

## ALMOST EVERYWHERE STRONG $C,1,0$ SUMMABILITY OF 2-DIMENSIONAL TRIGONOMETRIC FOURIER SERIES<sup>1</sup>

Ushangi Goginava

*I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics and Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi 0186,*

*2 University str., Georgia*

*e-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com*

*(Received 28 September 2016, accepted 21 June 2019)*

In this paper we study the a. e. exponential strong  $(C, 1, 0)$  summability of the 2-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series of the functions belonging to  $L(\log^+ L)^2$ .

**Key words :** 2-Dimensional Fourier series; strong summability; exponential means.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification :** 42B08.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We shall denote the set of all non-negative integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi) = \mathbb{R}/2\pi$  and  $\mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$ . We denote by  $L_p(\mathbb{T})$  the class of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  that are  $2\pi$ -periodic and satisfy

$$\|f\|_p := \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty, 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Suppose  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  and put

$$M(x; f) := \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over the collection  $\{I\}$  of those open intervals  $I$  which contains  $x$  and of length  $\leq 2\pi$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The author supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant 217282 (Operators of Fourier analysis in some classical and new function spaces).

The Fourier series of the function  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  with respect to the trigonometric system is the series

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) e^{inx}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) e^{-inx} dx$$

are the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ .

We shall write  $a \lesssim b$ , if  $a < c \cdot b$  and  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant.

Denote by  $S_n(x, f)$  the partial sums of the Fourier series of  $f$  and let

$$\sigma_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k(x, f)$$

be the  $(C, 1)$  means of (1). Fejéz [2] proved that  $\sigma_n(f)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly for any  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous function. Lebesgue in [18] established almost everywhere convergence of  $(C, 1)$  means if  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ . The strong summability problem, i.e. the convergence of the strong means

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |S_k(x, f) - f(x)|^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}, \quad p > 0, \quad (2)$$

was first considered by Hardy and Littlewood in [11]. They showed that for any  $f \in L_r(\mathbb{T})$  ( $1 < r < \infty$ ) the strong means tend to 0 a.e., if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The trigonometric Fourier series of  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  is said to be  $(H, p)$ -summable at  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , if the values (2) converge to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The  $(H, p)$ -summability problem in  $L_1(\mathbb{T})$  has been investigated by Marcinkiewicz [19] for  $p = 2$ , and later by Zygmund [34] for the general case  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Oskolkov in [20] proved the following

**Theorem Os** — (Oskolkov). *Let  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  and let  $\Phi$  be a continuous positive convex function on  $[0, +\infty)$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and*

$$\ln \Phi(t) = O(t / \ln \ln t) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3)$$

*Then for almost all  $x$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Phi(|S_k(x, f) - f(x)|) = 0. \quad (4)$$

It was noted in [20] that Totik announced the conjecture that (4) holds almost everywhere for any  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ , provided

$$\ln \Phi(t) = O(t) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (5)$$

In [21] Rodin proved

**Theorem R** — (Rodin). Let  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ . Then for any  $A > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (\exp(A|S_k(x, f) - f(x)|) - 1) = 0$$

for a. e.  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Karagulyan [13] proved that the following is true.

**Theorem K** — (Karagulyan). Suppose that a continuous increasing function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ , satisfies the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \Phi(t)}{t} = \infty.$$

Then there exists a function  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  for which the relation

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Phi(|S_k(x, f)|) = \infty$$

holds everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

We denote by  $L(\log^+ L)^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2)$  the class of measurable functions  $f$ , with

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f| (\log^+ |f|)^\alpha < \infty, \alpha > 0,$$

where  $\log^+ u := \mathbb{I}_{(1, \infty)} \log u$ .

Let  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $\mathbb{T}^2 := [-\pi, \pi]^2$  be a function with Fourier series

$$\sum_{m, n = -\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m, n) e^{i(mx_1 + nx_2)}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\hat{f}(m, n) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x_1, x_2) e^{-i(mx_1 + nx_2)} dx_1 dx_2$$

are the Fourier coefficients of the function  $f$ . The rectangular partial sums of (6) are defined as follows:

$$S_{MN}(x_1, x_2; f) = \sum_{m=-M}^M \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{f}(m, n) e^{i(mx_1 + nx_2)}.$$

Set

$$S_M^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; f) := \sum_{m=-M}^M \widehat{f}(m, x_2) e^{imx_1}$$

and

$$S_N^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; f) := \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(x_1, n) e^{inx_2},$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(m, x_2) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x_1, x_2) e^{-imx_1} dx_1, \text{ for a. e. } x_2 \in \mathbb{T}$$

and

$$\widehat{f}(x_1, n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x_1, x_2) e^{-inx_2} dx_2, \text{ for a. e. } x_1 \in \mathbb{T}$$

Recall the definition of  $BMO$   $[0, 1]$  space. It is the Banach space of functions  $f \in L_1[0, 1]$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = R(f) + \left| \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right|$$

where

$$R(f) = \sup_I \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad f_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dt$$

and the supremum is taken over all intervals  $I \subset [0, 1]$ .

For  $(C, 1, 1)$  summability of two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series Jessen, Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [12] has proved, that if  $f \in L \log^+ L(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , then

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (S_{ij}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)) = 0$$

for a. e.  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ . They also showed that for every non-negative function  $\omega : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\omega \uparrow \infty$ ,  $\omega(t) (\log t)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  there exists the measurable function  $f$  such that  $|f| \omega(|f|) \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , but its 2-dimensional Fourier series is a.e. non-summable by the  $(C, 1, 1)$  method.

The 2-dimensional a. e. strong summability, i. e.

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |S_{ij}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)|^p = 0$$

was shown by Gogoladze [10] for  $f \in L \log^+ L (\mathbb{T}^2)$ . These results show that in the case of 2-dimensional functions the  $(C, 1, 1)$  summability and  $(C, 1, 1)$  strong summability we have the same maximal summability spaces. That is, in both cases we have  $L \log^+ L (\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

For  $(C, 1, 0)$  summability of two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series Ash and Wellard [1] has proved, that if  $f \in L (\log^+ L)^2 (\mathbb{T}^2)$ , then

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (S_{im}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)) = 0$$

for a. e.  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ .

In this paper we study the a. e. exponential strong  $(C, 1, 0)$  summability of the 2-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series of the functions belonging to  $L (\log^+ L)^2$ .

The results on strong summation and approximation of trigonometric Fourier series have been extended for several other orthogonal systems, see Schipp [22-25], Leindler [15-17, Totik [26-28], Goginava, Gogoladze [7, 8], Goginava, Gogoladze, Karagulyan [9], Gát, Goginava, Karagulyan [5, 6], Weisz [30-33].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 1** — Suppose that  $f \in L (\log^+ L)^2 (\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then for any  $A > 0$

$$\lim_{n, l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (\exp(A |S_{kl}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)|) - 1) = 0$$

for a. e.,  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ .

## 3. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

We shall use the following operators coming from Gabisonia [4]

$$G_N(x; f) := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[N\pi]} \left( \frac{N}{k} \int_{\frac{k-1}{N}}^{\frac{k}{N}} |f(x+t)| + |f(x-t)| dt \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

$$G(x; f) := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} G_N(x; f),$$

where  $p > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Here  $[N\pi]$  denote the integer part of  $N\pi$ .

The following was proved by Gabisonia [4].

*Lemma 1* — (Gabisonia). Let  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ . Then

$$\lambda |\{x \in \mathbb{T} : G(x; f) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Set

$$f_n(x; t) := \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} S_k(x; f) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(t).$$

The next theorem is due to Rodin [4].

*Lemma 2* — (Rodin). Let  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ . The following estimation holds

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n(x; \cdot)\|_{BMO} \lesssim G(x; f) + M(x; f) + |f(x)|.$$

For a two-dimensional integrable function  $f$  we need to introduce the following hybrid functions

$$G_N^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; f) := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[N\pi]} \left( \frac{N}{k} \int_{\frac{k-1}{N}}^{\frac{k}{N}} |f(x_1 + t_1, x_2)| + |f(x_1 - t_1, x_2)| dt_1 \right)^q \right)^{1/q},$$

$$G_N^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; f) := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{[N\pi]} \left( \frac{N}{k} \int_{\frac{k-1}{N}}^{\frac{k}{N}} |f(x_1, x_2 + t_2)| + |f(x_1, x_2 - t_2)| dt_2 \right)^q \right)^{1/q},$$

$$G^{(s)}(x_1, x_2; f) := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} G_N^{(s)}(x_1, x_2; f), \quad s = 1, 2,$$

$$M_1(x_1, x_2; f) := \sup_{I \ni x_1} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(s, x_2)| ds,$$

$$M_2(x_1, x_2; f) := \sup_{I \ni x_2} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f(x, t)| dt.$$

*Lemma 3* — Let  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then

$$\left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \sup_{p>1} \sup_{n, l \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{kl}(x_1, x_2; f)|^p \right)^{1/p}}{p} > \lambda \right\} \right|$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f| (\log^+ |f|)^2 + 1.$$

PROOF : Set

$$f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; t) := \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} S_{kl}(x_1, x_2; f) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(t).$$

Using Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_{BMO} & (7) \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} S_k^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; S_l^{(2)}(f)) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(\cdot) \right\|_{BMO} \\ &\lesssim G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; |S_l^{(2)}(f)|) + M_1(x_1, x_2; |S_l^{(2)}(f)|) \\ &\quad + |S_l^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; f)| \\ &\lesssim G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) + M_1(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) \\ &\quad + |S_*^{(2)}(f)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_*^{(2)}(f) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |S_n^{(2)}(f)|.$$

It is known, that (see [3])

$$\|f\|_p \leq cp \|f\|_{BMO} \quad (f \in BMO, 1 \leq p < \infty), \quad (8)$$

where the constant  $c$  does not depend on  $p$  and  $f$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_p & (9) \\ &\leq cp \|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_{BMO} \\ &\lesssim G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) \\ &\quad + M_1(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) + |S_*^{(2)}(f)|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_p = \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{kl}(x_1, x_2; f)|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (10)$$

Consequently, from (7)-(10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{kl}(x_1, x_2; f)|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq cp \left\{ G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + M_1(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) + |S_*^{(2)}(f)| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then  $f(x_1, \cdot) \in L(\log^+ L)^2(\mathbb{T})$  for a. e.  $x_1 \in \mathbb{T}$  and from the theorem of Hunt  $S_*^{(2)}(x_1, \cdot; f) \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$  for a. e.  $x_1 \in \mathbb{T}$ . Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} S_*^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; f) dx_2 \lesssim 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x_1, x_2)| (\log^+ |f(x_1, x_2)|)^2 dx_2$$

for a. e.  $x_1 \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Set

$$\Omega := \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2; |S_*^{(2)}(f)|) > \lambda \right\}.$$

Using Fubini's Theorem, Lemma 1 and Theorem of Hunt we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\Omega}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{I}_{\Omega}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} S_*^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; f) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\ &= \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} S_*^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; f) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x_1, x_2)| (\log^+ |f(x_1, x_2)|)^2 dx_2 \right) dx_1 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f(x_1, x_2)| (\log^+ |f(x_1, x_2)|)^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since

$$\lambda \left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : M_s(x_1, x_2; f) > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \|f\|_1, s = 1, 2.$$



analogously, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : M_1 \left( x_1, x_2; \left| S_*^{(2)}(f) \right| \right) > \lambda \right\} \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f(x_1, x_2)| (\log^+ |f(x_1, x_2)|)^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Combining (11)-(13) we complete the proof of Lemma 3. □

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $X$  be either  $[0, 1]$  or  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , and let  $L_M := L_M(X)$  be the Orlicz space of functions on  $X$  generated by Young function  $M$  i. e.  $M$  is a convex continuous even function such that  $M(0) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{M(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{M(t)} = 0.$$

It is well known that  $L_M$  is a Banach space with respect to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_M := \inf \left\{ \lambda : \lambda > 0, \int_X M \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

We will deal with two  $M$ -functions

$$\Phi(t) := t (\log^+ t)^2$$

and

$$\Psi(t) := \exp(t) - 1.$$

PROOF : According to a theorem from [14, Chap. 2, Theorem 9.5] we have

$$\|f\|_M \leq 1 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} M(|f|) \leq \|f\|_M. \tag{14}$$

From this fact we may deduce that

$$0,5 \left( 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} M(|f|) \right) \leq \|f\|_M \leq 1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} M(|f|)$$

provided  $\|f\|_M = 1$ .

Hence, from Lemma 3 we can write

$$\left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \sup_{p>1} \sup_{n,l \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{kl}(x_1, x_2; f)|^p \right)^{1/p}}{p} > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\Phi}}{\lambda} \tag{15}$$

where  $\Phi(t) = t(\log^+ t)^2$ . Indeed, at first we deduce the case when  $\|f\|_{\Phi} = 1$ , then using a linearity principle, we get the inequality in the general case.

It is easy to see that

$$\|f\|_{\Psi} \simeq \sup_{p>1} \frac{\|f\|_p}{p}.$$

Then from (10) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{p>1} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} |S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f)|^p \right)^{1/p}}{p} \\ &= \sup_{p>1} \frac{\|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_p}{p} \simeq \|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_{\Psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (15) we have

$$\left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \sup_{n,l \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_{nl}(x_1, x_2; \cdot)\|_{\Psi} > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\Phi}}{\lambda}. \tag{16}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (\exp(A|S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)|) - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \Psi(A|S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)|) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Psi \left( A \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(t) \right| \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (14) it follows that for any sequence of functionf  $f_{nl}$ , the condition  $\|f_{nl}\|_{\Psi} \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Psi(|f_{nl}|) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, to prove the Theorem 1 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f) - f(x_1, x_2)) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(\cdot) \right\|_{\Psi} \rightarrow 0 \tag{17}$$

a.e.  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$  as  $n, l \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $f \in L(\log^+ L)^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . It is easy to observe that (17) holds if  $f$  is trigonometric polynomial in two variable. Indeed, if  $T_{s_1, s_2}(x_1, x_2)$  trigonometric polynomial, then we have

$$S_{i,j}(x_1, x_2; T_{2^{s_1}, 2^{s_2}}) - T_{2^{s_1}, 2^{s_2}}(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

when  $i \geq 2^{s_1}, j \geq 2^{s_2}$ . Therefore, if  $n \geq s_1$  and  $l \geq 2^{s_2}$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; T_{s_1, s_2}) - T_{2^{s_1}, 2^{s_2}}(x_1, x_2)) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(t) \right| \\ & \leq c \mathbb{I}_{\left[0, \frac{2^{s_1}}{2^n}\right]}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $c$  is a constant depending on  $T_{2^{s_1}, 2^{s_2}}$ .

From the definition of norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$ , it immediately follows that  $|f| \leq |g|$  implies  $\|f\|_M \leq \|g\|_M$ . In addition, for any measurable set  $E$ , we have (see [14], (9.23))  $\|\mathbb{I}_E\|_M = 0(1)$  as  $|E| \rightarrow 0$ . So, from (18) we conclude that (17) holds if  $f = T$ . To prove general case, we consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda := & \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \overline{\lim}_{n, l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - f(x_1, x_2) \right\|_{\delta_k^n} > \lambda \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that  $|G_\lambda| = 0$  if  $\lambda > 0$ . Since  $\Phi(t) = t(\log^+ t)^2$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, according property of Orlicz space (see [14]), we may choose a trigonometric polynomial  $T$  such that

$$\|f - T\|_\Phi < \varepsilon.$$

Using the definition of norm, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Phi \left( \left| \frac{f - T}{\varepsilon} \right| \right) < 1$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : |f(x_1, x_2) - T(x_1, x_2)| > \lambda \right\} \right| \Phi \left( \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\{|f-T|>\lambda\}} \Phi \left( \left| \frac{f - T}{\varepsilon} \right| \right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Phi \left( \left| \frac{f - T}{\varepsilon} \right| \right) < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$|\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : |f(x_1, x_2) - T(x_1, x_2)| > \lambda\}| < \frac{1}{\Phi\left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\right)}.$$

Thus, using (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_\lambda &= \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \overline{\lim}_{n, l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f - T) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + T(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)) \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(\cdot) \right\|_{\Psi} > \lambda \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2 : \sup_{n, l \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} S_{k,l}(x_1, x_2; f - T) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times \mathbb{I}_{\delta_k^n}(\cdot) \right\|_{\Psi} + |T(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)| > \lambda \right\} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f - T\|_{\Phi}}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\Phi\left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\right)} \lesssim \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\Phi\left(\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  may be taken sufficiently small, we conclude that  $|G_\lambda| = 0$  if  $\lambda > 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Ash, J. Marshall, Welland, and V. Grant, Convergence, summability, and uniqueness of multiple trigonometric series, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **77** (1971), 123-127.
2. L. Fejér, Untersuchungen über Fouriersche Reihen, *Math. Annalen*, **58** (1904), 501-569.
3. Duoandikoetxea and Javier, Fourier analysis, Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by David Cruz-Urbe, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **29** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
4. O. D. Gabisonia, On strong summability points for Fourier series, *Mat. Zametki.*, **5**(14) (1973), 615-626.
5. G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Karagulyan, Almost everywhere strong summability of Marcinkiewicz means of double Walsh-Fourier series, *Anal. Math.*, **40**(4) (2014), 243-266.
6. G. Gát, U. Goginava, and G. Karagulyan, On everywhere divergence of the strong  $\Phi$ -means of Walsh-Fourier series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **421**(1) (2015), 206-214.
7. U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze, Strong approximation by Marcinkiewicz means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, *Constr. Approx.*, **35**(1) (2012), 1-19.
8. U. Goginava and L. Gogoladze, Strong approximation of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **49**(2) (2012), 170-188.
9. U. Goginava, L. Gogoladze, and G. Karagulyan, BMO-estimation and almost everywhere exponential summability of quadratic partial sums of double Fourier series, *Constr. Approx.*, **40**(1) (2014), 105-120.

10. L. D. Gogoladze, On strong summability almost everywhere, (*Russian Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* (177), **135**(2) (1988), 158-168, 271; translation in *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **63**(1) (1989), 153-16.
11. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Sur la series de Fourier d'une fonction a carre sommable, *Comptes Rendus (Paris)*, **156** (1913), 1307-1309.
12. B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz, and A. Zygmund, Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals, *Fund. Math.*, **25** (1935), 217-234.
13. G. A. Karagulyan, Everywhere divergent  $\Phi$ -means of Fourier series, (*Russian Mat. Zametki*, **80**(1) (2006), 50-59; translation in *Math. Notes*, **80**(1-2) (2006), 47-56.
14. M. A. Krasnoselski and B. Rutitski Ya, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Moscow, 1958 (Russian).
15. L. Leindler, ber die Approximation im starken Sinne, *Acta Math. Acad. Hungar*, **16** (1965), 255-262.
16. L. Leindler, On the strong approximation of Fourier series, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **38** (1976), 317-324.
17. L. Leindler, Strong approximation and classes of functions, *Mitteilungen Math. Seminar Giessen*, **132** (1978), 29-38.
18. H. Lebesgue, Recherches sur la sommabilite forte des series de Fourier, *Math. Annalen*, **61** (1905), 251-280.
19. J. Marcinkiewicz, Sur une methode remarquable de sommation des series doublefes de Fourier, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **8** (1939), 149-160.
20. K. I. Oskolkov, Strong summability of Fourier series. (Russian) Studies in the theory of functions of several real variables and the approximation of functions, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, **172** (1985), 280-290.
21. V. A. Rodin, The space BMO and strong means of Fourier series, *Anal. Math.*, **16**(4) (1990), 291-302.
22. F. Schipp, On the strong summability of Walsh series, Dedicated to Professors Zoltn Darczy and Imre Ktai, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **52**(3-4) (1998), 611-633.
23. F. Schipp, ber die starke Summation von Walsh-Fourier Reihen, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **30** (1969), 77-87.
24. F. Schipp, On strong approximation of Walsh-Fourier series, *MTA III. Oszt. Kozl.*, **19** (1969), 101-111 (Hungarian).
25. F. Schipp and N. X. Ky, On strong summability of polynomial expansions, *Anal. Math.*, **12** (1986), 115-128.
26. V. Totik, On the strong approximation of Fourier series, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **35**(1-2) (1980), 151-172.

27. V. Totik, On the strong approximation of Fourier series, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.*, **35** (1980), 151-172.
28. V. Totik, On the generalization of Fejr's summation theorem, Functions, Series, Operators; *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai (Budapest) Hungary*, **35**, North Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford-New-Yourk, 1980, 1195-1199.
29. V. Totik, Notes on Fourier series: Strong approximation, *J. Approx. Theory*, **43** (1985), 105-111.
30. F. Weisz F., Strong summability of more-dimensional Ciesielski-Fourier series, *East J. Approx.*, **10**(3) (2004), 333-354.
31. F. Weisz, Lebesgue points of double Fourier series and strong summability, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **432**(1) (2015), 441-462.
32. F. Weisz, Lebesgue points of two-dimensional Fourier transforms and strong summability, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **21**(4) (2015), 885-914.
33. F. Weisz, Strong summability of Fourier transforms at Lebesgue points and Wiener amalgam spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2015, Art. ID 420750, 10 pp.
34. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series, vol. 1*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.