

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR φ -CONTRACTION MAPPINGS IN PROBABILISTIC GENERALIZED MENGER SPACE

Shahnaz Jafari and Maryam Shams

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Shahrekord,

Shahrekord 88186-34141, Iran

e-mails: jafari.shahnaz@yahoo.com; Maryam.shams@sci.sku.ac.ir

(Received 16 September 2018; accepted 27 February 2019)

In this paper, we define the concepts of φ -contraction in probabilistic generalized Menger space. We prove that φ -contraction mappings in probabilistic generalized Menger space have a unique fixed point. Also we prove some fixed point theorems related this concept. Some examples are given to support the obtained results.

Key words : Probabilistic \mathbb{G} -Menger space; contraction mappings; continuous t -norm; fixed point; distribution function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 47H09, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1963 Gähler introduced 2-metric spaces [4] and Ha *et al.* announced 2-metric spaces and usual metric spaces aren't related to each other [5]. Dhage [3] presented the concept of generalized metric spaces. After it Mustafa and Sims expressed a new approach to this spaces [10, 11]. This concept, specially in related fixed point theory, has been further used in many works, [8, 9, 12]. Similar work can be found in [13, 15]. Altering distance function is defined by Khan *et al.* [6]. This concept is further extended to Menger spaces by Choudhury and Das in [2], where a generalized contractive condition has been defined with the help of such function and a unique fixed point result has been established. In 2014, Zhou *et al.* defined the Menger probabilistic \mathbb{G} -metric space and defined some basic concepts in this space [16]. Afterward in 2015 Alsulami and his coworkers investigated φ -contraction mappings in PGM-spaces [1]. In this paper, we define the concepts of φ -contraction mappings in probabilistic generalized Menger space and prove that φ -contraction mappings in probabilistic generalized Menger space have a unique fixed point. We first bring notions, definitions and

known results, which are related to our work. The reader may gain extra information referring to [7, 14] and [16].

Definition 1.1 — If a mapping $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing, left continuous with $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 0$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} F(t) = 1$, is called a distribution function.

We will refer by D the set of all the distribution functions and the certain distribution function of this set referred by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.2 — If a mapping $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is associative, commutative, non-decreasing and $T(a, 1) = a$, is called t-norm.

Definition 1.3 — [16]. A Menger probabilistic \mathbb{G} -metric space (shortly, $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space) is a triplet (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) , where S is a non-empty set, T is a continuous t -norm and \mathbb{G}^* is a function from S^3 to the D satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(s) = 1$, for all $x, y, z \in S$ and $s > 0$ iff $x = y = z$;
- (b) $\mathbb{G}_{x,x,y}^*(s) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(s)$, for all $x, y, z \in S$ with $z \neq y$ and $s > 0$;
- (c) $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*$ is a symmetric function of its three variables;
- (d) $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(t+s) \geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x,a,a}^*(t), \mathbb{G}_{a,y,z}^*(s))$, for all $x, y, z, a \in S$ and $s, t > 0$.

Definition 1.4 — [16]. Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space and x_0 be any point in S , for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$, an (ε, λ) -neighborhood of x_0 is the set of all points $y \in S$ for which $\mathbb{G}_{x_0,y,y}^*(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ and $\mathbb{G}_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$. We write

$$N_{x_0}(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X : \mathbb{G}_{x_0,y,y}^*(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \text{ and } \mathbb{G}_{y,x_0,x_0}^*(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\},$$

which means that $N_{x_0}(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ is the set of all points $y \in S$ for which the probability of the distance from x_0 to y being less than ε is greater than $1 - \lambda$.

Definition 1.5 — [16]. Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space.

- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset S$ is said to be convergent to $x \in S$ ($x_n \rightarrow x$) if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ we can find a positive integer $M_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ such that for all $n > M_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$,

$$\mathbb{G}_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \lambda.$$

- (2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence if given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, there exists a positive integer $M_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ such that $\mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_m,x_l}^*(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n, m, l > M_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$.
- (3) A *PGM*-space (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in S is convergent to a point x in S .

Definition 1.6 — A *t*-norm T is said to be a Hadžić-type if family $\{T^n\}_{n>0}$ of its iterates defined for each $t \in [0, 1]$ by $T^1(t) = T(t, t)$ and, in general, for all $n > 1, T^n(t) = T(t, T^{n-1}(t))$ is equi-continuous at $t = 1$, that is, given $\lambda > 0$ there exists $\eta(\lambda) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\eta(\lambda) < t \leq 1 \Rightarrow T^n(\eta(\lambda)) \geq 1 - \lambda \text{ for all } n > 0.$$

Remark 1.7 : [17]. Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a *PGM*-space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in S . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to a point $x \in S$,
- (ii) $\mathbb{G}_{x,x,x_n}^*(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $t > 0$,
- (iii) $\mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_n,x}^*(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 1.8 — [16]. Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a *PGM*-space. Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ be sequences in S and $x, y, z \in S$. If $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then, for any $t > 0, \mathbb{G}_{x_n,y_n,z_n}^*(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Alsulami *et al.* [1] defined a function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $t > 0$, there exists r with $r \geq t$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(r) = 0$ and the collection of all φ -functions denoted by ϕ_w . While regarding above but we will use the definition of Choudhury, is presented in [2], that it is: $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a strictly increasing, left continuous function such that φ is continuous at 0, $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$. Also we show the collection of such functions by ϕ . Furthermore, it should be notified that the collection of function ϕ_w is different from the collection ϕ , for example, we consider $\varphi(t) = t$, then, $\varphi \in \phi$ but φ is not belong to ϕ_w .

The collection of all continuous non-decreasing functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi^n(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, whenever $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is shown by Ψ .

2. φ -CONTRACTION MAPPINGS AND FIXED POINT

In this section we introduce the notion of φ -contraction and prove some fixed point theorems about this type of contraction mappings in *PGM*-space.

Definition 2.1 — Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a PGM -space and f be a self map on S . The mapping $f : S \rightarrow S$ will be called φ -contraction if

$$\mathbb{G}_{fx, fy, fz}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$, $0 < c < 1$, $t > 0$ and $x, y, z \in S$.

Lemma 2.2 — Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a PGM -space with T of Hadzic-type and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in S . If we have

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi$, $0 < c < 1$, $t > 0$, $n \geq 1$ and $x, y, z \in S$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S .

PROOF : By induction on (2.2), we get

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x_0, x_1, x_1}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c^n}\right)\right).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Now, let us prove that

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq T^k(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct))), \quad \text{for } k > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

By induction, for $k = 1$, it is clear. Now assume (2.4) holds for some $k > 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) &= \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct) + \varphi(ct)) \\ &\geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct)), \mathbb{G}_{x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+1}}^*(\varphi(ct))) \\ &\geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct)), \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}}^*(\varphi(t))) \\ &\geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct)), T^k(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct)))) \\ &= T^{k+1}(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct))), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

so (2.4) holds. Let us now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S . At first we prove that

$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(\varphi(t)) = 1$ for any $t > 0$. Let $t > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Because of property of T , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $s \in (1 - \delta, 1]$,

$$T^n(s) > 1 - \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.3), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) - \varphi(ct) = 1$. Thus there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t) - \varphi(ct)) \in (1 - \delta, 1]$ for any $n \geq n_0$. Hence by (2.4) and (2.6), we get $\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+k}, x_{n+k}}^*(\varphi(t)) > 1 - \epsilon$ for any $k > 0$. This shows $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(\varphi(t)) = 1$, for any $t > 0$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$. From properties of (PGM) -space, we have

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_l}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_n, x_m}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{2}), \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_n, x_l}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{2})). \tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_n, x_m}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{2}) \geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{4}), \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_m}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{4})), \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_n, x_l}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{2}) \geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_l, x_l}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{4}), \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_l, x_l}^*(\frac{\varphi(t)}{4})). \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, from (2.8), (2.9) and since T is continuous, in (2.7) we get

$$\lim_{m, n, l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_l}^*(\varphi(t)) = 1, \tag{2.10}$$

for any $t > 0$. On the other hand, for $s > 0$ we can choose $t > 0$ such that $\varphi(t) < s$. Hence from (2.10) we have $\lim_{m, n, l \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_m, x_l}^*(s) = 1$. This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S . This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3 — Assume that (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a PGM -space and $\varphi \in \phi$. If there exists a $c \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})), \quad t > 0$$

where $x, y, z \in S$, then $x = y = z$.

PROOF : By induction, we have

$$\mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c^2})) \geq \dots \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c^n})).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*(\varphi(t)) = 1$, that is, $x = y = z$. \square

Corollary 2.4 — Let (S, \mathbb{F}, T) be a PM -space with T of Hadžić-type and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in S . If there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mathbb{F}_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{F}_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } t > 0,$$

then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

PROOF : Define $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(\varphi(t)) = \min\{\mathbb{F}_{x,y}(\varphi(t)), \mathbb{F}_{y,z}(\varphi(t)), \mathbb{F}_{x,z}(\varphi(t))\}$ for all $x, y, z \in S$ and all $t > 0$. Examples 1.6 in [16] shows that (X, \mathbb{G}^*, T) is a $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space. Since $\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) = \mathbb{F}_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(\varphi(t))$ and $\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) = \mathbb{F}_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))$, hence $\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $t > 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the sense of $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) . By the definition of \mathbb{G}^* we have

$$\min\{\mathbb{F}_{x_n, x_m}(\epsilon), \mathbb{F}_{x_m, x_l}(\epsilon), \mathbb{F}_{x_n, x_l}(\epsilon)\} > 1 - \delta, \quad m, l, n > M_{\epsilon, \delta}.$$

This shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the sense of PM -space (S, \mathbb{F}, T) . \square

Theorem 2.5 — Suppose (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a complete $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space with T of Hadžić-type. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a φ -contraction. Then for any $x_0 \in S$, the sequence $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to unique fixed point of f .

PROOF : Let x_0 in S be an arbitrary point. Let us consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = f^n x_0$ for all $n \geq 0$. By (2.1), for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) &= G_{f x_{n-1}, f x_n, f x_n}^*(\varphi(t)) \\ &\geq G_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 it follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S . Since S is complete, there exists a point $x \in S$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, By (2.1), it follows that

$$G_{f x, f x_n, f x_n}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq G_{x, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})).$$

Taking \lim as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $f x_n \rightarrow x$, we have

$$G_{f x, x, x}^*(\varphi(t)) = 1,$$

for any $t > 0$. Hence $x = f x$. It is sufficient to show that x is a unique fixed point of the mapping f . Therefore, suppose that f has another fixed point. Therefore for all $t > 0$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{G}_{x,y,y}^*(\varphi(t)) = \mathbb{G}_{f x, f y, f y}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x,y,y}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})),$$

so by Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $x = y$. Hence the fixed point of f is unique.

Theorem 2.6 — Let (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a complete $P\mathbb{G}M$ -space with T of Hadžić-type. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\mathbb{G}_{f x, f y, f z}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \frac{1}{3} [\mathbb{G}_{x, f x, f x}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + \mathbb{G}_{y, f y, f y}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + \mathbb{G}_{z, f z, f z}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))] \quad (2.11)$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$, where $c \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $x_0 \in S$, the sequence $\{f^n x_0\}$ converges to a unique fixed point of f .

PROOF : Take an arbitrary point x_0 in X . Construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = f^n x_0$ for all $n \geq 0$. By (2.11), for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) &= \mathbb{G}_{fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n}^*(\varphi(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + 2\mathbb{G}_{x_n, fx_n, fx_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} [\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + 2\mathbb{G}_{x_n, fx_n, fx_n}^*(\varphi(t))] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} [\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + 2\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t))]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.12) we get

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) - \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \frac{1}{3} [\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))],$$

hence $\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))$. According to Lemma 2.2, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S . Since S is complete, there exists a point $x \in S$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.11), it follows that

$$\mathbb{G}_{fx, fx, fx}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \frac{1}{3} [2\mathbb{G}_{x, fx, fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + \mathbb{G}_{x_n, fx_n, fx_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))].$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $fx_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have, for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{fx, fx, x}^*(\varphi(t)) &\geq \frac{1}{3} [2\mathbb{G}_{x, fx, fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + \mathbb{G}_{x, x, x}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} [2\mathbb{G}_{x, fx, fx}^*(\varphi(t)) + \mathbb{G}_{x, x, x}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))], \end{aligned}$$

hence, $\mathbb{G}_{fx, fx, x}^*(\varphi(t)) - \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{G}_{fx, fx, x}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{G}_{x, x, x}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))$. This shows that

$$\mathbb{G}_{fx, fx, x}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, x, x}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) = 1.$$

Hence $x = fx$.

Next, suppose that y is an another fixed point of f . Then by (2.11), we have for any $y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x, y, y}^*(\varphi(t)) &= \mathbb{G}_{fx, fy, fy}^*(\varphi(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} [\mathbb{G}_{x, fx, fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})) + 2\mathbb{G}_{y, fy, fy}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))] \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x = y$. Therefore, f has a unique fixed point in S . This complete the proof. \square

Theorem 2.7 — Assume that (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a complete PGM-space, T is continuous, $T(a, a) \geq a$ and let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be non-empty closed subsets in S . If $f : \cup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) f(A_1) \subseteq A_2, f(A_2) \subseteq A_3, \dots, f(A_{n-1}) \subseteq A_n \text{ and } f(A_n) \subseteq A_1,$$

$$(ii) \mathbb{G}_{f x, f y, f z}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right),$$

for all $x \in A_i$ and $y, z \in A_{i+1}$ ($A_{n+1} = A_1$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$) where $c \in (0, 1)$ and $t > 0$. Then f has a unique fixed point in $\cap_{i=1}^n A_i$.

PROOF : Let x_0 be any arbitrary point in $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Define $x_n = f x_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$. As $x_0 \in A_1$, $f x_0 \in A_2$, so in general there exists $i_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_n \in A_{i_n}$, $x_{n+1} \in A_{i_{n+1}}$ for each $n \geq 0$. For given $t > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right).$$

According to the Lemma 2.2, it is easy to conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in S . Since S is complete, there exists $x \in S$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. On the other hand, the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ has an infinitive number of terms in A_i , for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From each A_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we can extract a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ that converges to x . Since A_i is closed, we conclude that $x \in \cap_{i=1}^n A_i$. We shall prove that x is a fixed point of f . For $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $\delta > 0$ with $0 < \varphi(\delta) < \varepsilon$. Therefore for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{f x, x, x}^*(\varepsilon) &\geq T\left(\mathbb{G}_{f x, x_n, x_n}^*(\varphi(\delta)), \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x, x}^*(\varepsilon - \varphi(\delta))\right) \\ &\geq T\left(\mathbb{G}_{x, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{\delta}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x_n, x, x}^*(\varepsilon - \varphi(\delta))\right). \end{aligned}$$

With limiting the two side of relation, it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{G}_{f x, x, x}^*(\varepsilon) = 1$, that is, $f x = x$. Next, suppose that f has another fixed point y . Therefore, for all $t > 0$, we get

$$\mathbb{G}_{x, y, y}^*(\varphi(t)) = \mathbb{G}_{f x, f y, f y}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x, y, y}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right),$$

so by Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $x = y$. Hence f has a unique fixed point in $\cap_{i=1}^n A_i$.

Theorem 2.8 — Assume that (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a complete PGM-space, T is continuous and $T(a, a) \geq a$. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{f x, f y, f z}^*(\varphi(t)) &\geq \min \left\{ \mathbb{G}_{x, y, z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x, f x, f x}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{y, f y, f y}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{z, f z, f z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathbb{G}_{x, f y, f y}^*\left(2\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{y, f z, f z}^*\left(2\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x, f z, f z}^*\left(2\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$ and for all $t > 0$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $c \in (0, 1)$. Then f has a unique fixed point in S .

Let $x_0 \in S$ and define $x_n = fx_{n-1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n = x_{n+1}$, it is clear that $f(x_n) = x_n$. Therefore we suppose $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2.13), for all $t > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{G}_{fx,fy,fz}^*(\varphi(t)) &\geq \min \left\{ \mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{y,fy,fy}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \right. \\
 &\quad \mathbb{G}_{z,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x,fy,fy}^*\left(2\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{y,fz,fz}^*\left(2\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \\
 &\quad \left. \mathbb{G}_{x,fz,fz}^*\left(2\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{y,fy,fy}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \right. \\
 &\quad \mathbb{G}_{z,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), T\left(\mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{fx,fy,fy}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right)\right), \\
 &\quad T\left(\mathbb{G}_{y,fy,fy}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{fy,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right)\right), \\
 &\quad \left. T\left(\mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{fx,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right)\right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{y,fy,fy}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \right. \\
 &\quad \mathbb{G}_{z,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{fx,fy,fy}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{fy,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \\
 &\quad \left. \mathbb{G}_{fx,fz,fz}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right) \right\}. \tag{2.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to show that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Therefore by using (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) &= \mathbb{G}_{fx_{n-1},fx_n,fx_n}^*(\varphi(t)) \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \right. \\
 &\quad \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \\
 &\quad \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x_{n+1},x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \\
 &\quad \left. \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right), \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that

$$\mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(t)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*\left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right). \tag{2.15}$$

If we consider

$$\min\{\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c}))\} = \mathbb{G}_{x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+1}}^*(\varphi(\frac{t}{c})),$$

then by Lemma 2.3, we get that $x_n = x_{n+1}$, which leads to contradiction. Therefore (2.15) holds true. So by Lemma 2.2, we deduce that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in S . Therefore, $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $x \in S$. We will show that x is a fixed point of f . By Definition 1.3(d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(t) &\geq T(\mathbb{G}_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(t - \varphi(\delta)), \mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\delta))) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathbb{G}_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(t - \varphi(\delta)), \mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\delta))\}. \end{aligned}$$

If for only finitely number of n , we have $x_n \neq fx$, then $x = fx$ and hence the proof finishes. Therefore, let $x_n \neq fx$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, for any arbitrary $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{G}_{x,x_n,x_n}^*(t - \varphi(\delta)) > 1 - \epsilon$, whenever $n \geq n_1$. Hence, we have $\mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(t) \geq \min\{1 - \epsilon, \mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\delta))\}$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, for n large enough and from (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(t) &\geq \mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\delta)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x,x}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \\ &\quad \mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

If the minimum be $\mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))$, then by Lemma 2.3 we have $x_n = fx$, that is contradiction. So from (2.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(t) &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{G}_{x_n,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\delta)) \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{\mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x,x}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x_{n-1},x_n,x_n}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))\} \\ &\geq \min\{1 - \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon, \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Finally, since $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary, we have $\mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\delta)) \geq \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))$, and so, by Lemma 2.3, we deduce that $x = fx$. Now we are going to prove that x is a unique fixed point of f . Assume that f has another fixed point $y \in S$. From the property of φ , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$, $\varphi(\delta) < t$. Hence by using (2.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{x,y,y}^*(t) &\geq \mathbb{G}_{x,y,y}^*(\varphi(\delta)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathbb{G}_{x,y,y}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x,fx,fx}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{y,fy,fy}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))\} \\ &= \min\{\mathbb{G}_{x,y,y}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{x,x,x}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c})), \mathbb{G}_{y,y,y}^*(\varphi(\frac{\delta}{c}))\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 we conclude that $x = y$, that is x is a unique fixed point of f .

Theorem 2.9 — Assume that (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a complete PGM-space. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a φ -contraction and $f = f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_n$, where $\{f_i\}_1^n : X \rightarrow X$ is a finite family of mapping and $f_i f_j = f_j f_i$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then the family of $\{f_i\}_1^n$ has a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since f is a φ -contraction, according to the Theorem 2.5, has a unique fixed point u . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(f_i u) &= ((f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_n) f_i) u \\ &= (f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_{n-1}) ((f_i f_n) u) \\ &= (f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_{n-2}) ((f_i f_{n-1} f_n) u) \\ &= \dots \\ &= f_1 f_i (f_2 f_3 \dots f_{n-1} f_n u) \\ &= f_i f_1 (f_2 f_3 \dots f_n u) \\ &= f_i (f u) = f_i u. \end{aligned}$$

Since f has unique fixed point, hence $f_i u = u$. This shows that u is a fixed point of f_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. □

Let $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \dots f_n$, then we have the following results.

Corollary 2.10 — Assume that (S, \mathbb{G}^*, T) be a complete PGM-space and f be a self-map on X . Let f^m is a φ -contraction. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Example 2.11 : Assume that $S = [0, \infty)$ and $T(a, b) = \min \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + \mathbb{G}(x, y, z)}$ for all $x, y, z \in S$, where $\mathbb{G}(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$. Then \mathbb{G} is a G -metric. It is clear that \mathbb{G}^* is a PGM-space. Let $c \in (0, 1)$ and $\varphi(t) = t$. Suppose that $f : S \rightarrow S$ is defined by $f x = \frac{x}{3}$ for each $x \in S$. Let $t > 0$ be arbitrary, therefore

$$\frac{t}{t + \frac{1}{3}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)} \geq \frac{\frac{t}{c}}{\frac{t}{c} + (|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)},$$

for all $c \in [\frac{1}{3}, 1)$. Hence f is a φ -contraction mapping and f has a fixed point $x = 0$ by Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.12 : Let $S = R$ and $T(a, b) = \min \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + \mathbb{G}(x, y, z)}$ for all $x, y, z \in S$, where $\mathbb{G}(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$. Assume $A_1 = A_2 =$

$\dots = [0, 1]$. We define $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\varphi(t) = t$. Define the mapping $f : \cup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ by

$$fy = \frac{y}{4}, \quad \forall y \in [0, 1].$$

It is clear that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.7 hold for all $c \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ and $x = 0$ is a fixed point of f .

Example 2.13 : Let $S = [0, 1]$ and $T(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + \mathbb{G}(x, y, z)}$ for all $x, y, z \in S$, where $\mathbb{G}(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$. Define the mapping $f : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4} & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1], \\ 0 & x = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Consider $\varphi(t) = t$ for all $t > 0$ and let $c = \frac{1}{2}$. To show that f satisfies the condition (2.13) of Theorem 2.8, we will consider four cases:

(1) If $x = y = z$, then $\mathbb{G}_{fx, fy, fz}^*(t) = 1$, hence the left side of inequality (2.13) is equal to 1. Thus (2.13) is obviously true.

(2) If $x = y = \frac{1}{2}$ and $z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t + \frac{z}{2}} &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{2t}{2t + |2z - 1|}, \frac{2t}{2t + 1}, \frac{2t}{2t + 1}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}z}, \frac{4t}{4t + 1}, \frac{4t}{4t + 1 - \frac{z}{2}}, \frac{4t}{4t + 1 - \frac{z}{2}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{2t}{2t + |2z - 1|}, \frac{2t}{2t + 1}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{t}{t + \frac{z}{2}} \geq \frac{2t}{2t + 1}$, hence above inequality is true.

(3) If $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and $y, z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t + \frac{1}{4}(y + z + |y - z|)} &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{2t}{2t + |\frac{1}{2} - y| + |y - z| + |z - \frac{1}{2}|}, \frac{2t}{2t + 1}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}y}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}z}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{4t}{4t + 1 - \frac{y}{2}}, \frac{4t}{4t + |\frac{z}{4} - \frac{1}{2}| + |\frac{1}{2} - \frac{z}{4}|}, \frac{4t}{4t + |y - \frac{z}{4}| + |\frac{z}{4} - y|} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $y > z$. Since $\frac{t}{t + \frac{1}{2}y} \geq \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}y}$, hence above inequality is true.

(4) If $x, y, z \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, then we have

$$\frac{t}{t + \frac{1}{4}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{2t}{2t + (|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|)}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}x}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}y}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}z}, \frac{4t}{4t + |2x - \frac{y}{2}|}, \frac{4t}{4t + |2y - \frac{z}{2}|}, \frac{4t}{4t + |2x - \frac{z}{2}|} \right\}.$$

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $x > y > z$. Hence from above inequality we have

$$\frac{t}{t + \frac{1}{2}(x - z)} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{2t}{2t + 2(x - z)}, \frac{2t}{2t + \frac{3}{2}x}, \frac{4t}{4t + 2(x - \frac{z}{4})} \right\},$$

thus the condition (2.13) is true too.

Thus f satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.8 and has a fixed point $x = 0$. We can see for $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $y = z = \frac{1}{2}$, function f , does not satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.14 : Let $S = \mathbb{R}$, $T(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{x,y,z}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + \mathbb{G}(x, y, z)}$ for all $x, y, z \in S$, where $\mathbb{G}(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$.

Define the mapping $f : S \rightarrow S$ by

$$fx = \begin{cases} 4 & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can see that $f^2x = 1$ for all $x \in S$. Hence according to the Theorem 2.5, for every $\varphi \in \Phi$ and every constant $c \in (0, 1)$, f^2 has a unique fixed point $x = 1$. Now consider $x = y = 0$ and $z = 2$, then by applying inequality (2.1), we get $c \geq 2$, which gives a contradiction. This shows that f does not satisfy Theorem 2.5, but by using Corollary 2.10, we can say f has a unique fixed point $x = 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express this sincere appreciation to the Shahrekord university and the center of excellence for mathematics for financial supports.

REFERENCES

1. S. M. Alsulami, B. S. Choudhury, and P. Das, φ -contraction in generalized probabilistic metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2015** (2015), 151.

2. B. S. Choudhury and K. P. Das, A new contraction principle in Menger spaces, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **24** (2008), 1379-1386.
3. B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **84** (1992), 329-336.
4. S. Gähler, 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur, *Math. Nachr.*, **26** (1963), 115-148.
5. K. S. Ha, Y. J. Cho, and A. White, Strictly convex and strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces, *Math. Japon.*, **33** (1988), 375-384.
6. M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, and S. Sessa, Fixed points theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **30** (1984), 1-9.
7. K. Menger, Statistical metrics, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **28** (1942), 535-537.
8. Z. Mustafa, M. Khandaqji, and W. Shatanawi, Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces, *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, **48** (2011), 304-319.
9. Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2009).
10. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Remarks concerning D-metric spaces*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2003, Valencia, Spain.
11. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **7** (2006), 289-297.
12. Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2008** (2008), 189870.
13. A. Roldn, E. Karapnar and P. Kumam, G-Metric spaces in any number of arguments and related fixed-point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014** (2014), 13.
14. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Space*, North-Holland. New York (1983).
15. W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, **40** (2011), 441-447.
16. C. Zhou, SH. Wang, L. Ciric, and S. Alsulami, Generalized probabilistic metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014** (2014), 91.
17. C. Zhu, Q. Tu, and Z. Wu, Common fixed point theorems for three pairs of self-mappings satisfying the common (E.A) property in Menger probabilistic G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2015** (2015), 131.