

Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **43**(4): 371-390, August 2012

© Indian National Science Academy

SYMPLECTIC MODULES OVER OVERRINGS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Alpesh M. Dhorajia

Department of Mathematics, IIT Mumbai, Mumbai 400 076, India

e-mail: alpesh@math.iitb.ac.in

*(Received 13 January 2011; after final revision 9 June 2012;
accepted 20 June 2012)*

Let B be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension d and let S be a set of all monic polynomials in $B[X]$. Let A be a subring of $S^{-1}B[X]$ which contains $B[X]$. Let P be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n \geq d$, $n > 0$. Then we prove that $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.

Key words : Projective module; unimodular element; cancellation problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring. A *symplectic* A -module is a pair (P, \langle, \rangle) , where P is a finitely generated projective A -module and $\langle, \rangle : P \times P \rightarrow A$ is a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form.

Let A be a ring of dimension d and P be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n$ with $2n \geq d$. Then Swan [11] has proved that $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$. Further, the above result of Swan is extended to polynomial

rings by Bhatwadekar and Laurent polynomial rings by Keshari in [2] and [6] respectively.

In this paper we prove the following result see (3.9). This generalizes a result of Bhatwadekar ([2], Theorem 4.8).

Theorem A — *Let B be a ring of dimension d and let S be a multiplicative closed subset of $B[X]$ containing monic polynomials. Let A be a ring such that $B[X] \subseteq A \subseteq S^{-1}B[X]$. Let P be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n$ with $2n \geq d$, $n > 0$. Then $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.*

We prove the following result (3.13), which generalizes a result of Keshari ([6], Theorem A.7).

Theorem B — *Let B be a ring of dimension d and $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$, where $g \in B[Y]$ is monic. Let P be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n \geq d$. Then $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.*

The following result (4.3), generalizes a result of Keshari ([6], Theorem A.8).

Theorem C — *Let B be a ring of dimension 2 and $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$, where g is a monic polynomial in $B[Y]$. Let P be a projective A -module of rank 2 with trivial determinant. If A^2 is cancellative, then P is cancellative.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

All rings considered in this paper are assumed to be commutative Noetherian and all modules are finitely generated.

Let A be a ring and let P be a projective A -module. Recall that $p \in P$ is called a unimodular element if there exists a $\phi \in P^* = \text{Hom}_A(P, A)$, such that $\phi(p) = 1$. The set of all unimodular elements of P is denoted by $Um(P)$. A row $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ is called a unimodular row if there exists $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in A^n$ such that $a_1b_1 + \dots + a_nb_n = 1$. The set of all unimodular rows of length n is denoted by $Um_n(A)$.

Let n be a positive integer. Let $GL_n(A)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices over A . $SL_n(A) := \{M \in GL_n(A) \mid \det(M) = 1\}$. Let I be an ideal in A , we define $SL_n(A, I)$ to be kernel of the natural map $SL_n(A) \rightarrow SL_n(A/I)$. When $I = (a)$, we write $SL_n(A, a)$ for $SL_n(A, I)$.

We recall some preliminary facts about symplectic modules. For notations and terminology we follow [2]. For more detail, see ([2], Section 4).

Let A be a ring and let P be a finitely generated projective A -module. A bilinear form $\langle, \rangle : P \times P \rightarrow A$ is called *alternating* if $\langle p, p \rangle = 0 \forall p \in P$. An alternating bilinear form \langle, \rangle induces a homomorphism $\alpha : P \rightarrow P^*$ (defined as $\alpha(p)(q) = \langle p, q \rangle$) such that $\alpha + \alpha^* = 0$. An alternating form \langle, \rangle on P is called *non-degenerate* if the induced homomorphism from P to P^* is an isomorphism.

A *symplectic* A -module is a pair (P, \langle, \rangle) , where P is a finitely generated projective A -module and $\langle, \rangle : P \times P \rightarrow A$ is a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form. If (P, \langle, \rangle) is a symplectic A -module then the rank of P is even and P has trivial determinant.

If (P, \langle, \rangle) and (Q, \langle, \rangle) are two symplectic modules, then the non-degenerate alternating bilinear forms on P and Q will give rise (in a canonical manner) to a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form on $P \oplus Q$ and we denote the symplectic module thus obtained by $(P \perp Q, \langle, \rangle)$. The standard alternating form on A^2 (in the natural basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$) is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Two symplectic modules (P, \langle, \rangle) and (Q, \langle, \rangle) are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\tau : P \rightarrow Q$ such that

$$\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle = \langle \tau(p_1), \tau(p_2) \rangle, \forall p_1, p_2 \in P.$$

An isometry of the symplectic module (P, \langle, \rangle) is an automorphism of (P, \langle, \rangle) . We denote by $Sp(P, \langle, \rangle)$ the group of isometries of (P, \langle, \rangle) . It is easy to see that $SL_2(A) \subseteq Sp(A^2, \langle, \rangle)$.

Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module and let $u, v \in P$ be such that $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$.

Let $a \in A$ and let $\tau_{(a,u,v)} : P \rightarrow P$ be a map defined by

$$\tau_{(a,u,v)}(p) = p + \langle p, v \rangle u + \langle p, u \rangle v + a \langle p, u \rangle u.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\tau_{(a,u,v)} \in Sp(P, \langle, \rangle)$. An isometry $\tau_{(a,u,v)}$ is called a *symplectic transvection* if either u or v is unimodular. We denote by $ESp(P, \langle, \rangle)$ the subgroup of $Sp(P, \langle, \rangle)$, generated by symplectic transvections. $ESp(P, \langle, \rangle)$ is a normal subgroup of $Sp(P, \langle, \rangle)$.

Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module. Let $c, d \in A$, $q \in P$. If $u = (0, 1, 0)$ and $v = (0, c, q) \in A^2 \oplus P$, then $\tau_{(-c,u,v)}$ is a symplectic transvection of $(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that

$$\tau_{(-c,u,v)}((a, b, p)) = (a, b + ca + \langle p, q \rangle, p + aq).$$

Similarly, if $u = (1, 0, 0)$ and $v = (-d, 0, -q)$, then

$$\tau_{(d,u,v)}((a, b, p)) = (a + bd + \langle q, p \rangle, b, p + bq).$$

$E(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ denotes the subgroup of $Sp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ generated by $\Theta_{(c,q)}$ and $\sigma_{(d,q)}$ for $c, d \in A$ and $q \in P$, where $\Theta_{(c,q)}$, $\sigma_{(d,q)}$ are defined as follows:

$$\Theta_{(c,q)}(a, b, p) = (a, b + ca + \langle p, q \rangle, p + aq)$$

$$\sigma_{(d,q)}(a, b, p) = (a + bd + \langle q, p \rangle, b, p + bq)$$

for $(a, b, p) \in A^2 \oplus P$.

Let B be a ring and let $A = B[X]$. Let F be a free A -module with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$. Let $p(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i(X) e_i \in F$, where $\gamma_i(X) \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then, for $b \in B$, we denote by $p(bX)$ the element $\sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i(bX) e_i$ of F .

We state the following result which is due to Bhatwadekar ([2], Theorem 4.8).

Theorem 2 — *Let R be a ring of dimension d . Let A be a polynomial ring in r (≥ 0) variables over R . Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n > 0$. If $2n \geq d$ then $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.*

Remark 2.2 : We have observed that in the above theorem we can replace $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ by $E(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$. Let I be an ideal in A , then clearly the natural map $E(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle) \rightarrow E((A/I)^2 \perp P/IP, \langle, \rangle)$ is surjective.

The following result is due to Keshari ([6], Theorem A.7), which extends the above result of Bhatwadekar to Laurent polynomial ring.

Theorem 3 — *Let B be a ring of dimension d and $A = B[Y_1, \dots, Y_r, X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_r^{\pm 1}]$. Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n \geq d$, then $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.*

3. MAIN THEOREM

We begin this section by proving the following result of Bhatwadekar and Roy ([3], Lemma 4.1).

Lemma 3.1 — Let $B \subset C$ be rings of dimension d and $x \in B$ such that $B_x = C_x$. Then

- (i) $B/(1 + xb) = C/(1 + xb)$ for all $b \in B$.
- (ii) If I is an ideal of C such that $ht(I) \geq d$ and $I + xC = C$, then there exists an element $b \in B$ such that $1 + xb \in I$.

PROOF : (i) Since $B_x = C_x$, by going modulo the ideal $(1 + xb)B_x$ with $b \in B$, the proof follows.

(ii) Since $ht(I) = d$, without loss of generality we may assume that I is a maximal ideal of height d . By hypothesis, localizing C at $x(1 + xB)$, we have $B_{x(1 + xB)} = C_{x(1 + xB)}$. Since $\dim B_{x(1 + xB)} < \dim B$, we have $\dim C_{x(1 + xB)} < d$. Since I is maximal ideal of height d , we get $IC_{x(1 + xB)} = C_{x(1 + xB)}$. Therefore I contains an element of the form $x^m(1 + xa)^n$ for some $a \in B$ and for some positive integers m and n . Since $I + xC = C$ and I is a prime ideal, I contains an element of the form $1 + xb$ for some $b \in B$. This completes the proof of (ii).

The following result is a consequence of a theorem of Eisenbud-Evans as stated

in ([9], p.1420). □

Lemma 3.2 — Let A be a ring and let P be a projective A -module of rank r . Let $(\alpha, a) \in (P^* \oplus A)$. Then there exists an element $\beta \in P^*$ such that $\text{ht}(I_a) \geq r$, where $I = (\alpha + a\beta)(P)$. In particular, if the ideal $(\alpha(P), a)$ has height $\geq r$, then $\text{ht}(I) \geq r$. Further, if $(\alpha(P), a)$ is an ideal of height $\geq r$ and I is a proper ideal of R , then $\text{ht}(I) = r$.

The following lemma is due to Suslin ([10], Lemma 2.1).

Lemma 3.3 — Let B be a ring and let $A = B[X, \frac{F_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{F_r}{g}]$, where $F_i \in B[X]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $g \in B[X]$ is monic. Suppose $f_1, f_2 \in B[X]$ and $c \in (f_1, f_2)B[X] \cap B$. Then for any ideal I of A and $g, h \in A \cap B[X]$ with $h - g \in cI$, there exists $\Delta \in SL_2(A, I)$ such that $[f_1(g), f_2(g)]\Delta = [f_1(h), f_2(h)]$.

We now prove the following extension lemma, which for polynomial ring (i.e. $A = B[X]$) is proved in [7]. Our proof follows using the same argument as in the proof ([7], Lemma 1.1, Chap.3).

Lemma 3.4 — Let B be a ring and let $A = B[X, \frac{F_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{F_r}{g}]$, where $F_i \in B[X]$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $g \in B[X]$ is monic. If I is an ideal of A containing $1 + gH$ for some monic polynomial $H \in B[X]$ and J is an ideal of B such that $I + JA = A$, then $B \cap I + J = B$.

PROOF : Let $R = A/I \supseteq B/(B \cap I)$ and let \bar{J} be the image of J in $B/(B \cap I)$. By hypothesis, we have $JR = R$. Since I contains a polynomial $1 + gH$, it is easy to see that R is integral over $B/(B \cap I)$. Therefore the ‘‘Going-Up’’ Theorem for integral extensions ([8], p.34) implies that $J = B/(B \cap I)$, therefore $B \cap I + J = B$. □

Definition 3.5 — Let A be a ring and s be a non-zero-divisor in A . Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n$. A set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset P$ is called an s -symplectic basis of P if the following holds:

$$(i) \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0 = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

(ii) $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle = s$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ for every $i \neq j$.

Remark 3.6 : Let P be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n$. If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subseteq P$ is s -symplectic basis of P then the module $F := \sum Ae_i + \sum Af_j$ is a free A -submodule of P and $sP \subseteq F$. For the proof see ([2], Lemma 4.2).

Lemma 3.7 — Let B be a reduced ring of dimension d and let $g \in B[X]$ be a monic polynomial. Assume that either

(i) $A = B[X, \frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{g}]$, where $f_i \in B[X]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ or

(ii) $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_m, X, \frac{1}{g}]$.

Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module of rank $2r \geq d$, $r > 0$. Then P has an s -symplectic basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ such that $sP \subseteq F$, where $F := \sum Ae_i + \sum Af_j$ is a free A -submodule of P of rank $2r$.

PROOF : Let S be the set of all non-zero-divisors in B . Since rank of P is constant, $S^{-1}A$ is a PID if A is as in (i) else $S^{-1}A = k[X_1, \dots, X_m, X, \frac{1}{g}]$ for some field k . Using [5], in both the cases $S^{-1}P$ is a free $S^{-1}A$ -module of rank $2r$. Let $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{q}_1 \in S^{-1}P$ such that $\langle \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{q}_1 \rangle = 1$, where \langle, \rangle is an induced form on $S^{-1}P$. Write $S^{-1}P = \tilde{p}_1 S^{-1}A \oplus \tilde{q}_1 S^{-1}A \oplus Q$, where Q is a $S^{-1}A$ -submodule of $S^{-1}P$ of rank $2r - 2$. Apply the same argument to Q , by inductively, there exists $\tilde{p}_2, \dots, \tilde{p}_r$ and $\tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_r$ such that $\langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{q}_i \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{q}_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Also, we have $\langle \tilde{p}_1, \tilde{q}_i \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{q}_1 \rangle = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$.

Choose $t \in S$ such that $\tilde{p}_i = \frac{e_i}{t}$, $\tilde{q}_i = \frac{f_i}{t}$, where $e_i, f_i \in P$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $s = t^2$.

Claim : $\{e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ is an s -symplectic basis of P .

Proof of Claim : We show that (i) $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0 = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and (ii) $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle = s$ and $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ for every $i \neq j$.

For any i, j for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, we have $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle t\tilde{p}_i, t\tilde{p}_j \rangle$. Since $s\langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j \rangle = 0$ in $S^{-1}A$, we have $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $\langle f_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ for

$1 \leq j \leq r$ and $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = 0$ for every $i \neq j$.

For any i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$\langle e_i, f_i \rangle = \left\langle t \frac{e_i}{t}, t \frac{f_i}{t} \right\rangle = t^2 \langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j \rangle = s \langle \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{p}_j \rangle = s.$$

Note that the above expression is computed in $S^{-1}A$. This proves the claim. Now by (3.6), the proof follows. \square

Now we prove the following result which is inspired by and the proof closely follows, Bhatwadekar's result ([2], Proposition 4.7).

Proposition 3.8 — Let B be a ring and let $s \in B$ be a non-zerodivisor. Let $A = B[X, \frac{F_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{F_r}{g}]$, where $F_i \in B[X]$ and $g \in B[X]$ is monic and let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module of rank $2n$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subseteq P$ be an s -symplectic basis of P . Let $(\alpha(X), \beta(X), p(X)) \in Um(A^2 \oplus P)$, where $\alpha(X), \beta(X) \in B[X]$, $p(X) \in \sum_{i=1}^n B[X]e_i + \sum_{j=1}^n B[X]f_j$ with $\alpha(X) \equiv 1$ modulo (sXg) and $\beta(X) = 1 + gH$ with $H \in B[X]$ is monic. Let $b, b' \in B$ be such that $b - b' \in sB$. Then there exists $\Psi \in SL_2(A, sX)ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Psi(\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(bX)) = (\alpha(b'X), \beta(b'X), p(b'X))$.

PROOF : Since $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ is a normal subgroup of $Sp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$, $G = SL_2(A, sX)ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ is a group. Let J be the set of elements $c \in B$ having following property, $b - b' \in csB \Rightarrow \exists \Phi \in G$ such that $\Phi(\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(bX)) = (\alpha(b'X), \beta(b'X), p(b'X))$. Clearly J is non empty. Since G is a group, J is an ideal of B . We prove that $J = B$.

Let $t \in B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)A$ and let $b, b' \in B$ be such that $b - b' \in tsB$. Since $p(X) \in F = \sum B[X]e_i + \sum B[X]f_j$, $p(bX) = p(b'X) - tsq(X)$ for some $q(X) \in F$.

$$\text{Claim : } B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)A = B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)B[X].$$

Proof of Claim : It is enough to prove $B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)A \subset B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)B[X]$. Let $t \in B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)A$ then $t = a\alpha(X)^2 + b\beta(X)^2$, where

$a, b \in A$ and $\beta(X)^2 = 1 + gK$. Multiplying by gK to expression of t , we get the following

$$gKt = agK\alpha(X)^2 + bgK\beta(X)^2.$$

Adding both sides $-t$ and simplifying, we get

$$-t = -t(1 + gK) + agK\alpha(X)^2 + bgK\beta(X)^2.$$

Since $\beta(X)^2 = 1 + gK$, we get

$$t = -agK\alpha(X)^2 + (t - bgK)\beta(X)^2.$$

Applying this argument further if needed, we see that t belongs to the ideal of $B[X]$ generated by $\alpha(X)^2$ and $\beta(X)^2$. This proves the claim.

Now since $t \in (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2) \cap B$, t also belongs to the ideal of $B[X]$ generated by $\alpha(bX)^2$ and $\beta(bX)^2$. By ([2], Lemma 4.3), there exists $\psi \in ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\psi(\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(bX)) = (\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(b'X))$. Since $bX - b'X \in tsXB[X]$, by (3.3), the element $(\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(b'X))$ can be transformed to $(\alpha(b'X), \beta(b'X), p(b'X))$, by an element of the $SL_2(A, sX)$, hence $t \in J$.

Since $\beta(X) = 1 + gH$ with $H \in B[X]$ monic, $B/(B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)) \hookrightarrow A/(\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)$ is an integral extension. Since $(\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2) + sA = A$, by (3.4), we have $B \cap (\alpha(X)^2, \beta(X)^2) + sB = B$. Therefore the above argument shows that $J + sB = B$.

Let m be a maximal ideal of B . If $s \in m$ then $m + J = B$. Now assume that $s \notin m$. To complete the proof, it is enough to show that $m + J = B$. Since $\alpha(X) \equiv 1$ modulo (sXg) and $(\alpha(X), \beta(X), p(X)) \in Um(A^2 \oplus P)$, we have $(\alpha(X), \beta(X), sXp(X)) \in Um(A^2 \oplus P)$. Let “ $-$ ” denote reduction modulo the ideal $(mA, \beta(X))$. Note that $(\overline{\alpha(X)}, \overline{sXp(X)}) \in Um(\overline{A} \oplus \overline{P})$. Then it is easy to see that $g_1 \equiv 1$ modulo $(mA, \beta(X))$, where g_1 is of the form $\alpha(X) + \langle p'_1, sXp(X) \rangle$ for some $p'_1 \in P$. This shows that the ideals $(\alpha(X) + \langle p'_1, sXp(X) \rangle, \beta(X))$ and mA are comaximal. Further $\alpha(X) +$

$\langle p'_1, sXp(X) \rangle + d\beta(X) \in B[X]$ for some suitable $d \in A$. Clearly the ideals $(\alpha(X) + \langle p'_1, sXp(X) \rangle + d\beta(X), \beta(X))$ and $(\alpha(X) + \langle p'_1, sXp(X) \rangle, \beta(X))$ are equal. Therefore we can assume that $g_1 = \alpha(X) + \langle p', sXp(X) \rangle \in B[X]$ for some $p' \in P$ with $\langle p', sXp(X) \rangle \in B[X]$. Also we can take $q(X) = sXp' \in \sum B[X]e_i + \sum B[X]f_j$.

Let $p_1(X) = p(X) + \beta(X)q(X)$ and $\eta(X) = \alpha(X) + \langle q(X), p(X) \rangle$. Clearly $\eta(X) \in B[X]$ and $p_1(X) \in \sum B[X]e_i + \sum B[X]f_j$. Then $\eta(X) \equiv 1$ modulo (sX) and $mA + (\eta(X), \beta(X)) = A$. Moreover, for $b \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{(0,q(bX))}(\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(bX)) &= (\alpha(bX) + \langle q(bX), p(bX) \rangle, \beta(bX), \\ &\quad p(bX) + \beta(bX)q(bX)) \\ &= (\eta(bX), \beta(bX), p_1(bX)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $J_1 = B \cap (\eta(X)^2, \beta(X)^2)$. Since $mA + (\eta(X)^2, \beta(X)^2) = A$ and $\beta(X)^2$ is monic, as before we get $m + (\eta(X)^2, \beta(X)^2) \cap B = B$. Therefore $m + J_1 = B$. Let $t_1 \in J_1$. As before we see that $b, b' \in B$ such that $b - b' \in st_1B$ then there exists $\Phi \in G$ such that $\Phi(\eta(bX), \beta(bX), p_1(bX)) = (\eta(b'X), \beta(b'X), p_1(b'X))$. Therefore

$$\sigma_{(0,q(b'X))}^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \sigma_{(0,q(bX))}(\alpha(bX), \beta(bX), p(bX)) = (\alpha(b'X), \beta(b'X), p(b'X)).$$

Hence $J_1 \subset J$ and thus $m + J = B$. Therefore $J = B$. This completes the proof. \square

Let B be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension d . Let A be a ring such that $B[X] \subseteq A \subseteq S^{-1}B[X]$, where S denotes the multiplicatively closed set of monic polynomials in $B[X]$. Let P be any finitely generated projective A -module then P is regarded as a finitely generated projective D -module, where D is a subring of A generated by $B[X]$ and some finite number of elements of A . Moreover, we may assume that $D \subseteq B[X, \frac{1}{g}]$ for some $g \in S$. Therefore to prove Theorem A, we may assume that (P, \langle, \rangle) is a symplectic $A = B[X, \frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_l}{g}]$ -module.

Theorem 3.9 — *Let B be a ring of dimension d and let $A = B[X, \frac{f_1}{g}, \dots, \frac{f_l}{g}]$, where $g, f_i \in B[X]$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ with g is monic. Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -module of rank $2r \geq d$, $r > 0$. Then $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.*

PROOF : Let $(a_1, a_2, p) \in Um(A^2 \oplus P)$. We want to show that there exists a $\Gamma \in ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Gamma(a_1, a_2, p) = (1, 0, 0)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that B is reduced. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subseteq P$ be s -symplectic basis of P and F be a A -submodule of P defined as in (3.7).

Since $s \in B$ is a non-zerodivisor, $A/(sXg)$ is a ring of dimension d . Moreover, since $2r \geq d$, By Bhatwadekar’s result ([2], Theorem 4.8) and (2.2), there exists $\psi \in ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\psi(a_1, a_2, p) \equiv (1, 0, 0)$ modulo (sXg) . Hence we may assume that $(a_1, a_2, p) \equiv (1, 0, 0)$ modulo (sXg) .

Since P is symplectic, we have $P \cong P^*$. Applying (3.2) to $(a_2, (a_1, p)) \in (A \oplus (A \oplus P)^*)$, there exists $(h, p_1) \in (A \oplus P)^*$ such that $ht(Aa_3 + I) \geq d + 1$, where $(a_3, p_2) = (a_1 + ha_2, p + a_2p_1)$ and $I = p_2(P^*) = \langle P, p_2 \rangle$. Put $\alpha = a_3 + \langle p_1, p \rangle \in A$. Then

$$\sigma_{(h, p_1)}(a_1, a_2, p) = (a_1 + a_2h + \langle p_1, p \rangle, a_2, p + a_2p_1) = (\alpha, a_2, p_2).$$

Since $(a_3, a_2, p) \equiv (1, 0, 0)$ modulo $(sXg)A$ and $\alpha \equiv 1$ modulo $(sXg)A$. Moreover, since $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_1, p \rangle \in I$, we have $(a_3, I)A = (\alpha, I)A$. Write $J = (\alpha, I)A$. Since J is an ideal of A of height $\geq d + 1$ and $J + gA = A$, we can apply (3.1), to the rings $A, B[X]$ and the ideal J . Furthermore, we can assume that J contains a polynomial of the form $1 + g(X)H$, say $\gamma(X)$ for some monic polynomial H in $B[X]$.

Let $\beta(X) = a_2 + \gamma(X)\gamma_1$ for some suitable $\gamma_1 \in A$ such that $\beta(X) \in B[X]$ and is of the form $1 + g(X)K$, for some monic polynomial $K \in B[X]$. Let $\gamma_1\gamma(X) = \mu\alpha + \nu$ for some $\mu \in A$ and $\nu \in (sgX)I$. Since $I = \langle P, p_2 \rangle$, there exist $p_3 \in sgXP$ such that $\nu = -\langle p_3, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_2, p_3 \rangle$. Put $p_4 = p_2 + \alpha p_3$. Then

$$\Theta_{(\mu, p_3)}(\alpha, a_2, p_2) = (\alpha, a_2 + \mu\alpha + \langle p_2, p_3 \rangle, p_2 + \alpha p_3) = (\alpha, \beta(X), p_4).$$

Note that, $(\alpha, p_4) \equiv (1, 0)$ modulo $(sXg)A$.

Since $sXgP \subset F$, write $p_4 = Xg(\sum_{i=1}^r h_i e_i + \sum_{j=1}^r k_j f_j)$ with $h_i, k_j \in A$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Let $h_1 = -\lambda g^{-k} + \tilde{h}_1$, where \tilde{h}_1 is an element of A such that the terms in \tilde{h}_1 have at most $k - 1$ negative powers of g , and $\lambda \in B[X]$. Let $c_0 = (Xg)g^{-k}\lambda$. Then

$$\sigma_{(0, c_0 e_1)}(\alpha, \beta(X), p_4) = (\alpha + c_0 \langle e_1, p_4 \rangle, \beta(X), p_4 + \beta(X)c_0 e_1).$$

Note that if

$$p_4 + c_0 \beta(X) e_1 = (Xg)((-\lambda g^{-k} + \tilde{h}_1 + \lambda g^{-k} \beta(X))e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^r h_i e_i + \sum_{j=1}^r k_j f_j),$$

then the coefficient of e_1 has less negative powers of g than h_1 . Also note that $\alpha + c_0 \langle e_1, p_4 \rangle \equiv 1$ modulo $(sXg)A$. Hence by induction, applying such symplectic transformations one gets $\psi_1(\alpha, \beta(X), p_4) = (\alpha_1, \beta(X), p_5)$ with $p_5 \in \sum B[X]e_i + \sum B[X]f_j$. Now we write p_5 as $p_5(X)$. We still have $\alpha_1 \equiv 1$ modulo $(sXg)A$. Write $\Gamma_1 = \Psi_1 \Theta_{(\mu, p_3)} \sigma_{(h, p_1)}$. Then $\Gamma_1(a_1, a_2, p) = (\alpha_1, \beta(X), p_5(x))$.

Since $\sigma_{(d, 0)}(\alpha_1, \beta(X), p_5(X)) = (\alpha_1 + \beta(X)d, \beta(X), p_5(X))$, for $d \in A$, applying an appropriate such symplectic transformation say ψ_2 , we may assume that $\psi_2(\alpha_1, \beta(X), p_5(X)) = (\alpha_2, \beta(X), p_5(X))$, where $\alpha_2 \in B[X]$ and $\alpha_2 \equiv 1$ modulo $(sXg)B[X]$. Now, we write α_2 as $\alpha_2(X)$. $(\alpha_2(X), \beta(X), p_5(X)) \in Um(A^2 \oplus P)$, with $\alpha_2(X), \beta(X) \in B[X]$, $p_5(X) \in \sum B[X]e_i + \sum B[X]f_j$, where $\beta(X) = 1 + gH$, $H \in B[X]$ is monic.

Since $\beta(X) = 1 + g(X)H$ with $H \in B[X]$ monic, $B/(B \cap (\alpha_2(X), \beta(X))) \hookrightarrow A/(\alpha_2(X), \beta(X))$ is an integral extension. Since $(\alpha_2(X), \beta(X)) + sA = A$, by (3.4), we have $B \cap (\alpha_2(X), \beta(X)) + sB = B$. Therefore there exists $c \in B$ such that $1 - sc \in B \cap (\alpha_2(X), \beta(X))$. Then, writing $b = 1$, $b' = 1 - sc$ and applying (3.8), $\exists \psi_3 \in SL_2(A, sX)ESp(A \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\psi_3(\alpha_2(X), \beta(X), p_5(X)) = (\alpha_2(b'X), \beta(b'X), p_5(b'X))$. Since $\alpha_2(X) \equiv 1$ modulo (sX) , we have $\alpha_2(b'X) \equiv 1$ modulo $(sb'X)$. Moreover, $b' = 1 - sc \in B \cap (\alpha_2(b'X), \beta(b'X))$, and we can even $b' \in B[X]\alpha_2(b'X) + B[X]\beta(b'X)$. Therefore $[\alpha_2(b'X), \beta(b'X)]$ is a unimodular row.

Let $\psi_3 = \Delta^{-1}\phi$, where $\Delta \in SL_2(A, sX)$ and $\phi \in Esp(A \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$. Let $\Delta(\alpha_2(b'X), \beta(b'X)) = (\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X))$. Then $\phi(\alpha_2(X), \beta(X), p_5(X)) = (\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X), p_5(b'X))$. Since $\Delta \in SL_2(A, sX)$, $\alpha_3(X) \equiv 1$ modulo (sX) and $[\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X)]$ is a unimodular row. Write $\Gamma_2 = \phi \circ \psi_2 \circ \Gamma_1$ then $\Gamma_2 \in Esp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ and $\Gamma_2(a_1, a_2, p) = (\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X), p_5(b'X))$ with $[\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X)]$ a unimodular row. Therefore by ([2], Lemma 4.3), there exists $\Phi_1 \in Esp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Phi_1(\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X), p_5(b'X)) = (\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X), e_1)$. Since $\langle e_1, f_1 \rangle = s$, we have $(\alpha_3(X), e_1) \in Um(A \oplus P)$. Therefore by ([2], Lemma 4.4), there exists a $\Phi_2 \in Esp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Phi_2(\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X), e_1) = (1, 0, 0)$. Take $\Gamma = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \Gamma_2$. □

The following lemma is due to Bass ([1], Lemma 4.2).

Lemma 3.10 — Let B be a ring and let $A = B[X]$. Let I be an ideal in A and $L_X(I) = \{b \in B : bX^n + b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + b_0 \in I \text{ for some } b_{n-1}, \dots, b_0 \in B\}$. Then $L_X(I)$ is an ideal and $ht(L_X(I)) \geq ht(I)$.

Corollary 3.11 — Let B be a ring of dimension d and let $A = B[X]$. If I be an ideal in A of height $\geq d + 1$, then I contains a monic polynomial.

Now we prove the following lemma which we will use to prove the next result.

Lemma 3.12 — Let B be a ring of dimension d and $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$, where g is a monic polynomial in $B[Y]$. Let I be an ideal in A of height $\geq d + 1$. Then there exists an automorphism σ of A such that $\sigma(I)$ contains a polynomial of the form $1 + gH$, where H is monic polynomial in Y over $B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

PROOF : We proceed by induction on n . First we prove the statement for $n = 0$. Since $ht(I) \geq d + 1$, we can see that I contains a gK for some monic polynomial $K \in B[Y]$. Since $I + gA = A$, applying (3.1) to $B[Y]$ and A with $x = g$, we get $1 + gh \in I$ for some $h \in B[Y]$. Suitable combination of gK and $1 + gh$ will give the required element.

Assume $n \geq 1$. Consider $L_{X_1}(I)$. By (3.10), $ht(L_{X_1}(I)) \geq ht(I)$. Therefore by the induction hypothesis, the ideal $L_{X_1}(I)$ contains a polynomial of the form

$1 + gL$ for some monic polynomial L in Y over $B[X_2, \dots, X_n]$. Therefore there exists $F(X_1) \in I$ such that $F(X_1) = (1 + gL)X_1^m + g_1X_1^{m-1} + \dots + g_m$, where $g_i \in B[X_2, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ be a B -algebra automorphism defined by

$$\phi(X_1) = X_1 + g^s - g^{-s}, \text{ for some large } s,$$

$$\phi(X_i) = X_i \text{ for } i = 2 \text{ to } n \text{ and}$$

$$\phi(Y) = Y.$$

Choosing s large enough, $\phi(I)$ contains a polynomial $\phi(F(X_1))$ which is of the form $\frac{1}{g^s} + \dots + Lg^{s+1}$. Clearly $g^s\phi(F(X_1)) \in \phi(I)$ is a required element. This completes the proof. \square

For the Laurent polynomial ring (i.e. $g = Y$), the following result is due to Keshari ([6], Theorem A.7). The proof of the following result essentially follows Keshari's proof of ([6], Theorem A.7).

Theorem 3.13 — *Let B be a ring of dimension d and let $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$, where $g \in B[Y]$ is monic. Let (P, \langle, \rangle) be a symplectic A -modules of rank $2r \geq d$, $r > 0$. Then $ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ acts transitively on $Um(A^2 \oplus P)$.*

PROOF : Let $(a_1, a_2, p) \in Um(A^2 \oplus P)$. We want to show that there exists a $\Gamma \in ESp(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Gamma(a_1, a_2, p) = (1, 0, 0)$.

Without loss of generality we can assume that B is reduced. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r\} \subseteq P$ be s -symplectic basis of P and F be a A -submodule of P defined as in (3.7). Let $R = B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Let $F_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r R[Y]e_i + \sum_{j=1}^r R[Y]f_j$. Let P be generated by μ_1, \dots, μ_l as an A -module such that (1) the set μ_1, \dots, μ_l contains $e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_r$, (2) $s\mu_i \in F_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and (3) $\langle \mu_i, \mu_j \rangle \in R[Y]$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$. Let $M = \sum R[Y]\mu_i$. Since $R[Y, \frac{1}{g}] = A$, we have $MA = P$ and $sM \subset F_1$.

Since $s \in B$ is a non-zerodivisor, $B_1 = B[Y, \frac{1}{g}]/(s(g - g(0)))$ is a ring of dimension d and $\bar{A} = A/s(g - g(0))A = B_1[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Moreover, $rk(\bar{P}) \geq d$,

where bar denote reduction modulo the ideal $s(g - g(0))$. Therefore by Bhatwadekar's result (2.1) and (2.2), there exists $\psi \in \text{ESp}(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\psi(a_1, a_2, p) \equiv (1, 0, 0)$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$.

Replacing (a_1, a_2, p) by $\psi(a_1, a_2, p)$, we may assume that $(a_1, a_2, p) \equiv (1, 0, 0)$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$. Since P is symplectic, we have $P \cong P^*$, therefore applying (3.2) to $(a_2, (a_1, p)) \in (A \oplus (A \oplus P)^*)$, there exists $(h, p_1) \in (A \oplus P)^*$ such that $ht(Aa_3 + I) \geq d + 1$, where $(a_3, p_2) = (a_1 + ha_2, p + a_2p_1)$ and $I = p_2(P^*) = \langle P, p_2 \rangle$. Put $\alpha = a_3 + \langle p_1, p \rangle \in A$. Then

$$\sigma_{(h, p_1)}(a_1, a_2, p) = (a_1 + a_2h + \langle p_1, p \rangle, a_2, p + a_2p_1) = (\alpha, a_2, p_2).$$

Since $(a_3, a_2, p) \equiv (1, 0, 0)$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$, we have $\alpha \equiv 1$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$. Moreover, since $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_1, p \rangle \in I$, we have $(a_3, I)A = (\alpha, I)A$. Write $J = (\alpha, I)A$. Then J is an ideal of A of height $\geq d + 1$. By (3.12), after a suitable change of variable, we can assume that J contains a polynomial of the form $1 + gH$, say $\gamma(Y)$, for some monic polynomial $H \in R[Y]$.

Let $\beta(Y) = a_2 + \gamma(Y)\gamma_1$ for some suitable $\gamma_1 \in A$ such that $\beta(Y) \in R[Y]$ and is of the form $1 + gK$, for some monic polynomial $K \in R[Y]$. Let $\gamma_1\gamma(Y) = \mu\alpha + \nu$ for some $\mu \in A$ and $\nu \in s(g - g(0))I$. Since $I = \langle P, p_2 \rangle$, there exist $p_3 \in s(g - g(0))P$ such that $\nu = -\langle p_3, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_2, p_3 \rangle$. Put $p_4 = p_2 + \alpha p_3$. Then

$$\Theta_{(\mu, p_3)}(\alpha, a_2, p_2) = (\alpha, a_2 + \mu\alpha + \langle p_2, p_3 \rangle, p_2 + \alpha p_3) = (\alpha, \beta(Y), p_4).$$

Note that, $(\alpha, p_4) \equiv (1, 0)$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$.

Since $s(g - g(0))P \subset F$, write $p_4 = (g - g(0))(\sum_{i=1}^r h_i e_i + \sum_{j=1}^r k_j f_j)$ for some $h_i, k_j \in A$. Let $h_1 = -\lambda g^{-k} + \tilde{h}_1$, where \tilde{h}_1 is an element of A such that the terms in \tilde{h}_1 have at most $k - 1$ negative powers of g and $\lambda \in R[Y]$. Let $c_0 = (g - g(0))g^{-k}\lambda$. Then

$$\sigma_{(0, c_0 e_1)}(\alpha, \beta(Y), p_4) = (\alpha + c_0 \langle e_1, p_4 \rangle, \beta(Y), p_4 + \beta(Y)c_0 e_1).$$

Note that if

$$p_4 + c_0\beta(Y)e_1 = (g - g(0))((- \lambda g^{-k} + \tilde{h}_1 + \lambda g^{-k}\beta(Y))e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^r h_i e_i + \sum_{j=1}^r k_j f_j),$$

then the coefficient of e_1 has less negative powers of g than h_1 . Also note that $\alpha + c_0\langle e_1, p_4 \rangle \equiv 1$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$. Hence by induction, applying such symplectic transformations one gets $\psi_1(\alpha, \beta(Y), p_4) = (\alpha_1, \beta(Y), p_5)$ with $p_5 \in F_1$. Now we write p_5 as $p_5(Y)$. We still have $\alpha_1 \equiv 1$ modulo $s(g - g(0))A$. Write $\Gamma_1 = \Psi_1\Theta_{(\mu, p_3)}\sigma_{(h, p_1)}$. Then $\Gamma_1(a_1, a_2, p) = (\alpha_1, \beta(Y), p_5(Y))$.

Since $\sigma_{(d,0)}(\alpha_1, \beta(Y), p_5(Y)) = (\alpha_1 + \beta(Y)d, \beta(Y), p_5(Y))$, for $d \in A$, applying an appropriate such transformations, say ψ_2 , we may assume that $\psi_2(\alpha_1, \beta(Y), p_5(Y)) = (\alpha_2, \beta(Y), p_5(Y))$ with $\alpha_2 \in R[Y]$ and $\alpha_2 \equiv 1$ modulo $s(g - g(0))R[Y]$. Now, we write α_2 as $\alpha_2(Y)$. Since $\beta(Y)$ is of the form $1 + gK$, where $K \in R[Y]$, $(\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y), p_5(Y)) \in Um(R[Y]^2 \perp F_1, \langle, \rangle)$. Since $\alpha_2 \equiv 1$ modulo $s(g - g(0))R[Y]$, we have $\alpha_2(Y) \equiv 1$ modulo $sYR[Y]$.

Since $\beta(Y) = 1 + gK$, where $K \in R[Y]$ monic, $R/(R \cap (\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y))) \hookrightarrow A/(\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y))$ is an integral extension. Since $(\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y)) + sA = A$, by (3.4), we have $R \cap (\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y)) + sR = R$. Therefore there exists $c \in R$ such that $1 - sc \in R \cap (\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y))$. Recall that $sM \subset F_1$. Therefore, writing $b = 1, b' = 1 - sc$ and applying ([2], Proposition 4.7), there exists $\psi_3 \in SL_2(R[Y], sY)ESp(R[Y]^2 \perp M, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\psi_3(\alpha_2(Y), \beta(Y), p_5(Y)) = (\alpha_2(b'Y), \beta(b'Y), p_5(b'Y))$. Since $\alpha_2(Y) \equiv 1$ modulo $sYR[Y]$, we have $\alpha_2(b'Y) \equiv 1$ modulo $(sb'Y)R[Y]$. Moreover $b' = 1 - cs \in B \cap (\alpha_2(b'Y), \beta(b'Y))$. Therefore $[\alpha_2(b'Y), \beta(b'Y)]$ is a unimodular row.

Let $\psi_3 = \Delta^{-1}\phi$, where $\Delta \in SL_2(R[Y], sY)$ and $\phi \in E(R[Y]^2 \perp M, \langle, \rangle)$. Let $\Delta(\alpha_2(b'Y), \beta(b'Y)) = (\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y))$. Then

$$\phi(\alpha_2(b'Y), \beta(b'Y), p_5(Y)) = (\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y), p_5(b'Y)).$$

Since $\Delta \in SL_2(R[Y], sY)$, we have $\alpha_3(Y) \equiv 1$ modulo $(sY)R[Y]$ and $[\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y)]$ is a unimodular row. Write $\Gamma_2 = (\phi \otimes A)\psi_2\Gamma_1$ then $\Gamma_2 \in ESp(A^2 \perp$

P, \langle, \rangle) and $\Gamma_2(a_1, a_2, p) = (\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y), p_5(b'Y))$ with $[\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y)]$ a unimodular row. Therefore by ([2], Lemma 4.3), there exists $\Phi_1 \in \text{ESp}(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Phi_1(\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y), p_5(b'Y)) = (\alpha_3(Y), \beta_1(Y), e_1)$. Since $\langle e_1, f_1 \rangle = s$, we have $(\alpha_3(Y), e_1)$ is an element of $Um(A \oplus P)$. Therefore by ([2], Lemma 4.4), there exist a $\Phi_2 \in \text{ESp}(A^2 \perp P, \langle, \rangle)$ such that $\Phi_2(\alpha_3(X), \beta_1(X), e_1) = (1, 0, 0)$. Let $\Gamma = \Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \Gamma_2$. □

4. PROJECTIVE MODULES OVER OVERRINGS OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL RINGS

We begin by stating the following result which is similar to ([2], Lemma 5.2) and whose proof is essentially contained in ([2], Lemma 5.2).

Lemma 4.1 — Let B be a ring of dimension 2 and let $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$, where g is a monic polynomial in $B[Y]$. Let P and Q be two projective A -modules of rank 2 having trivial determinant such that $A^n \oplus P \cong A^n \oplus Q$. Let ω_P and ω_Q be generators of $\wedge^2(P)$ and $\wedge^2(Q)$ respectively. If A^2 is cancellative then there exist co-maximal ideals I and I_1 of A with $\text{ht}(I) = 2$ and $\text{ht}(I_1) = 2$ or $I_1 = A$, there exist surjections $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ and $\beta : Q \twoheadrightarrow I \cap I_1$ and there exist $\theta : A^2 \twoheadrightarrow I_1$ and isomorphisms $\delta : Q/IQ \xrightarrow{\sim} P/IP$ and $\delta_1 : Q/I_1Q \xrightarrow{\sim} (A/I_1)^2$ such that

(i) $(\alpha \otimes A/I)\delta = \beta \otimes A/I$.

(ii) $(\theta \otimes A/I_1)\delta_1 = \beta \otimes A/I_1$.

(iii) $\wedge^2(\delta)(\omega_Q \otimes A/I) = \omega_P \otimes A/I$.

(iv) $\wedge^2(\delta_1)(\omega_Q \otimes A/I_1) = (e_1 \wedge e_2) \otimes A/I_1$, where $e_1 = (1, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1)$.

Now we state the following result whose proof is implicit in ([4], Theorem 7.2) and ([2], Proposition 5.3).

Proposition 4.1 — Let A be a ring and let P be a projective A -module of rank 2 with trivial determinant. Let ω_P be a generator of $\wedge^2(P)$. Let $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ and $\theta : A^2 \twoheadrightarrow I_1$ be surjections, where I and I_1 are ideals of height 2 which are co maximal. Then there exists a projective A -module P_1 of rank 2 with trivial

determinant, a generator ω_1 of $\wedge^2(P_1)$, a surjection $\beta_1 : P_1 \twoheadrightarrow I \cap I_1$ and maps $\lambda_1 : P_1 \rightarrow P$ and $\lambda_2 : P_1 \rightarrow A^2$ such that

$$(i) \alpha\lambda_1 = \beta_1 = \theta\lambda_2.$$

$$(ii) \wedge^2\lambda_1(\omega_1) = u\omega_P \text{ with } u - 1 \in I.$$

$$(iii) \wedge^2\lambda_2(\omega_1) = \nu(e_1 \wedge e_2) \text{ with } \nu - 1 \in I_1, \text{ where } e_1 = (1, 0), e_2 = (0, 1).$$

$$(iv) (A^2, (e_1 \wedge e_2)) \perp (P, \omega_P) \cong (A^2, e_1 \wedge e_2) \perp (P_1, \omega_1).$$

For the Laurent polynomial ring (i.e. $g = Y$), the following result is due to Keshari ([6], Theorem A.7). The proof closely follows ([6], Theorem A.7).

Theorem 4.3 — *Let B be a ring of dimension 2 and $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{g}]$, where g is a monic polynomial in $B[Y]$. Let P be a projective A -module of rank 2 with trivial determinant. If A^2 is cancellative, then P is cancellative.*

PROOF : Let Q be a projective A -module of rank 2 such that $A \oplus P \cong A \oplus Q$. We want to show that $P \cong Q$. Choose $I, I_1, \beta, \theta, \delta$ and δ_1 as in (4.1). Choose corresponding $P_1, \omega_1, \beta_1, \lambda_1$ and λ_2 as in (4.2). By (3.13), the symplectic modules (P, ω_P) and (P_1, ω_1) are isomorphic.

Let $K = I \cap I_1$. Then we have surjections $\beta_1 : P_1 \twoheadrightarrow K$ and $\beta : Q \twoheadrightarrow K$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma} : P_1/KP_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} Q/KQ$ be an isomorphism defined as $\tilde{\Gamma} \otimes A/I = \delta^{-1}(\lambda_1 \otimes A/I)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} \otimes A/I_1 = \delta_1^{-1}(\lambda_2 \otimes A/I_2)$. Then it is easy to see that $\wedge^2(\tilde{\Gamma})(\omega_1 \otimes A/K) = \omega_Q \otimes A/K$ and $(\beta \otimes A/K)\tilde{\Gamma} = \beta_1 \otimes A/K$. Therefore, by ([2], Lemma 3.5), the symplectic modules (P_1, ω_1) and (Q, ω_Q) are isomorphic. Hence there exists an isomorphism $\Delta : P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$ such that $\wedge^2(\Delta)(\omega_P) = \omega_Q$. \square

Proposition 4.4 — *Let B be a smooth affine domain of dimension 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{f}]$, where f is a monic polynomial in $B[Y]$. Then A^2 is cancellative.*

PROOF : Take f instead of Y in the proof of ([6], Proposition A.9). \square

As an application of (4.3), we get the following result, which is proved in ([5],

Corollary 4.4).

Corollary 4.5 — Let B be a smooth affine domain of dimension 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let $A = B[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y, \frac{1}{f}]$, where f is a monic polynomial in $B[Y]$. Then every projective A -module of rank 2 with trivial determinant is cancellative.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I sincerely thanks to my advisor Manoj Kumar Keshari for many helpful discussions. I sincerely thanks the referees for carefully going through the manuscript and suggesting many improvements in the exposition.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Liberation des modules projectifs sur certains anneaux de polynomes*, In; Seminaire Bourbaki, 1973/1974, Lecture Notes in Math. **431** Springer-Verlag, 1975, pp. 228-254.
2. S. M. Bhatwadekar, Cancellation theorem for projective modules over a two dimensional ring and its polynomial extensions, *Compositio Math.*, **128** (2001), 339-359.
3. S. M. Bhatwadekar and A. Roy, Stability theorems for overrings of polynomial rings, *Invent. Math.*, **68** (1982), no. 1, 117-127.
4. S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan, The Euler class group of a noetherian ring, *Compositio Mathematica*, **122** (2000), 183-222.
5. A. M. Dhorajia and M. K. Keshari, Projective modules over overrings of polynomial rings, *J. Algebra*, **323** (2010), 551-559.
6. M. K. Keshari, Euler class group of a Laurent polynomial ring: local case, *J. Algebra* **308**(2) (2007), 666-685
7. T. Y. Lam, Serre's Problem on Projective Modules, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **635**, Springer-verlag, 1978.

8. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1984).
9. B. Plumstead, The conjecture of Eisenbud and Evans, *Am. J. Math.*, **105** (1983), 1417-1433.
10. A. A. Suslin, On the structure of the special linear group over polynomial rings, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **11** (1977), 221-238.
11. R. G. Swan, *Serre's problem*. Conference on Commutative Algebra-1975 (Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1975), pp. 1-60.