

TAUTOLOGICAL ALGEBRA OF THE MODULI SPACE OF SEMISTABLE BUNDLES ON AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

Arijit Mukherjee

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Hyderabad,
Prof. C. R. Rao Road, P.O - Central University,
Gachibowli, Hyderabad 500 046, India
e-mails: mukherjee90.arjit@gmail.com*

(Received 12 October 2019; accepted 4 November 2019)

In this paper, our aim is to find the relations amongst the cohomology classes of Brill-Noether subvarieties of the moduli space of semistable bundles over an elliptic curve. We obtain results similar to the Poincaré relations on a Jacobian variety.

Key words : Jacobian varieties; elliptic curves; vector bundles; moduli spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 14D20, 14H40, 14H52, 14F25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose C is a smooth projective variety of genus g , defined over the complex numbers. The Picard variety $Pic^d(C)$ is the moduli space of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree d on C . For $1 \leq i \leq g-1$, there are naturally defined subvarieties, namely the Brill-Noether subvarieties W_i of $Pic^i(C)$, which parametrize line bundles L with $h^0(L) \geq 1$. Let Θ denote the theta divisor $W_{g-1} \subset Pic^{g-1}(C)$. Choosing isomorphisms $Pic^i(C) \simeq J(C)$, the classical Poincaré relations determine the relations between the cohomological classes of W_i , in $J(C)$:

$$[W_i] = \frac{1}{(g-i)!} [\Theta]^{g-i} \in H^*(J(C), \mathbb{Q}).$$

See [1, Ch 1, §5, p. 25].

Our aim in this paper is to investigate relations between the cohomology classes of the Brill-Noether subvarieties in the moduli space of higher rank vector bundles on a curve. Brill-Noether

subvarieties are more subtle in this situation and not much seems to be known in this direction. We consider genus one case in this paper.

Let E denote a complex elliptic curve. The moduli space of semistable bundles over E has been computed by Atiyah (cf. [2]). Tu (cf. [6]) explicitly described the Brill-Noether subvarieties in this moduli space. In this paper, a *tautological class* is the cohomology class of Brill-Noether subvariety in the cohomology ring and the subalgebra of the cohomology ring generated by those tautological classes is called *tautological algebra*. We describe the relations amongst the tautological classes in the cohomology ring of the moduli space in this paper.

We denote by f the *strong equivalence class* of F , a vector bundle over E , in the sense of [5, §8, p. 333]. Let $\mathcal{M}_E(r, d)$ be the moduli space of strong equivalence classes of semistable bundles of rank r and degree d over E . Let X be a subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_E(r, d)$ (or of $SU_E(r, L)$), then by $[X]$ we denote the cohomology class of X in $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, d))$ (respectively in $H^*(SU_E(r, L))$).

By [6], we note that the Brill-Noether loci are trivial for positive degree vector bundles (either empty or the whole moduli space), and for line bundles of degree 0 (either empty or singleton). Therefore, we consider the Brill-Noether loci when degree of a vector bundle is 0 and the rank is more than 1. Let L be a line bundle of degree 0 and let i be any non-negative integer. The Brill-Noether loci inside $SU_E(r, L)$ are defined as follows:

$$W_{r,L}^i(\exists) := \{f \in SU_E(r, L) \mid h^0(F) \geq i + 1 \text{ for some } F \in f\}.$$

We denote by $[W_{r,L}^i(\exists)]$, a tautological class in $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$. We also consider the Brill-Noether loci, denoted by $W_{r,0}^i(\exists)$, inside $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$, defined as follows:

$$W_{r,0}^i(\exists) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) \mid h^0(F) \geq i + 1 \text{ for some } F \in f\}.$$

(See [6]).

In §5, we prove the main theorems in $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$ on the relations amongst the tautological classes. We show:

Theorem 1.1 — *Let r be any positive integer and let L be a degree 0 line bundle over E . Then $W_{r,L}^0(\exists)$ is a divisor inside $SU_E(r, L)$. Moreover, in $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ we have,*

$$[W_{r,L}^i(\exists)] = [W_{r,L}^0(\exists)]^{i+1} \tag{1}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq r - 2$ and the tautological algebra of $SU_E(r, L)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/\langle \zeta^r \rangle$, where ζ is the cohomology class of $W_{r,L}^0(\exists)$ in $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$.

Furthermore, we show that the relations amongst $[W_{r,0}^i(\Xi)]$'s in $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$, is similar to (1). In particular, we show:

Theorem 1.2 — *The tautological algebra of $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ is*

$$H^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\xi]/\langle \xi^r \rangle.$$

Here ξ is the cohomology class of the divisor $W_{r,0}^0(\Xi)$ on $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ in $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$.

It is interesting to investigate if similar relations hold in higher genus case.

2. NOTATIONS

All the varieties are defined over the complex numbers.

- 1) $\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L)$ denotes the moduli space of strong equivalence classes of semistable bundles of rank r and fixed determinant L of degree d over E .
- 2) $S^n E$ is the n th symmetric product of the curve E .
- 3) $J(E)$ is the Jacobian variety of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 0 over E .
- 4) $J_d(E)$ is the moduli space of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree d over E .
- 5) $\det F$ is the determinant bundle of a semistable vector bundle F over E .
- 6) $\mathcal{O}(D)$ denotes the line bundle corresponding to a divisor D on E .
- 7) $\mathcal{O}_E$ is the trivial line bundle over E .
- 8) $h^i(E, F)$ (or $h^i(F)$) denotes the dimension of $H^i(E, F)$ for a semistable bundle F over E .
- 9) By $H^*(X)$ we mean $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the cohomology ring of a complex variety X with integral coefficients.

3. BRILL-NOETHER LOCI OF SEMISTABLE BUNDLES OVER AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

3.1 Bundles with positive degree

In this section we recall a few results from [6] which will be relevant for the next section. The following lemma is proved in [6]. For the sake of completeness we include the lemma with proof here.

Lemma 3.1 — [6, Lemma 17, p. 13]. Any semistable bundle F of positive degree over E is non-special, that is, $h^1(F) = 0$.

PROOF : Let F be a semistable vector bundle of degree $d > 0$ and K_E be the canonical line bundle over E . Then $K_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E$ as E is an elliptic curve. By Serre duality we have

$$h^1(F) = h^0(K_E \otimes F^*) = h^0(F^*).$$

As F^* is also a semistable bundle and of negative degree, $h^0(F^*) = 0$. Therefore, $h^1(F) = 0$. Moreover by Riemann-Roch theorem, $h^0(F) = d$. $\square$

Remark 3.2 : A consequence of Lemma 3.1 is that the map

$$\begin{aligned} h^0 : \mathcal{M}_E(r, d) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\} \\ f &\mapsto h^0(F) \end{aligned}$$

is well defined for $d > 0$, and is the constant function d .

Definition 3.3 — Let $d > 0$ and $i \geq 0$ be any two integers. The *Brill-Noether loci* are defined by

$$W_{r,d}^i := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_E(r, d) \mid h^0(F) \geq i + 1\}.$$

This definition is well defined by Remark 3.2.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.1. See [6, p. 13].

Lemma 3.4 — Let $d > 0$. Then

$$W_{r,d}^i \cong \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } 1 \leq d \leq i; \\ \mathcal{M}_E(r, d) & \text{if } d \geq i + 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore Brill-Noether loci inside $\mathcal{M}_E(r, d)$ are not of much interest when $d > 0$. Moreover for degree 0 line bundles over E we have the following result.

Lemma 3.5 — The Brill-Noether loci for $d = 0$, $r = 1$ are

$$W_{1,0}^i \cong \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } 1 \leq i; \\ \{\mathcal{O}_E\} & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF : See [6, p. 13]. As $h^0(L) = 0$ or 1 for a line bundle L of degree zero over E and moreover $h^0(L) = 1$ if and only if $L \cong \mathcal{O}_E$.

3.2 Degree zero bundles

When $d = 0$, $h^0 : \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$ is not well defined. For example, let F_2 be the *Atiyah's indecomposable bundle* of rank 2 and I_2 be the trivial bundle of rank 2. Then $F_2 \cong I_2$, but $h^0(F_2) = 1 \neq 2 = h^0(I_2)$, (cf. [2, Proof of Theorem 5, p. 432]).

In this case, Brill-Noether loci inside $\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L)$ and $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ are defined, see (cf. [6, p. 5]). Here L is a line bundle on E of degree 0.

Definition 3.6 —

$$W_{r,L}^i(\Xi) := \{f \in \mathcal{SU}_E(r, L) \mid h^0(F) \geq i+1 \text{ for some } F \in f\}.$$

$$W_{r,0}^i(\Xi) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) \mid h^0(F) \geq i+1 \text{ for some } F \in f\}.$$

We have the equality:

$$W_{r,L}^i(\Xi) = W_{r,0}^i(\Xi) \cap \mathcal{SU}_E(r, L).$$

We now have the following isomorphism of moduli spaces.

Proposition 3.7 — Let $r > 1$ be a positive integer and L a degree 0 line bundle over E . Then we have the isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) &\cong S^r E \\ \mathcal{SU}_E(r, L) &\cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \\ J(E) &\cong E \\ W_{r,0}^i(\Xi) &\cong S^{r-i-1} E \\ W_{r,L}^i(\Xi) &\cong \mathbb{P}^{r-i-2}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF : See [6, Theorem 2, 3, 4 and 5; Corollary 15; p. 4-5, 10]. □

4. TAUTOLOGICAL ALGEBRA OF SEMISTABLE BUNDLES OF DEGREE ZERO OVER AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

Consider the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : J(E) \times \mathcal{SU}_E(r, L) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_E(r, d) \\ (l, F) &\mapsto l \otimes F. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

See [3, p. 338] and [4, p. 348].

This map as in (2) suggests that the cohomology subalgebra generated by $[W_{r,0}^i(\Xi)]$'s is the same as that generated by $[W_{r,L}^i(\Xi)]$'s with coefficients lying in $H^*(J(E))$. We make this precise in the next section.

Let $\det : \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) \rightarrow J(E)$ be the *determinant* map which sends F to $\det F$ and $\alpha : S^r E \rightarrow J_r(E)$ be the *Abel-Jacobi* map defined as $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_r \mapsto \mathcal{O}(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_r)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram (cf. [6, p. 12]), which says that the *determinant* map and the *Abel-Jacobi* map can be identified.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^r E \\
 \downarrow \det & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 J(E) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & J_r(E)
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Remark 4.1 : By *Abel's* theorem (cf. [1, p. 18]), fiber of the map α over any line bundle $L \in J_r(E)$ is the complete linear system $|D|$ of a divisor D on E with $\mathcal{O}(D) = L$. Now if $r > 0$, then by Serre duality $h^1(E, \mathcal{O}(D)) = 0$ and by Riemann-Roch theorem $h^0(E, \mathcal{O}(D)) = r$. Therefore each fiber of the map $\alpha : S^r E \rightarrow J_r(E)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ if $r > 0$. This is also another reason to work in $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ with $r > 0$.

Let $\det : W_{r,0}^i(\Xi) \rightarrow J(E)$ be the restriction of the *determinant* map $\det : \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) \rightarrow J(E)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram (cf. [6, p. 16]) similar to (3).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W_{r,0}^i(\Xi) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^{r-i-1} E \\
 \downarrow \det & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 J(E) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & J_{r-i-1}(E)
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Here we assume $0 \leq i \leq r-2$. So by Remark 4.1, the fiber of α is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r-i-2}$.

5. MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we define the tautological subalgebra of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ and prove our main theorems.

Definition 5.1 — The cohomology classes $[W_{r,0}^i(\Xi)] \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$ are called the *tautological classes*. The subalgebra of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$ generated by these tautological classes is called the *tautological subalgebra* of $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 5.2 — Let L be a degree zero line bundle over E . Then the cohomology classes $[W_{r,L}^i(\Xi)] \in H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ are called the *tautological classes*. The subalgebra of $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ generated by these tautological classes is called the *tautological subalgebra* of $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$.

Following theorem shows that the tautological class $\zeta := [W_{r,L}^0(\Xi)]$ is the generator of the tautological subalgebra of $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 5.3 — Let r be any positive integer and let L be a degree 0 line bundle over E . Then $W_{r,L}^0(\Xi)$ is a divisor inside $SU_E(r, L)$. Moreover, in $H^*(SU_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ we have,

$$[W_{r,L}^i(\Xi)] = [W_{r,L}^0(\Xi)]^{i+1}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq r-2$ and the tautological algebra of $\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]/\langle \zeta^r \rangle$, where ζ is the cohomology class of $W_{r,L}^0(\Xi)$ in $H^*(\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$.

PROOF : We have the following stratification inside $\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L)$ by Proposition 3.7.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{SU}_E(r, L) & \cong & \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 W_{r,L}^0(\Xi) & \cong & \mathbb{P}^{r-2} \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 W_{r,L}^1(\Xi) & \cong & \mathbb{P}^{r-3} \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 \cdot & & \cdot \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 W_{r,L}^{r-3}(\Xi) & \cong & \mathbb{P}^1 \\
 \cup & & \cup \\
 W_{r,L}^{r-2}(\Xi) & \cong & \mathbb{P}^0 \cong \{\cdot\}
 \end{array}$$

So, $W_{r,L}^0(\Xi)$ is a subvariety of $\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L)$ of codimension 1 and hence a divisor. We can calculate relations between $[\mathbb{P}^i]$'s as follows. Inside $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ we have the following stratification:

$$\{\cdot\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-2} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r-1}.$$

Then we have:

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]}{\langle \zeta^r \rangle} \quad (5)$$

where ζ is the cohomology class of $\mathbb{P}^{r-2}$, that is, $\zeta = [\mathbb{P}^{r-2}] = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$, $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$ being the first Chern class of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Moreover in $H^*(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathbb{Z})$, we have:

$$[\mathbb{P}^{r-1-k}] = \zeta^k. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, by (5) and Proposition 3.7 we get:

$$H^*(\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]}{\langle \zeta^r \rangle}.$$

Furthermore, by (6) and Proposition 3.7 we get the following equality in $H^*(\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$:

$$[W_{r,L}^i(\Xi)] = [\mathbb{P}^{r-i-2}] = [\mathbb{P}^{r-1-(i+1)}] = \zeta^{i+1} = [\mathbb{P}^{r-2}]^{i+1} = [W_{r,L}^0(\Xi)]^{i+1}.$$

Hence the theorem follows.

The next theorem is about some relations between the generators of the tautological subalgebra of $H^*(\mathcal{SU}_E(r, L), \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$. The theorem says that tautological algebra of $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ is generated by the cohomology class of the Brill-Noether subvariety $W_{r,0}^0(\Xi)$ as an $H^*(E)$ -algebra.

Theorem 5.4 — *The tautological algebra of $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ is*

$$H^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\xi]/\langle \xi^r \rangle.$$

Here ξ is the cohomology class of the divisor $W_{r,0}^0(\Xi)$ on $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ in $H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z})$.

PROOF : We have the following stratification inside $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ by Proposition 3.7.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) & \cong & S^r E \\ \cup & & \cup \\ W_{r,0}^0(\Xi) & \cong & S^{r-1} E \\ \cup & & \cup \\ W_{r,0}^1(\Xi) & \cong & S^{r-2} E \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cup & & \cup \\ W_{r,0}^{r-2}(\Xi) & \cong & S^1 E \cong E \end{array}$$

So, $W_{r,0}^0(\Xi)$ is a subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0)$ of codimension 1 and hence a divisor. By (3) and by Remark 4.1, the determinant morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0) \rightarrow J(E)$$

is a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_{J(E)}^{r-1} \rightarrow J(E)$.

Hence, by projective bundle formula,

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(J(E)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\xi]/\langle \xi^r \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Here ξ is the first Chern class of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{J(E)}^{r-1}$.

Therefore by (7) and Proposition 3.7 we get,

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}_E(r, 0), \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\xi]/\langle \xi^r \rangle.$$

However, by (4), we have the equality of the cohomology classes:

$$[W_{r,0}^i(\Xi)] = \xi^{i+1}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq r - 2$. This gives the assertion.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Jaya N. N. Iyer for introducing this problem to me and for her valuable suggestions. I would like to thank Dr. Archana S Morye and Dr. Tathagata Sengupta for their continuous guidance and encouragements throughout the work. I would also like to thank University Grants Commission (UGC) (ID - 424860) for financial support.

REFERENCES

1. E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves*. Vol. I, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, New York., **267** (1985).
2. M. F. Atiyah, Vector bundles over an elliptic curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **7** (1957), 414-452.
3. M. Bigas and L. Tu, Theta divisors for vector bundles, *Contemp. Math.*, **136** (1992), 327-342.
4. R. Donagi and L. Tu, Theta functions for $SL(n)$ versus $GL(n)$, *Math. Res. Lett.* **1**, **3** (1994), 345-357.
5. C. S. Seshadri, Space of unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of. Math.* (2), **85** (1967), 303-336.
6. L. Tu, Semistable bundles over an elliptic curve, *Adv. Math.* **98**, **1** (1993), 1-26.