

FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATOR FOR L^1 VECTOR FIELDS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Zhibing Zhang

School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui University of Technology,

Ma'anshan 243032, P. R. China

e-mail: zhibingzhang29@126.com

(Received 18 March 2017; accepted 27 October 2017)

This paper studies fractional integral operator for vector fields in weighted L^1 . Using the estimates on fractional integral operator and Stein-Weiss inequalities, we can give a new proof for a class of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities and establish new div-curl inequalities for vector fields.

Key words : Stein-Weiss inequality; fractional integral operator; L^1 vector fields; div-curl inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $0 < \lambda < n$, the fractional integral operator I_λ is defined by

$$I_\lambda f(x) = (-\Delta)^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} f(x) = C_{n,-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\lambda}} dy,$$

which is also called the Riesz potential. It is well-known that I_λ is a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $1/q = 1/p - \lambda/n$ and $1 < p < n/\lambda$, which is so-called Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality. Stein and Weiss [15] established a doubly weighted generalization as follows.

Theorem 1.1 — [15]. *Let $0 < \lambda < n$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha < \frac{n}{p}$, $\beta < \frac{n}{q}$, $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$, and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha + \beta - \lambda}{n}$. If $p \leq q < \infty$, then there exists a constant C , independent of f , such that*

$$\left\| |x|^{-\beta} I_\lambda f \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha f \|_{L^p}.$$

De Nápoli, Drelichman and Durán [7] found that if we consider radially symmetric functions, then Theorem 1.1 holds for a wider range of exponents. In fact, they pointed out that for radially

symmetric functions if $p > 1$, Theorem 1.1 holds for $\alpha + \beta \geq (n-1)(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})$; if $p = 1$, Theorem 1.1 holds for $\alpha + \beta > (n-1)(\frac{1}{q} - 1)$.

As we are interested in the extreme case $p = 1$ of Stein-Weiss inequality, we turn to the end-point estimates for L^1 vector fields, which was pioneered by Bourgain and Brezis [1]. For any vector-valued function $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\mathbf{u} = (-\Delta)^{-1}\mathbf{f} = \Gamma * \mathbf{f}$ be the Newtonian potential of \mathbf{f} , where Γ is defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|x|, & n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|(n-2)|x|^{n-2}}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

For $n \geq 2$, Bourgain and Brezis [2, 3] proved that

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^{n'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}\|_{\dot{W}^{-2, n'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}), \quad n' = n/(n-1). \quad (1.1)$$

Maz'ya [13] established the weighted inequalities related to (1.1) as follows:

(1) Let $1 \leq q < n'$, $\beta = 1 - n(1 - \frac{1}{q})$ and $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = 0$. Then it holds that

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (1.2)$$

(2) Let $1 < q < n'$, $\beta = 1 - n(1 - \frac{1}{q})$, $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then it holds that

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u}}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (1.3)$$

Soon after, Bousquet and Mironescu [4] gave a short proof of Maz'ya's result with improvements. They found that the inequality (1.3) holds also for $q = 1$. In fact, using Leray decomposition and a similar trick used in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we see that the inequality (1.2) and the result of Bousquet and Mironescu are equivalent, see Remark 3.6. Inspired by these inequalities, we try to extend the range of exponents that Theorem 1.1 holds for weighted vector fields. We introduce a more general fractional integral operator T_λ defined by

$$T_\lambda f(x) = K * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y)f(y)dy,$$

where the kernel $K(x)$ satisfies

$$(i) |K(x)| \leq C|x|^{\lambda-n}, \text{ if } |x| \neq 0; \quad (ii) |K(x-y) - K(x)| \leq C \frac{|y|}{|x|^{n+1-\lambda}}, \text{ if } |y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}. \quad (1.4)$$

Our main result is that

Theorem 1.2 — *Let $n \geq 2$, $0 < \lambda < n$, $\alpha < 1$, $\beta < \frac{n}{q}$, $\alpha + \beta > 0$, $\frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta - \lambda}{n}$. Suppose that $K(x)$ satisfies the conditions in (1.4). If $1 \leq q < \infty$, then*

$$\left\| |x|^{-\beta} T_\lambda \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \left(\| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1} + \| |x|^\alpha \nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1} \right). \tag{1.5}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations that have appeared in the context. Section 3 shows the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, applying the new inequality and Stein-Weiss inequality, we give a new proof to Hardy inequality as well as a class of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities and obtain new div-curl inequalities for vector fields.

2. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. The notation $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of n -dimensional vector-valued functions that are infinitely differentiable and have compact supports in Ω . Let $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the dual space of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. The space $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \Omega) = \{ \mathbf{v} \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in L^p(\Omega) \}$$

and is provided with the norm

$$\| \mathbf{v} \|_{\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)} = \| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \| \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

We denote by $\mathbf{H}_0^p(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ in $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$. It is well-known that $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Proposition 3.1 below implies that $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ has the same property, i.e., $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbf{H}_0^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

We recall the definition of curl operator. It is defined as a matrix of order $n \geq 2$. We denote the elements of the matrix $\operatorname{CURL} \mathbf{v}$ by

$$\operatorname{CURL}_{ij} \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ for any } \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

For a matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n^2})$, where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we define its divergence by

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{1j}}{\partial x_j}, \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{2j}}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{nj}}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

For any $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$\operatorname{div} \operatorname{CURL} \mathbf{v} = \Delta \mathbf{v} - \nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}). \tag{2.1}$$

Throughout this paper, bold typeface will indicate vector or matrix quantities; normal typeface will be used for vector and matrix components and for scalars. To simplify the notations, we write $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.2

Proposition 3.1 — Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbf{H}_0^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF : It suffices to show that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Set $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon = \eta_\varepsilon * \mathbf{v}$, where η is the standard mollifier. We have that $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(B_2(0))$ be a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ and $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $B_1(0)$. Set $\mathbf{v}_k^\varepsilon(x) = \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(x)\zeta(\frac{x}{k})$, then $\mathbf{v}_k^\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. By the definition of divergence operator, we get

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_k^\varepsilon(x) = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(x)\zeta(\frac{x}{k}) + \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(x) \cdot (\nabla \zeta)(\frac{x}{k}).$$

Therefore, for any fixed ε , we have

$$\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_k^\varepsilon - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p \leq C \left(\int_{|x|>k} |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon|^p dx + \frac{1}{k} \|\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{v}_k^\varepsilon - \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^p \leq \int_{|x|>k} |\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon|^p dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the above two inequalities and using the fact that $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k = k(\varepsilon)$ such that $\mathbf{v}_k^\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in $\mathbf{H}^p(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathbf{H}^1(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, by the divergence theorem and an approximation argument, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 — For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} dx = 0.$$

Let $\rho_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \rho_0 \leq 1$ and

$$\rho_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ 0, & t \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We denote $\rho(y, x) = \rho_0\left(\frac{|y|}{|x|}\right)$ for $(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. We extract a lemma from the proof of the main theorem in [4], but in a simple case.

Lemma 3.3 — Let $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{f} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = 0$. Then we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) \mathbf{f}(y) dy \right| \leq C \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{|y|}{|x|} |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy.$$

PROOF : For any $|x| \neq 0$, we have $y_i \rho(y, x) \mathbf{f}(y) \in \mathbf{H}^1(\operatorname{div}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\operatorname{div}(y_i \rho(y, x) \mathbf{f}(y)) = \nabla_y (y_i \rho(y, x)) \cdot \mathbf{f}(y) + y_i \rho(y, x) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = \nabla_y (y_i \rho(y, x)) \cdot \mathbf{f}(y).$$

By Corollary 3.2, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div}(y_i \rho(y, x) \mathbf{f}(y)) dy = 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) f_i(y) + \frac{y_i}{|y||x|} \rho'_0\left(\frac{|y|}{|x|}\right) \sum_{j=1}^n y_j f_j(y) dy = 0.$$

So we get

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) f_i(y) dy \right| \leq C \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{|y|}{|x|} |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy. \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 : First, we claim that Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the following statement.

Claim 3.4 : Let $n \geq 2$, $0 < \lambda < n$, $\alpha < 1$, $\beta < \frac{n}{q}$, $\alpha + \beta > 0$, $\frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta - \lambda}{n}$ and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = 0$. If $1 \leq q < \infty$, then

$$\left\| |x|^{-\beta} \mathbf{T}_\lambda \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \left\| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^1}. \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to see that Claim 3.4 is a special case of Theorem 1.2. We only need to derive Theorem 1.2 from Claim 3.4. We decompose \mathbf{f} as $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} - \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}$, which is called the Leray decomposition of \mathbf{f} . $\mathbf{f} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}$ is the divergence-free part while $\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}$ is the curl-free part. Since $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{f} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}) = 0$, by Claim 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{-\beta} \mathbf{T}_\lambda (\mathbf{f} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left\| |x|^\alpha (\mathbf{f} + \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C (\left\| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^1} + \left\| |x|^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, set $(g_i, g_j) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}, -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f})$, $g_k = 0$ if $k \neq i, j$. Then we have $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{g} = 0$. By Claim 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| |x|^{-\beta} \mathbf{T}_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \right) \right\|_{L^q} + \left\| |x|^{-\beta} \mathbf{T}_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \right) \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq 2 \left\| |x|^{-\beta} \mathbf{T}_\lambda \mathbf{g} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \left\| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{g} \right\|_{L^1} \leq C \left\| |x|^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\| |x|^{-\beta} T_\lambda(\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}) \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \left\| |x|^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \right\|_{L^1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, the inequality (3.1) follows from the inequalities (3.2) and (3.3).

Hence, we only need to prove the case of $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = 0$. The benefit of this observation is that there is no need to deal with the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_i \rho(y, x) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}(y) dy$ in Lemma 3.3 for \mathbf{f} is a divergence-free vector field, which is different from [4].

The proof of Claim 3.4 consists of the following steps.

Step 1 : We write $T_\lambda \mathbf{f}(x) = J_1(x) + J_2(x)$, where

$$J_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) K(x - y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy, \quad J_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \rho(y, x)) K(x - y) \mathbf{f}(y) dy.$$

By the condition (i) in (1.4) and generalized Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{-\beta} J_2(x) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left\| |x|^{-\beta} \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{4}} \frac{|\mathbf{f}(y)|}{|x - y|^{n-\lambda}} dy \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{|x| \leq 4|y|} \frac{|x|^{-\beta q}}{|x - y|^{(n-\lambda)q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \leq 4|y|} \frac{|x|^{-\beta q}}{|x - y|^{(n-\lambda)q}} dx &= \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|y|}{2}} \frac{|x|^{-\beta q}}{|x - y|^{(n-\lambda)q}} dx + \int_{\frac{|y|}{2} \leq |x| \leq 4|y|} \frac{|x|^{-\beta q}}{|x - y|^{(n-\lambda)q}} dx \\ &\leq C \left(|y|^{(\lambda-n)q} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{|y|}{2}} |x|^{-\beta q} dx + |y|^{-\beta q} \int_{|x-y| \leq 5|y|} |x - y|^{(\lambda-n)q} dx \right) \\ &= C |y|^{n-\beta q + (\lambda-n)q} = C |y|^{\alpha q}, \end{aligned}$$

here we require $n - \beta q > 0$ and $n + (\lambda - n)q > 0$, then we get

$$\left\| |x|^{-\beta} J_2(x) \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^\alpha |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy. \quad (3.4)$$

Step 2 : We write $J_1(x) = J_{11}(x) + J_{12}(x)$, where

$$J_{11}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) (K(x - y) - K(x)) \mathbf{f}(y) dy, \quad J_{12}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) K(x) \mathbf{f}(y) dy.$$

Thus by generalized Minkowski's inequality and the condition (ii) in (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{-\beta} J_{11}(x) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\rho(y, x) |x|^{-\beta} |K(x-y) - K(x)|)^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{|x| \geq 2|y|} |x|^{(\lambda-n-1-\beta)q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |y| |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|y|^{(\alpha-1)q})^{\frac{1}{q}} |y| |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^\alpha |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

here we require $n + (\lambda - n - 1 - \beta)q < 0$, i.e., $\alpha < 1$.

Step 3 : At last we deal with the term $J_{12}(x)$. By the condition (i) in (1.4), we have

$$|J_{12}(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{|x|^{n-\lambda}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(y, x) \mathbf{f}(y) dy \right|.$$

Using Lemma 3.3 and generalized Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |x|^{-\beta} J_{12}(x) \right\|_{L^q} &\leq C \left\| |x|^{\lambda-n-\beta} \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{|y|}{|x|} |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy \right\|_{L^q} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{|x| \geq 2|y|} |x|^{(\lambda-n-\beta-1)q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |y| |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^\alpha |\mathbf{f}(y)| dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

here we require $n + (\lambda - n - \beta - 1)q < 0$.

Combining the inequalities (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain the result. □

Remark 3.5 : Let $\mathbf{u} = (-\Delta)^{-1} \mathbf{f}$. For $n \geq 3$, using Theorem 1.2 with $K(x) = |x|^{2-n}$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C (\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^1} + \|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}\|_{L^1}),$$

where $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-2}$, $\beta = 2 + n(\frac{1}{q} - 1)$. If we set $K(x) = \frac{x_j}{|x|^n}$ in Theorem 1.2, then we can see that our result generalizes the inequality (1.3) in a doubly weighted form.

Remark 3.6 : Applying inequality (1.2) to \mathbf{g} as the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can get

$$\left\| \frac{\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}\|_{L^1},$$

where $1 \leq q < n'$, $\beta = 1 - n(1 - \frac{1}{q})$. Using this inequality, we can derive inequality (1.3) for $1 \leq q < n'$ from inequality (1.2) by the same method used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. APPLICATIONS

There are many proofs to Hardy inequality [6, p. 111]. Here we give a new proof of Hardy inequality by the theory of singular integrals. If $1 < p < n$, we can use Theorem 1.1 to prove Hardy inequality

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \text{ for any } u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In fact, for any $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have the equality (see [8, Lemma 7.14])

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nabla u(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy.$$

If $p > 1$, by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|} \right\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \left\| \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\nabla u(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}.$$

But Theorem 1.1 doesn't work when $p = 1$. Our theorem make it possible to prove the case $p = 1$. In view of (2.1), for any function $\mathbf{f} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1} + \| |x|^\alpha \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1} \leq 2(\| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1} + \| |x|^\alpha \operatorname{div}(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{CURL} \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1}).$$

Therefore, for divergence-free or curl-free smooth vector fields with compact support, the term $\| \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1}$ can be removed in (1.5). If $p = 1$, since

$$\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \nabla u = -\nabla u \text{ or } \operatorname{CURL} \nabla u = \mathbf{0},$$

setting $K(x) = \frac{x_j}{|x|^n}$ in Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{u}{|x|} \right\|_{L^1} &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \left\| \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nabla u(y)}{|x-y|^n} dy \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x-y|^n} \nabla u(y) dy \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the same idea, we can give a new proof of a class of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities (see [5]) as follows:

(1) Let $n \geq 2$. If $\alpha < 1$, $\beta < \frac{n}{q}$, $0 < \alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta - 1}{n}$, then

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^1}. \quad (4.1)$$

(2) If $1 < p < \infty, \alpha < \frac{n}{p'}, \beta < \frac{n}{q}, \alpha + \beta \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{n}, p \leq q < \infty$, then

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \nabla u \|_{L^p}. \tag{4.2}$$

Take $\alpha = 0$ in the inequality (4.1), we get

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \| \nabla u \|_{L^1},$$

where $n \geq 2, \beta = 1 - n(1 - \frac{1}{q})$ and $1 \leq q < n'$.

Another application is to establish some new weighted div-curl inequalities. By the way, we point out that div-curl inequalities involving L^1 norm have been studied by [2, 10, 11, 14, 16, 17] and the references therein.

Theorem 4.1 — Let $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

(1) Let $n \geq 3, \alpha < 1, \beta < \frac{n}{q}, 0 < \alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{n}$. If $\text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0$, then

$$\left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \text{CURL } \mathbf{u} \|_{L^1}.$$

(2) Let $n \geq 2, 1 < p < \infty, \alpha < \frac{n}{p'}, \beta < \frac{n}{q}, \alpha + \beta \geq 0$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{n}$. If $p \leq q < \infty$, then

$$\left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}}{|x|^\beta} \right\|_{L^q} \leq C (\| |x|^\alpha \text{div } \mathbf{u} \|_{L^p} + \| |x|^\alpha \text{CURL } \mathbf{u} \|_{L^p}).$$

PROOF : By Green’s representation formula and the identity (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y)(-\Delta \mathbf{u})(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y)(-\text{div CURL } \mathbf{u} - \nabla(\text{div } \mathbf{u}))(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_y \Gamma(x-y) \cdot \text{CURL } \mathbf{u}(y) + \nabla_y \Gamma(x-y) \text{div } \mathbf{u}(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} \cdot \text{CURL } \mathbf{u}(y) + \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} \text{div } \mathbf{u}(y) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where the dot product between a vector \mathbf{v} and a matrix $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n)^T$ is defined by $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}_n)$.

If $p > 1$, then by Theorem 1.1, we obtain the second inequality.

Next we turn to the case $p = 1$ and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$. For $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, set $(f_i, f_j, f_k) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}) \times (u_i, u_j, u_k)$, $f_l = 0$ if $l \neq i, j, k$. Then we have $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} = 0$. Applying Theorem 1.2 to \mathbf{f} , then we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|x|^\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x_m - y_m}{|x - y|^n} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_i} \right) (y) dy \right\|_{L^q} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \mathbf{f} \|_{L^1} \leq C \| |x|^\alpha \operatorname{CURL} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^1},$$

for any $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then using (4.3) with $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$, we get the first inequality. \square

We can give another proof to the second inequality in Theorem 4.1. We first state the following two facts:

(1) For any $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds that

$$\nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{CURL} \mathbf{u} - \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}),$$

(2) If $1 < p < \infty$ and $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < \frac{n}{p'}$, then $|x|^{\alpha p}$ is in the class A_p (see [12, Proposition 1.4.4]).

Using the above two results and the idea of proof given in [9], we can generalize [9, Lemma 2.4] to any dimension $n \geq 2$:

If $1 < p < \infty$ and $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < \frac{n}{p'}$, then for any $\mathbf{u} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have the following weighted inequality for div-curl-grad operators

$$\| |x|^\alpha \nabla \mathbf{u} \|_{L^p} \leq C (\| |x|^\alpha \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^p} + \| |x|^\alpha \operatorname{CURL} \mathbf{u} \|_{L^p}). \quad (4.4)$$

By Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (4.2) and the above inequality, we can also obtain the second conclusion of Theorem 4.1. However, this method is not applicable for the case $p = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Xingbin Pan for guidance and constant encouragement. I also would like to thank Dr. Xingfei Xiang for introducing me some inequalities involving L^1 -norm, Deliang Chen, Yong Zeng and Dr. Huyuan Chen for useful discussions and suggestions. The work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grant no. 11171111 and by Outstanding Doctoral Dissertation Cultivation Plan of Action (PY2015038).

REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, On the equation $\operatorname{div} Y = f$ and application to control of phases, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16**(2) (2002), 393-426.

2. J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, New estimates for the Laplacian, the div-curl, and related Hodge systems, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **338** (2004), 539-543.
3. J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, New estimates for elliptic equations and Hodge type systems, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **9**(2) (2007), 277-315.
4. P. Bousquet and P. Mironescu, An elementary proof of an inequality of Maz'ya involving L^1 vector fields, Nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations, 59-63, *Contemp. Math.*, **540**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
5. L. A. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, *Compos. Math.*, **53**(3) (1984), 259-275.
6. F. Demengel and G. Demengel, *Functional spaces for the theory of elliptic partial differential equations*, Universitext, Springer, London, 2012, Translated from the 2007 French original by Reinie Ern e.
7. P. L. De N apoli, I. Drelichman and R. G. Dur an, On weighted inequalities for fractional integrals of radial functions, *Ill. J. Math.*, **55**(2) (2011), 575-587.
8. D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Reprint of the 1998 edition.
9. Q. S. Jiu, Y. Wang and Z. P. Xin, Global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of two-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations in weighted spaces, *J. Differential Equations*, **255**(3) (2013), 351-404.
10. L. Lanzani and E. M. Stein, A note on div curl inequalities, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **12**(1) (2005), 57-61.
11. A. Loulit, Weighted estimates for L^1 -vector fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142**(12) (2014), 4171-4179.
12. S. Z. Lu, Y. Ding and D. Y. Yan, *Singular integrals and related topics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.
13. V. Maz'ya, Estimates for differential operators of vector analysis involving L^1 -norm, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **12**(1) (2010), 221-240.
14. I. Mitrea and M. Mitrea, A remark on the regularity of the div-curl system, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**(5) (2009), 1729-1733.
15. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Fractional integrals on n -dimensional Euclidean space, *J. Math. Mech.*, **7** (1958), 503-514.
16. J. Van Schaftingen, Limiting fractional and Lorentz space estimates of differential forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **138**(1) (2010), 235-240.
17. X. F. Xiang and Z. B. Zhang, Hardy-type inequalities for vector fields with vanishing tangential components, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **143**(12) (2015), 5369-5379.