (\alpha - \beta)/2$, then $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta)} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{(\beta - \alpha)/2 + 1/p}$

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$ and $1/p \geq 1 + (\alpha - \beta)/2$, then $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv C$.

(iii) $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta)}$ is compact if and only if $b \in b_\infty^{(\beta - \alpha)/2}$.

PROOF : We first calculate the matrix coefficients of $T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta)}$ relative to the bases $\{e_n^\beta : n \geq 0\}$ in $A^{\beta, 2}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\{e_m^{\alpha, 1} : m \geq -1\}$ in $A_1^{\alpha, 2}(\mathbb{D})$. In fact, when $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_b^{(1, 0; \alpha, \beta)}(e_n^\beta), e_m^{\alpha, 1} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_j \frac{\bar{b}(j)}{d_{n, \beta} d_{m+1, \alpha-1}} \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(m+\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+1)}} \\ & \int_{\mathbb{D}} z^n \bar{z}^{j+m} \frac{(1-z^2 - (m+1)/(m+\alpha+1))}{(1-|z|^2)} d\mu_\alpha(z) \\ &= \bar{b}(n-m) \frac{\sqrt{(\alpha^2-1)(m+\alpha+1)}}{d_{n, \beta} d_{m+1, \alpha-1}} (d_{n+1, \alpha-1}^2 - (m+1)/(m+\alpha+1) d_{n, \alpha-1}^2) \\ &= \bar{b}(n-m) \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2-1} d_{n, \alpha-1}^2 (n-m)}{d_{n, \beta} d_{m+1, \alpha-1} (n+\alpha+1) \sqrt{m+\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to the finite rank operators, $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta)}$ is determined by the following matrix:

$$T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta)} \sim \left\{ \bar{b} (n-m) \frac{n-m}{n+1} (n+1)^{-[\alpha-(\beta+1)/2]} (m+1)^{(\alpha-1/2)} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 1 we complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

Let us write $T_b^{(1,1,\alpha,\beta)}$ for $T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\beta,\beta)}$. We get

Theorem 3 — Let $\alpha > 1, \beta > -1$, and $0 \leq p \leq \infty$.

(i) If $1/p < (\beta - \alpha)/2$, then $T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\beta)} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{(\alpha-\beta)/2+1/p}$.

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$ and $1/p \geq (\beta - \alpha)/2$, then $T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\beta)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$.

(iii) If $\beta < \alpha$, then $T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\beta)}$ is compact if and only if $b \in b_\infty^{(\alpha-\beta)/2}$.

(iv) If $\alpha = \beta$, then $T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\alpha)} \in S_\infty$ if and only if $b \in L^\infty, T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\alpha)}$ is compact only if

$b \equiv 0$.

PROOF : For $n, m \geq 0$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_b^{(1,1;\alpha,\beta)} (e_n^{\beta,1}, e_m^{\alpha,1}) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_p)} \\ &= \sum_j \bar{\hat{b}}(j) \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}} \frac{\sqrt{(n+\beta+1)(m+\alpha+1)}}{d_{n+1,\beta-1} d_{m+1,\alpha-1}} \times \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\left(|z|^2 - \frac{m+1}{m+\alpha+1} \right) \left(|z|^2 - \frac{n+1}{n+\beta+1} \right) z^{j+m} z^n}{(1-|z|^2)^2} d\mu_\beta(z) \\ &= \bar{\hat{b}}(n-m) \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}} \frac{\alpha(n+1)}{(n+\beta)\sqrt{(n+\beta+1)(m+\alpha+1)}} \frac{d_{n,\beta-2}^2}{d_{n+1,\beta-1} d_{m+1,\alpha-1}} \end{aligned}$$

By the estimates for Γ -functions we find that

$$T_b^{(1,1;\beta,\alpha)} \sim \left\{ \hat{b} (n-m) (m+1)^{(\alpha-1)/2} (n+1)^{-(\beta-1)/2} \right\}$$

up to the finite rank operators. By Lemma 1 we can obtain the desired result. □

For $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}$, we have the following

Theorem 4 — Let $\alpha > 1, \beta, \gamma > -1, \alpha \neq \gamma$ and $0 < p \leq \infty$.

(i) If $1/p < (2\gamma - \beta - \alpha)/2$, then $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{(\alpha+\beta-2\gamma)/2+1/p}$.

(ii) If $0 < p < \infty$, and $1/p \geq (2\gamma - \beta - \alpha)/2$, then $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$.

(iii) If $\alpha + \beta < 2\gamma$ then $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}$ is compact if and only if $b \in b_\infty^{(\alpha+\beta-2\gamma)/2}$

PROOF : By direct straightforward computation one sees easily that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} (e_n^\beta, e_m^{\alpha,1}) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_\gamma)} \\ &= \overline{\hat{b}}(n-m) \frac{(\gamma+1)}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}} \frac{d_{n,\gamma-1}^2}{d_{n,\beta} d_{m+1,\alpha-1}} \\ & \times \left(\frac{\alpha(n+1)}{(n+\gamma+1)\sqrt{m+\alpha+1}} - \frac{\gamma(m+1)}{(n+\gamma+1)\sqrt{m+\alpha+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} = T_1 - T_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\sim \left\{ \overline{\hat{b}}(n-m)n+1 \right\}^{-(2\gamma-\beta-1)/2} (m+1)^{(\alpha-1)/2}, \\ T_2 &\sim \left\{ \overline{\hat{b}}(n-m)n+1 \right\}^{-(2\gamma-\beta+1)/2} (m+1)^{(\alpha+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 we know that if $1/p < (2\gamma - \beta - \alpha)/2$, then $T_i \in S_p$ ($i = 1, 2$) if and only if $b \in B_p^{(\alpha+\beta-2\gamma)/2+1/p}$. Thus we can see that $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in S_p$ if and only if $b \in B_p^{(\alpha+\beta-2\gamma)/2+1/p}$ this completes the proof of part (i). Similarly, if $1/p \geq (2\gamma - \beta - \alpha)/2$, then $T_i \in S_p$ ($i = 1, 2$) only if $b \equiv 0$. Thus $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in S_p$ only if $b \equiv 0$. Part (iii) can be proved in the same way. \square

When $\alpha = \gamma$, $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}$ is just the operator $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta)}$ in Theorem 2. Comparing the above result with Theorem 2 we can see the difference of S_p property for the operator $T_b^{(1,0;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}$ produced by different weights. This is an interesting phenomenon.

REFERENCES

1. J. Arazy, S. Fisher and J. Peetre, *Amer. J. Math.*, **110** (1988), 989.
2. S. Axler, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 315.
3. J. He, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **259** (2001), 476.
4. J. He and L. Peng, *Sci. in China, Ser. A*, **45:9** (2002), 1154.
5. S. Janson, *Ark. Mat.* **26** (1988), 205.
6. S. Janson and J. Peetre, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **305** (1988), 467.
7. S. Janson, J. Peetre and R. Rochberg, *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana*, **3** (1987), 61.
8. Q. Jiang and L. Peng, *Mathematika*, **41** (1994), 266.

9. Q. Jiang and L. Peng, *Israel J. Math.*, **89** (1995), 157.
10. V. V. Peller, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **5** (1982), 244.
11. L. Peng, *Ark. Mat.* **40** (1993), 345.
12. L. Peng and M. W. Wong, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **6** (2000), 505.
13. L. Peng and C. Xu, *Complex Variables*, **23** (1993), 47.
14. K. Stroethoff, *J. Operator Theory* **23** (1990), 153.
15. R. Wallstén, *Ark. Mat.* **28** (1990), 183.
16. K. Zhu, *Operators theory in function spaces*, Dekker, New York, (1990).