

INTEGRAL BASIS OF PURE PRIME DEGREE NUMBER FIELDS¹

Anuj Jakhar and Neeraj Sangwan

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Mohali Sector-81,

S. A. S. Nagar 140 306, Punjab, India

e-mails: anujjakhar@iisermohali.ac.in; neerajsan@iisermohali.ac.in

(Received 11 June 2017; accepted 28 May 2018)

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be an extension of the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers where θ satisfies an irreducible polynomial $x^p - a$ of prime degree belonging to $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. In this paper, we give explicitly an integral basis for K using only elementary algebraic number theory. Though an integral basis for such fields is already known (see [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **11** (1910), 388-392]), our description of integral basis is different and slightly simpler. We also give a short proof of the formula for discriminant of such fields.

Key words : Rings of algebraic integers; integral basis and discriminant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Computation of discriminant as well as integral basis of an algebraic number field has been one of the most challenging problems in algebraic number theory. In 1897, Landsberg [3] gave a formula for the discriminant of algebraic number fields of the type $\mathbb{Q}(a^{\frac{1}{p}})$ of prime degree p over the field \mathbb{Q} of rationals. In 1900, Dedekind [2] found explicitly an integral basis for all pure cubic fields. In 1910, Westlund [6] described an integral basis for all pure prime degree number fields. In this paper, we give a short proof of the formula for the discriminant of all such fields besides explicitly constructing an integral basis of these fields which is slightly simpler than the one given in [6]. Precisely stated, we prove:

Theorem 1.1 — Let p be a prime number and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be an algebraic number field with discriminant d_K , where θ is a root of an irreducible polynomial $F(x) = x^p - a$ belonging to $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

¹The financial support from IISER Mohali is gratefully acknowledged by the authors.

Assume that a is not divisible by the p^{th} power of any prime. Let q run over all distinct primes dividing a . Then the following hold:

(i) When either $p|a$ or $p \nmid a$ and $p^2 \nmid (a^p - a)$, then $d_K = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} \text{sgn}(a^{p-1}) p^p \prod_{q|a} q^{p-1}$.

(ii) When $p^2 \mid (a^{p-1} - 1)$, then $d_K = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} \text{sgn}(a^{p-1}) p^{p-2} \prod_{q|a} q^{p-1}$.

The following theorem describes an integral basis for K .

Theorem 1.2 — Let p , $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, $F(x)$ be as in the above theorem. Assume that a is p^{th} power-free which is expressed as $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i^i$ with each a_i squarefree and a_i, a_j coprime for $i \neq j$. Let γ_i denote the element $\theta^i / \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j^{l_{i,j}}$ of K , where $l_{i,j}$ stands for the largest integer not exceeding $\frac{ij}{p}$. Then the following hold:

(i) If $p^2 \nmid (a^{p-1} - 1)$, then the set $\{1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}\}$ is an integral basis of K .

(ii) If $p^2 \mid (a^{p-1} - 1)$, then $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}\}$ is an integral basis of K , where $\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (a'\theta)^i$ and a' is any integer so that $aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

For any algebraic number field K , A_K will denote its ring of algebraic integers, d_K its discriminant and for a maximal ideal \wp of A_K , $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\wp)$ will denote the absolute norm of \wp . If $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a vector space basis of K/\mathbb{Q} , then $D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ will stand for the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix with (i, j) -th entry $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)$, Tr stands for the trace mapping. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with α an algebraic integer, then as is well known (cf. [5, Proposition 2.9])

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) = d_K [A_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]^2; \quad (1)$$

further if $g(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} , then one has

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(g'(\alpha)). \quad (2)$$

The index $[A_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ will be denoted by $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$. We shall use the following basic lemma proved in [5, Lemma 2.17].

Lemma 2.A — Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be an algebraic number field with α an algebraic integer. If the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} is an Eisenstein polynomial with respect to a prime p , then p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$.

Lemma 2.1 — Let $F(x) = x^p - a$, θ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be as in Theorem 1.2. For any prime $q \neq p$ dividing a , the exact power of q dividing d_K is $p - 1$.

PROOF : Let $q \neq p$ be a prime dividing a . Then q divides a_j for exactly one j , $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$. Choose a number i , $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$, such that $ij \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Set $k = \frac{ij-1}{p}$. It can be easily checked that the element $\frac{\theta^i}{a_j^k} = \xi$ (say) belonging to $K \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ satisfies the polynomial $x^p - b$ over \mathbb{Z} , where $b = a_j \prod_{m=1, m \neq j}^{p-1} a_m^{im}$. As a_j is squarefree and q divides a_j , the minimal polynomial $x^p - b$ of ξ is an Eisenstein polynomial with respect to q . So by Lemma 2.A, q does not divide $Ind(\xi)$. Keeping in mind (1) and (2), we see that

$$(Ind(\xi))^2 d_K = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(p\xi^{p-1}) = \pm p^p b^{p-1}. \tag{3}$$

Since $q \nmid Ind(\xi)$, the above equation shows that the exact power of q dividing d_K is $p - 1$. \square

The following well known results will be used in the sequel (see [5, Proposition 6.1] for Theorem 2.B and [5, Theorem 4.24] for Theorem 2.C).

Theorem 2.B — Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in A_K$ and $g(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} . If for a prime p , $g(x)$ has the factorization $g_1(x) \cdots g_r(x)$ as a product of irreducible polynomials over the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers, then $pA_K = \wp_1^{e_1} \cdots \wp_r^{e_r}$, where \wp_i 's are distinct prime ideals of A_K with $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\wp_i) = p^{f_i}$ and $e_i f_i = \deg(g_i(x))$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Moreover the completion $K_{\wp_i} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p(\alpha_i)$ where α_i is a root of $g_i(x)$.

Theorem 2.C — Let p be a prime number and K an algebraic number field with $pA_K = \wp_1^{e_1} \cdots \wp_r^{e_r}$, where \wp_1, \dots, \wp_r are distinct prime ideals of A_K and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\wp_i) = p^{f_i}$. If $(p, e_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, then the exact power of p dividing d_K is $\sum_{i=1}^r f_i(e_i - 1)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

By hypothesis a is p^{th} power-free, so it can be written as $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i^i$ with each a_i squarefree and a_i, a_j coprime for $i \neq j$. Using (1) and (2), we see that

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{p-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(F'(\theta)) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} p^p a^{p-1} = Ind(\theta)^2 d_K. \tag{4}$$

It follows from the above equation that

$$sgn(d_K) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} sgn(a^{p-1}). \tag{5}$$

Consider first the case when p divides a . So $p|a_j$ for a unique j , $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$. Fix a number i such that $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ and $ij \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Define $k = \frac{ij-1}{p}$ and $\xi = \frac{\theta^i}{a_j^k}$. Arguing exactly as in the

proof of Lemma 2.1, it can be seen that $p \nmid \text{Ind}(\xi)$ and (3) holds, which implies that the exact power of p dividing d_K is $2p - 1$. It now follows from Lemma 2.1 that $d_K = \text{sgn}(d_K)p^{2p-1} \prod_{q|a, q \neq p} q^{p-1}$ and hence the theorem is proved in this case in view of (5).

Now consider the case when $p \nmid a$ and $p^2 \nmid (a^p - a)$. In this case $F(x + a)$ is an Eisenstein polynomial with respect to p . So by virtue of Lemma 2.A, p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\theta - a) = \text{Ind}(\theta)$. Using Lemma 2.1 and (4), we conclude that $d_K = \text{sgn}(d_K)p^p \prod_{q|a} q^{p-1}$, which proves the theorem in the present case.

Finally consider the case when $p^2 \mid (a^{p-1} - 1)$. In this case, we prove the theorem when p is an odd prime as the result is trivially true when $p = 2$. We first show that a is a p -th power in the ring \mathbb{Z}_p of p -adic integers. By hypothesis $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 + bp^2 \pmod{p^3}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Keeping in mind that $p > 2$, it can be easily seen that $1 + bp^2 \equiv (1 + bp)^p \pmod{p^3}$. So $\frac{a^p}{a(1+bp)^p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}$. Applying Hensel's Lemma [1, Chapter 1, Section 5, Theorem 3], we see that $\frac{a^p}{a(1+bp)^p}$ is a p -th power in \mathbb{Z}_p and hence so is a , say $a = c^p$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then the polynomial $F(x + c) = (x + c)^p - c^p = xg(x)$, where $g(x) = x^{p-1} + c\binom{p}{1}x^{p-2} + c^2\binom{p}{2}x^{p-3} + \dots + pc^{p-1}$. As $F(x + c) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ and the constant term pc^{p-1} of $g(x)$ is not divisible by p^2 , it follows that $g(x)$ is an Eisenstein polynomial with respect to p . Therefore for any root η of $g(x)$, $\mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)$ is a totally ramified extension of the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers having degree $p - 1$ (cf. [5, Theorem 5.27]). Consequently the factorization $F(x) = (x - c)g(x - c)$ of $F(x)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p shows that $pA_K = \wp_1\wp_2^{p-1}$ in view of Theorem 2.B, where \wp_1, \wp_2 are distinct prime ideals of A_K with $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\wp_i) = p$. It now follows from Theorem 2.C that $v_p(d_K) = p - 2$. Hence $d_K = \pm p^{p-2} \prod_{q|a} q^{p-1}$ by virtue of Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof of the theorem in view of (5).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The following simple lemma is already known (cf. [4, Problem 434]). For reader's convenience, we prove it here.

Lemma 4.A — Let p be a prime number and $l_{i,j}$ denote the largest integer not exceeding $\frac{ij}{p}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p - 1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} l_{i,j} = \frac{(p-1)(i-1)}{2} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

PROOF : Let $S = \{(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq j \leq p - 1, 1 \leq k \leq i - 1\}$. It is clear that the number of elements of S (to be denoted by $\#S$) is $(p - 1)(i - 1)$. Now let $S_1 = \{(j, k) \in S \mid ij > pk\}$ and $S_2 = \{(j, k) \in S \mid ij < pk\}$. Since $(i, p) = 1$, there is no point of S with integer coordinates on the

line $y = ix/p$, and hence $S_1 \cup S_2 = S$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. By symmetry we have $\#S_1 = \#S_2 = \frac{\#S}{2} = \frac{(p-1)(i-1)}{2}$. For a fixed integer j chosen arbitrarily in the interval $[1, p-1]$, there are exactly $l_{i,j}$ points of S with integer coordinates of abscissa j located below the line $y = ix/p$. Therefore $\frac{(p-1)(i-1)}{2} = \#S_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq ij/p} 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} l_{i,j}$. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. : It can be easily checked that the element $\gamma_i = \frac{\theta^i}{\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j^{l_{i,j}}}$ satisfies the

polynomial $x^p - \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j^{ij - pl_{i,j}}$ and hence is an algebraic integer because $ij \geq pl_{i,j}$.

First we consider the case when $p^2 \nmid (a^{p-1} - 1)$. In this case the transition matrix from $\{1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}\}$ to $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{p-1}\}$ has determinant $\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i^{l_{i,j}}$ which is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i^{\frac{(p-1)(i-1)}{2}}$ by virtue of Lemma 4.A. Now using a basic result (cf. [5, Proposition 2.9]), we see that

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{p-1}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} |a_i|^{\frac{(p-1)(i-1)}{2}} \right)^2 D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}).$$

Comparing the above equation with (4), we see that

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} p^p a^{p-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} |a_i|^{(p-1)(i-1)}}.$$

Keeping in mind that $a = \text{sgn}(a) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} |a_i|^i$, a simple calculation shows that the above equation can be rewritten as

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} \text{sgn}(a^{p-1}) p^p \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} |a_i|^{p-1}.$$

Since the right hand side of the last equation equals d_K by Theorem 1.1(i), we conclude that the subset $\{1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}\}$ of A_K is an integral basis of K .

Consider now the case when $p^2 \mid (a^{p-1} - 1)$. We shall soon prove that γ_0 is an algebraic integer. Using Lemma 4.A, we see that the transition matrix from $\{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}\}$ to $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{p-1}\}$ has determinant $p \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i^{\frac{(p-1)(i-1)}{2}}$. Now arguing exactly as in the previous case, it can be shown that

$$D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{p-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} \text{sgn}(a^{p-1}) p^{p-2} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} |a_i|^{p-1}.$$

By Theorem 1.1(ii), the right hand side of the above equation equals d_K . So the theorem is proved once we show that γ_0 is an algebraic integer. Denote $p\gamma_0$ by $\eta = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (a'\theta)^i$; multiplying this equality

by $(a'\theta - 1)$ on both sides and using the fact that $\theta^p = a$, we see that

$$a'\theta\eta = \eta + aa'^p - 1.$$

Now taking p^{th} power on both sides and again using $\theta^p = a$, we obtain

$$aa'^p\eta^p = \sum_{r=0}^p \binom{p}{r} \eta^{p-r} (aa'^p - 1)^r,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(aa'^p - 1)\eta^p = \sum_{r=1}^p \binom{p}{r} \eta^{p-r} (aa'^p - 1)^r. \quad (6)$$

Note that $aa'^p \neq 1$ because the equality $aa'^p = 1$ implies $a = \pm 1$ when p is odd and $a = 1$ when $p = 2$, which is impossible in view of the irreducibility of $x^p - a$ over \mathbb{Q} . On dividing (6) by $aa'^p - 1$, we have

$$\eta^p = \sum_{r=1}^p \binom{p}{r} \eta^{p-r} (aa'^p - 1)^{r-1}.$$

Thus η satisfies the polynomial $x^p - \sum_{r=1}^p \binom{p}{r} x^{p-r} (aa'^p - 1)^{r-1}$ and hence $\frac{\eta}{p} = \gamma_0$ satisfies the polynomial $x^p - \sum_{r=1}^p \frac{\binom{p}{r}}{p} x^{p-r} \left(\frac{aa'^p - 1}{p}\right)^{r-1} = g(x)$ (say). It only remains to verify that $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. For this it is enough to show that $aa'^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. The last congruence quickly follows from the hypothesis $(aa')^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ and $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p^2}$. This proves that γ_0 is an algebraic integer and hence the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

1. Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number theory*, Academic Press, 1966.
2. R. Dedekind, Über die Anzahl der Idealklassen in reinen kubischen Zahlkörpern, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **121** (1900), 40-123.
3. G. Landsberg, Ueber das Fundamental system und die Discriminante der Gattungen algebraischer Zahlen, welche aus Wurzelgrößen gebildet sind, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **117** (1897), 140-147.
4. J. M. de Koninck and A. Mercier, 1001 Problems in classical number theory, *American Mathematical Society*, Providence Rhode Island, 2007.
5. W. Narkewicz, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
6. J. Westlund, On the fundamental number of the algebraic number field $k(\sqrt[p]{m})$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **11** (1910), 388-392.