



Hardy–Littlewood maximal function on Locally compact Abelian groups

Ekaterine Kapanadze · Tengiz Kopaliani · Ani Ozbetelashvili

Received: 19 April 2023 / Accepted: 6 February 2024 / Published online: 29 February 2024
© The Indian National Science Academy 2024

Abstract In this paper we investigate a boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M in the variable Lebesgue spaces in the context locally compact abelian group. We show that the local Muckenhoupt condition implies the local boundedness of M .

Keywords Locally compact abelian groups · maximal function · variable L^p spaces · Muckenhoupt weights

Mathematics Subject Classification 42B25 · 46E30 · 43A70

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$ space, when G is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group). Suppose that, G is a locally compact abelian group equipped with a nontrivial and regular measure μ , such that $\mu(K) > 0$ for all compact $K \subset G$. Notice that μ does not need to be Haar measure, because we do not assume μ to be translation invariant. Through this work we shall assume that measure μ has this property. The general assumption on the group will be that it admits a sequence of neighborhoods of 0 with certain properties that we will describe in the next definition (see, [5], Section 2.1).

Definition 1.1 A collection $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a covering family for G if:

- (1) $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an increasing base of relatively compact neighborhoods of 0, $\cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k = G$, and $\cap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k = \{0\}$;
- (2) there exists a positive constant c_D and a mapping $\theta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $x \in G$
 - a) $k < \theta(k)$,
 - b) $U_k - U_k \subset U_{\theta(k)}$,
 - c) $\mu(x + U_{\theta(k)}) \leq c_D \mu(x + U_k)$.

We will refer to the condition c) as the doubling property of measure μ with respect to θ and we will call c_D the doubling constant. Note that, $c_D \geq 1$ is required by the reason of $U_k \subset U_{\theta(k)}$.

Among the well known groups satisfying Definition 1.1 are the groups $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, torus $\mathbb{T}$, p -adic group $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and finite products of these groups (for details see [5]). Moreover, if G is a locally compact abelian group with

Communicated by E. K. Narayanan.

This work was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia FR-22 17770

T. Kopaliani (✉) · E. Kapanadze · A. Ozbetelashvili
LEPL Tbilisi V.Komarovi Public School N199, 49 Vazha Pshavela Ave, 0186 Tbilisi, Georgia
E-mail: tengiz.kopaliani@tsu.ge

E. Kapanadze · A. Ozbetelashvili
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, 13, University St., 0143 Tbilisi, Georgia
E-mail: ekaterine_kapani@yahoo.com; anaozbetelashvili5677@gmail.com

equipped nontrivial Haar measure and with an increasing sequence $\{U_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of compact open subgroups, such that

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k = G, \quad \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U_k = \{0\},$$

then Definition 1.1 satisfies if and only if $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_k/U_{k-1}| < \infty$, and one may take $\theta(k) = k + 1$.

We will call any set of the form $x + U_k$, $x \in G$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a base set and the collection of all base sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}$. For any natural number n we denote $\theta^n(k) = \theta(\theta^{n-1}(k))$, $n > 1$, $\theta^1(k) = \theta(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $V = x + U_j$ base set we denote $V^* = x + U_{\theta(k)}$ and $V^{**} = x + U_{\theta^2(k)}$. Observe that we can assume without loss of generality the base sets to be symmetric. This is not a restriction at all because one can always consider the new family of base sets formed by the difference sets $U_i - U_i$ which increases the doubling constant from c_D to c_D^2 . We denote $2U_k := U_k - U_k = U_k + U_k$. We also may assume that the function θ be non-decreasing. Indeed if we replace θ by

$$\tilde{\theta}(k) = \min\{l \in \mathbb{Z} : l > k \text{ with } U_k - U_k \subset U_l\},$$

we obtain that $\tilde{\theta}$ function is non-decreasing, $\tilde{\theta}(k) \leq \theta(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mu(U_{\tilde{\theta}(k)}) \leq \mu(U_{\theta(k)}) \leq c_D \mu(U_k).$$

The notion of base sets allows to define a direct similar of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (uncentered):

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in U \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f| d\mu, \quad f \in L^1_{loc}(G).$$

Likewise we may define the centered maximal function for $f \in L^1_{loc}(G)$

$$\mathcal{M}f(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\mu(x + U_k)} \int_{x+U_k} |f| d\mu.$$

Note that uncentered maximal operator is comparable to the centered maximal operator (see [11], Lemma 1). Accurately, for $f \in L^1_{loc}(G)$ we have

$$\mathcal{M}f \leq Mf \leq c_D^2 \mathcal{M}f. \quad (1.1)$$

The boundedness of M in $L^p(G)$, when measure μ is regular (not necessary translation invariant) with doubling property with respect to θ was considered in [11]. In this paper proved a weighted norm inequalities for Hardy-Littlewood maximal function for LCA group following Lerner's approach.

Theorem 1.2 ([11]) *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$. Then the maximal operator M is bounded in $L^p(G)$. Furthermore, for $f \in L^1(G)$, we have*

$$\mu(\{x \in G : Mf(x) > t\}) \leq \frac{C}{t} \|f\|_1, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Given a function $p(\cdot) : G \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, denote by $L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$ the space of measurable functions f such that for some $\lambda > 0$,

$$\int_G |f(x)/\lambda|^{p(x)} d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

with (Luxemburg) norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_G |f(x)/\lambda|^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

These spaces have been intensively studied for the past twenty years: for detailed histories and references see monographs [1], [2].



Given a measurable function $p(\cdot): G \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, let us denote $p_- = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in G} p(x)$ and $p_+ = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in G} p(x)$. By p'_+ and p'_- we denote $(p')_+$ and $(p')_-$. For the variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ we define the conjugate exponent by the formula $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$.

One of the main problems of Harmonic Analysis on variable Lebesgue spaces is the problem of the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M on the space $L^{p(\cdot)}$. For a detailed history of this problem in the Euclidean setting may found in monographs Cruz-Uribe and Fiorenza [1] and Diening et.al. [2].

Definition 1.3 We say that exponent $p(\cdot)$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ satisfies $\mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$ condition if there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that, for any base set $U \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\frac{1}{\mu(U)} \|\chi_U\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_U\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C. \quad (1.3)$$

Note that condition (1.3) would interpreted as a full analogue of the classical Muckenhoupt A_p condition in the context of $L^{p(\cdot)}$ spaces (see for Euclidean setting [7], [9]).

In case $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and μ Lebesgue measure Kopalani [7], showed that

$$M: L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

provided $p(\cdot)$ is constant and bounded outside of 1 and ∞ large ball if and only if $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, it has been shown in [8] (see, also [2, Theorem 5.3.4] and [1, Example 4.51]) by a counterexample that without additional condition at infinity does not imply that $M: L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A. Lerner [9], in Euclidean setting ($X = \mathbb{R}^n$, μ -Lebesgue measure), proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 Let $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, $p \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set of positive finite measures. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on p, n and E such that, for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|(Mf)\chi_E\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

In this paper we will prove an analogue of the above theorem for $L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$ setting. Namely we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 Suppose $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and $p \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$. Let $E \subset G$ be a μ -measurable set such that $\chi_E \in L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on p and E such that for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$,

$$\|(Mf)\chi_E\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we have some preliminary results. We define the $A_\infty(G)$ class of weights and study some of their properties. In Section 3 we will prove the local boundedness of M when $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$. We use C, c as an absolute positive constants, which may have different values in different occurrences.

2 Preliminary results

Some basic properties of covering family follow directly from Definition 1.1. For instance (i) for every $x \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ it holds $\mu(x + U_k) > 0$; (2) the interiors of the base sets U_k cover G , i.e., $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} U'_k = G$. In particular, for every compact $K \subset G$ there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $K \subset U_k$ (see [11], Proposition 1).

In [6], Theorem 44.18 it is proven a version of the Lebesgue differentiation theorem with respect to Haar measure for LCA groups having a D' sequence (see [6], Definition 44.10). Note that the result is still true which the obvious changes for measures with are not translation invariant. Thus, since a covering family is in particular D' sequence, we have that the Lebesgue Differentiation theorem holds in our context (see for details [10], Remark 2.6).

For a given $V \in \mathcal{B}$, we will denote by $j(V)$ the maximum integer such that $V = x + U_{j(V)}$ for some $x \in G$. Such a number exists (see for details [10], Remark 2.3).

Let $U \in \mathcal{B}$ be a fixed base set and $k = j(U)$. The local base $\mathcal{B}_U$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_U = \{y + U_j : y \in U, j \leq k\}.$$



We also defined the enlarged set $\widehat{U}$ by the formula

$$\widehat{U} = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{B}_U} V.$$

We have the following geometric properties of $\widehat{U}$ (see [10]): For any $z \in U$, $\widehat{U} \subset z + U_{\theta^2(k)}$ and as a consequence of this property, we have

$$\mu(\widehat{U}) \leq \mu(z + U_{\theta^2(k)}) \leq C_D^2 \mu(z + U_k). \quad (2.1)$$

Any covering family has the so-called engulfing property:

Lemma 2.1 ([10], Lemma 2.2) *Let U, V be two base sets such that $U = x + U_i$ and $V = y + U_j$ with $i \leq j$ and $x, y \in G$. If $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, then $x + U_i \subset y + U_{\theta^2(j)}$.*

We need following covering lemma. Note that Lemma 2.2 has proven in [5] in the case of an translation invariant measure μ . For regular measure μ with doubling property with respect to θ Lemma 2.2 proved in [11].

Lemma 2.2 (see [11], Lemma 2) *Let E be a subset of G and $k : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ a mapping bounded from above, such that for every $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\{x \in E : k(x) \geq k_0\}$ is relatively compact in G . Then there is a sequence $(x_n) \subset E$, finite or infinite, such that*

- (i) *the sequence $(k_n) := (k(x_n))$ is decreasing,*
- (ii) *the sets $x_n + U_{k_n}$ are pairwise disjoint and*
- (iii) *$E \subset \bigcup (x_n + 2U_{k_n})$.*

We now define the local maximal function as follows

$$M_U f(x) = \sup_{x \in V \in \mathcal{B}_U} \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V |f(y)| d\mu$$

for any $x \in \widehat{U}$ and $M_U f(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Consider for a fixed $U \in \mathcal{B}$, the level set for the local maximal function acting on a function w at scale $\lambda > 0$:

$$\Omega_\lambda = \{x \in \widehat{U} : M_U w(x) > \lambda\}.$$

A basic method for investigating level sets is the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of the set Ω_λ .

Lemma 2.3 ([10], Lemma 2.5) *Let $U \in \mathcal{B}$ be a fixed base set in G and w be a nonnegative and integrable function supported on $\widehat{U}$ and $\lambda > w_{\widehat{U}}$. If Ω_λ is nonempty, there exists a finite or countable index set Q and family $\{y_i + U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i \in Q}$ of pairwise disjoint base sets from $\mathcal{B}_U$ such that:*

- (a) *The sequence $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in Q}$ is decreasing.*
- (b) *$\bigcup_{i \in Q} y_i + U_{\alpha_i} \subset \Omega_\lambda \subset \bigcup_{i \in Q} y_i + U_{\theta^2(\alpha_i)}$.*
- (c) *For any $i \in Q$, we have that*

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{\mu(y_i + U_{\alpha_i})} \int_{y_i + U_{\alpha_i}} w d\mu. \quad (2.2)$$

- (d) *Given $r > \alpha_i$ for some $i \in Q$, then*

$$\frac{1}{\mu(y_i + U_r)} \int_{y_i + U_r} w d\mu \leq c_D^2 \lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

From part (b) of Lemma 2.3 and Lebesgue differentiation theorem we obtain that

$$w(x) \leq \lambda \text{ for } x \in \widehat{U} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in Q} y_i + U_{\theta^2(\alpha_i)}. \quad (2.4)$$

A nonnegative, locally integrable function is called a weight. Below we will use the standard notations: for any measurable set E we denote

$$s w(E) = \int_E w d\mu, \text{ and } f_E = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f d\mu.$$

It is well known fact that the class A_∞ weights in Euclidean case can be defined in many equivalent ways. Most of these results can be found in [3]. We introduce in the context LCA group the following definition of A_∞ weights.



Definition 2.4 We say that a weight w satisfies A_∞ condition ($w \in A_\infty(G)$) if there are positive constants $\alpha, \beta < 1$ such that whenever E is a measurable set of a base set V

$$\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} < \alpha \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{w(E)}{w(V)} < \beta. \quad (2.5)$$

In [10] the authors use another definition A_∞ weights for LCA groups. In Euclidean setting this class of weights was originally introduced by Fujii [4] and later by Wilson [12]. In this paper the authors obtained sharp quantitative weighted inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator in context of LCA groups, obtaining an improved version of so-called Buckley's theorem. The authors obtained reverse Hölder inequality for Muckenhoupt A_∞ weights and provide a valid version of the "open property" for Muckenhoupt A_p weights.

We prove following version of reverse Hölder inequality.

Theorem 2.5 (Weak reverse Hölder inequality) *Let $w \in A_\infty(G)$. Then there are positive constants c and δ such that for every base set V*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w^{1+\delta} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}} \leq c \frac{1}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w d\mu.$$

Corollary 2.6 *Let $w \in A_\infty(G)$. Then there are positive constants c and δ such that for every base set V*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V w^{1+\delta} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}} \leq c \frac{1}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w d\mu. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. By (2.1) this is obvious.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $w \in A_\infty(G)$. Then there are constants $0 < b, c < 1$ such that for every base set $V \in \mathcal{B}$*

$$\mu(\{x \in V : w(x) \leq bw_V\}) \leq c\mu(V). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof First observe that condition (2.5) is equivalent to that, there are positive constants $\alpha', \beta' < 1$, such that whenever E is a measurable set of a V base set

$$\frac{w(E)}{w(V)} < \alpha' \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} < \beta'. \quad (2.8)$$

Let $E = \{x \in V : w(x) > bw_V\}$ where $b \in (0, 1)$ is going to be chosen now and let $E' = V \setminus E = \{x \in V : w(x) \leq bw_V\}$. Then $w(E') \leq bw_V \mu(E') \leq bw(V)$. Next if we take $b = \beta'$ we have that $\mu(E') \leq \alpha' \mu(V)$. This yields (2.7). $\square$

Lemma 2.8 *Let $w \in A_\infty(G)$. There are positive constants C and b such that for every base set $V \in \mathcal{B}$ and for every $\lambda > w_{\widehat{V}}$*

$$w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C\lambda\mu(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > b\lambda\}). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof Let $\lambda > w_{\widehat{V}}$. We may consider the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of the set $\Omega_\lambda = \{x \in \widehat{V} : M_V w(x) > \lambda\}$. By Lemma 2.3 we find a family of pairwise disjoint base sets $\{V_i\}_{i \in Q} = \{y_i + U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i \in Q}$ from $\mathcal{B}_V$ satisfying

$$\bigcup_{i \in Q} V_i \subset \Omega_\lambda \subset \bigcup_{i \in Q} V_i^{**}$$

such that

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{\mu(V_i)} \int_{V_i} w d\mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\mu(V_i^{**})} \int_{V_i^{**}} w d\mu \leq c_D^2 \lambda$$

for each i . Using (2.7) we obtain

$$\mu(V_i) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \mu(\{x \in V_i : w(x) > bw_{V_i}\}).$$



By these properties of the V_i sets combined with (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > \lambda\}) &\leq \sum_{i \in Q} w(V_i^{**}) \leq c_D^2 \lambda \sum_{i \in Q} \mu(V_i^{**}) \leq c_D^4 \lambda \sum_{i \in Q} \mu(V_i) \\ &\leq \frac{c_D^4 \lambda}{1-c} \sum_{i \in Q} \mu(\{x \in V_i : w(x) > bw_{V_i}\}) \leq C\lambda \sum_{i \in Q} \mu(\{x \in V_i : w(x) > b\lambda\}) \\ &\leq C\lambda \mu(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > b\lambda\}) \leq C\lambda \mu(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > b\lambda\}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.5 For arbitrary base set V and positive δ we apply (2.9) to obtain estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w^{1+\delta} d\mu &= \frac{1}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w^\delta w d\mu = \frac{\delta}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\delta-1} w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_0^{w_{\widehat{V}}} \lambda^{\delta-1} w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda + \frac{\delta}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{w_{\widehat{V}}}^\infty \lambda^{\delta-1} w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{w(\widehat{V})}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \delta \int_0^{w_{\widehat{V}}} \lambda^{\delta-1} d\lambda + \frac{C\delta}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{w_{\widehat{V}}}^\infty \lambda^\delta w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > b\lambda\}) d\lambda \\ &\leq (w_{\widehat{V}})^{1+\delta} + \frac{C\delta}{b^{1+\delta} \mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{bw_{\widehat{V}}}^\infty \lambda^\delta w(\{x \in \widehat{V} : w(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \\ &\leq (w_{\widehat{V}})^{1+\delta} + \frac{C\delta}{b^{1+\delta} \mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w^{1+\delta} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose δ small enough such that $\frac{C\delta}{b^{1+\delta}} < 1$ the last term can be absorbed by the first term of the string of inequalities. □

Lemma 2.9 Let $w \in A_\infty(G)$. Then there are positive constants c and ρ such that for any base set V and any measurable set E contained in V

$$w(E) \leq c \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} \right)^\rho w(\widehat{V}). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof Let $E \subset V$. If we use Hölder inequality with $r = 1 + \delta$, (2.1) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w(E)}{\mu(V)} &= \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \chi_E w d\mu \leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V w^r \right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu(\widehat{V})} \int_{\widehat{V}} w d\mu \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} \right)^{1/r'}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (2.10) with $\rho = 1/r'$.

Below we need the local variant of Lemma 2.9.

Definition 2.10 For fixed base set $U = x + U_k$ we say that a weight w satisfies weak $A_\infty(U)$ condition ($w \in WA_\infty(U)$) if there are positive constants $\alpha, \beta < 1$ such that for any base set $V \in \mathcal{B}_U$ and for any measurable subset $E \subset V$

$$\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} < \alpha \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{w(E)}{w(V)} < \beta.$$

Lemma 2.11 If a weight w satisfies weak $A_\infty(U)$ condition, then there are constant $C > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$w(E) \leq C \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} \right)^\gamma w(\widehat{V}) \quad (2.11)$$

for each base set $V \subset U$ and each measurable set $E \subset V$.

Proof Proof is the same as in Lemma 2.9. □



3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Given a base set $V \in \mathcal{B}$ define the averaging operator A_V by

$$A_V f(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V f d\mu \chi_V(x).$$

Proposition 3.1 *Given an exponent $p(\cdot)$, $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any base set $V \in \mathcal{B}$*

$$\|A_V f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad (3.1)$$

if and only if $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$.

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is essentially the same as for averaging operator defined by cubes for Euclidean setting (see for example [1], Proposition 4.47).

Lemma 3.2 shows that the condition $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$ is actually sufficient for modular inequality. Similar estimate for the case $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was obtained by Kopaliani [7]. The proof in [7] is based on some concepts from convex analysis. Lerner in [9] gave a different and simple proof. In this paper our approach is based on the adaptation of Lerner's proof [9].

Lemma 3.2 *Given exponent $p(\cdot)$ such that $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$, suppose $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$. Let $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$. If there exists a base set $V \in \mathcal{B}$ and constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $|f|_V \geq c_1$ and $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c_2$, where $c_1, c_2 > 0$, then there exists a constant c depending only on $p(\cdot), c_1, c_2$ such that*

$$\int_V (|f|_V)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq c \int_V |f(x)|^{p(x)} d\mu(x).$$

Proof Using the condition $p_+ < \infty$ we may consider only the case $c_1 = c_2 = 1$. Since $p'_+ < \infty$, by continuity there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_V \alpha^{p'(y)-1} d\mu(y) = \int_V |f(x)| d\mu(x). \quad (3.2)$$

Since $|f|_V \geq 1$, we have $\alpha \geq 1$. By generalized Hölder inequality

$$\int_V f(x) d\mu(x) \leq 2 \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)}$$

we get $\int_V \alpha^{p'(y)-1} d\mu(y) \leq 2 \|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)}$ and consequently,

$$\alpha \leq c / \|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Given this value α , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V (|f|_V)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) &= \int_V \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)-1} d\mu(y) \right)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)-p'(x)} d\mu(y) \right)^{p(x)-1} d\mu(x) \right) \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)} d\mu(y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

For each $x \in V$ partition V into $E_1(x) = \{y \in V : p'(y) > p'(x)\}$ and $E_2(x) = V \setminus E_1(x)$. Using (3.3) and the estimate $\alpha \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)-p'(x)} d\mu(y) &= \int_{E_1(x)} \alpha^{p'(y)-p'(x)} d\mu(y) + \int_{E_2(x)} \alpha^{p'(y)-p'(x)} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq c (\|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)})^{p'(x)} + \mu(V). \end{aligned}$$



In view of $p(\cdot) \in A_{loc}(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)-p'(x)} d\mu(y) \right)^{p(x)-1} d\mu(x) \\
 & \leq c \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} (\|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)})^{p'(x)} + 1 \right)^{p(x)-1} d\mu(x) \\
 & \leq c + c \frac{1}{\mu(V)} \int_V \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V)} (\|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)})^{p'(x)} \right)^{p(x)-1} d\mu(x) \\
 & \leq c + c \int_V \left(\frac{\|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)}}{\mu(V)} \right)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \\
 & \leq c + c \int_V \left(\frac{1}{\|\chi_V\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right)^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq c.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)} d\mu(y) &= 2\alpha \int_V |f(x)| d\mu(x) - \int_V \alpha^{p'(y)} d\mu(y) \\
 &\leq 2\alpha \int_{\{y \in V : 2\alpha |f(y)| > \alpha^{p'(y)}\}} |f(y)| d\mu(y) \\
 &\leq c \int_V |f(y)|^{p(y)} d\mu(y).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain desired estimate. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 Let $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in A_{loc}(G)$. Suppose for base set V we have $\xi_1 \leq t \leq \xi_2 / \|\chi_V\|_{p(\cdot)}$, where $\xi_1, \xi_2 > 0$. Then $t^{p(x)} \in WA_\infty(V)$ with constant in inequality (2.11) depending only on $p(\cdot)$, ξ_1, ξ_2 .

Proof Let $V_0 \subset \widehat{V}$. Let $E \subset V_0$ be any measurable subset with $\mu(E) \geq \mu(V_0)/2$. Define $f = t\chi_E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f|_{V_0} &= \frac{1}{\mu(V_0)} \int_{V_0} t\chi_E(x) d\mu(x) = t \frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V_0)} \geq \frac{\xi_1}{2}, \\
 \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} &= t \|\chi_E\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \xi_2 \frac{\|\chi_E\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\chi_V\|_{p(\cdot)}} \leq \xi_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 with $c_1 = \xi_1/2$, $c_2 = \xi_2$ and there exists a constant c depending only on $p(x)$, ξ_1, ξ_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{p_+}} \int_{V_0} t^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq c \int_E t^{p(x)} d\mu(x).$$

Consequently, there exists constant $C > 1$ such that for any subset $E \subset V_0$, $\mu(E) \geq \mu(V_0)/2$ we have

$$\int_{V_0} t^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq C \int_E t^{p(x)} d\mu(x). \tag{3.7}$$

Define $d\nu(x) = t^{p(x)} d\mu(x)$. From (3.7) we obtain that for any subset $E \subset V_0$, $\mu(E) < \mu(V_0)/2$ we have $\nu(E) \leq (1 - 1/C)\nu(V_0)$. Consequently $t^{p(x)} \in WA_\infty(V)$.

Corollary 3.4 Let $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$ and $p(\cdot) \in A_{loc}(G)$. Then there are constants $C, \gamma > 0$ such that for any base set V and measurable subset $E \subset V$

$$\frac{\int_E t^{p(x)} d\mu(x)}{\int_V t^{p(x)} d\mu(x)} \leq C \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} \right)^\gamma. \tag{3.8}$$



Proof From Theorem 2.11 we obtain that there are constant $C, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\int_E t^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq C \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(V)} \right)^\gamma \int_{\widehat{V}} t^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \quad (3.9)$$

for each base set V and subset $E \subset V$.

Note that $V \subset \widehat{V} \subset V^{**}$ and $\mu(V) \leq \mu(\widehat{V}) \leq \mu(V^{**}) \leq c_D^2 \mu(V)$ (see (2.1)). As in proof of Corollary 3.3 we may find constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \int_V t^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq \int_{\widehat{V}} t^{p(x)} d\mu(x) \leq C_2 \int_V t^{p(x)} d\mu(x).$$

From (3.9) we obtain desired inequality.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We consider only the case $\mu(G) = \infty$, the case $\mu(G) < \infty$ needs minor modification. Suppose $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$ and $\chi_E \in L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$. We can prove the desired inequality for a non-negative function f which are bounded and have a compact support.

Suppose $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(G)$ and $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1$. It is sufficient to prove that there exists a positive constant C (independent of f) such that for any nonnegative function $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(G)$, with support on E , $\|g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 1$

$$\int_G Mf(x)g(x)d\mu \leq C. \quad (3.10)$$

Let $A = c_D^2 + 1$. For each integer k , set

$$\Omega_k = \{x \in G : Mf(x) > A^k\}.$$

Define $D_k = \Omega_k \setminus \Omega_{k+1}$. Let F_k be an arbitrary compact subset of D_k . We will prove that

$$\int_{\cup F_k} Mf(x)g(x)d\mu \leq C. \quad (3.11)$$

By simple limiting argument from (3.11) we obtain (3.10).

Let $\mu(F_k) > 0$. define $l : F_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ function

$$l(x) = \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{1}{\mu(x + U_k)} \int_{x+U_k} |f| d\mu > \lambda \right\}.$$

This mapping is certainly well-defined, note also that the mapping l is bounded from above (see more details in [11] proof of Theorem 3).

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mu(F_k) > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $x \in F_k$ there exists a base set $V_{\alpha_x} = x + U_{\alpha_x}$, such that $|f|_{V_{\alpha_x}} > A^k$. We may select from collection $\{V_{\alpha_x}, x \in F_k\}$, finite or infinite of pairwise disjoint base sets V_{k_j} , such that $F_k \subset \bigcup_j V_{k_j}^{**}$, (see Lemma 2.2).

Define the sets

$$E_1^k = V_{k_1}^{**} \cap F_k, E_j^k = (V_{k_j}^{**} \setminus \bigcup_{s < j} V_{k_s}^{**}) \cap F_k, j > 1.$$

Note that the sets E_j^k are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_j E_j^k = F_k$.

Define

$$Tg(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \int_{E_j^k} g d\mu \right) \chi_{V_{k_j}}(x).$$

Using the above definition, we get

$$\int_{\cup_k F_k} (Mf)(x)g(x)d\mu \leq A^{k+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_j \int_{E_j^k} g d\mu \leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_j f_{V_{k_j}} \int_{E_j^k} g d\mu$$



$$= A \int_G f Tg \leq 2A \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \|Tg\|_{p'(\cdot)},$$

and consequently for proving (3.11), it is sufficient to show that $\|Tg\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C$.

Note that $V_{k_j} \subset \Omega_k = \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} D_{k+l}$ and hence $Tg = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} T_l g$, where

$$T_l g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_j a_{j,k}(g) \chi_{V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}}(x), \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots)$$

where $\alpha_{j,k}(g) = \frac{1}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \int_{E_j^k} g d\mu$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{(j, k) : \alpha_{j,k}(g) > 1\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_2 = \{(j, k) : \alpha_{j,k}(g) \leq 1\}$.

Note that the condition $p \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$ is equivalent to $p' \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}(G)$. The last condition implies that, for any base set V $\|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C \|\chi_V\|_{p'(\cdot)}$ (it is sufficient take use (3.1) inequality for $p'(\cdot)$, $U = V^{**}$ and $f = \chi_V$).

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j,k}(g) &\leq \frac{2}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \|\chi_{E_j^k}\|_{p(\cdot)} \|g \chi_{E_j^k}\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq \frac{2}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \|\chi_{V_{k_j}^{**}}\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\|\chi_{V_{k_j}^{**}}\|_{p'(\cdot)}} \leq \frac{C}{\|\chi_{V_{k_j}}\|_{p'(\cdot)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(j, k) \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Then by Corollary 3.4 $\alpha_{j,k}(g)^{p'(x)} \in WA_{\infty}(V_{k_j})$ and by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}} \alpha_{j,k}(g)^{p'(x)} d\mu &\leq C \left(\frac{\mu(V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l})}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \right)^{\gamma} \int_{V_{k_j}} \alpha_{j,k}(g)^{p'(x)} d\mu \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\mu(V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l})}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \right)^{\gamma} \int_{E_j^k} g(x)^{p'(x)} d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

If $(j, k) \in \mathcal{I}_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}} \alpha_{j,k}(g)^{p'(x)} d\mu &\leq \int_{V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}} \alpha_{j,k}(g) d\mu \\ &= \frac{\mu(V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l})}{\mu(V_{k_j})} \int_{E_j^k} g(x) d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We need estimate $\mu(V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l})$ for $l \geq 2$. Let $x \in V_{k_j}$ and V be an arbitrary base set such that $x \in V$. Observe that either $V \subset V_{k_j}^{**}$ or $V_{k_j} \subset V^{**}$ (see Lemma 2.1). If the second inclusion holds, then $V^{**} \cap D_k \neq \emptyset$ and hence

$$|f|_V \leq c_D^2 |f|_{V^{**}} \leq c_D^2 \cdot A^{k+1} \leq A^{k+l} \quad (l \geq 2).$$

Therefore, if $|f|_V > A^{k+l}$, $(l \geq 2)$ then $V \subset V_{k_j}^{**}$. From this and from weak type property of M (see (1.2)), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}) &\leq \mu\{x \in V_{k_j} : M(f \chi_{V_{k_j}^{**}})(x) > A^{k+l}\} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{A^{k+l}} \int_{V_{k_j}^{**}} |f| d\mu \leq C \frac{\mu(V_{k_j})}{A^{k+l}} |f|_{V_{k_j}^{**}} \leq C \frac{A^{k+1}}{A^{k+l}} \mu(V_{k_j}) \leq \frac{C}{A^l} \mu(V_{k_j}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$



By estimates (3.12),(3.13),(3.14), when $l \geq 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G (T_l g(x))^{p'(x)} d\mu &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_j \int_{V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}} \alpha_{j,k}(g)^{p'(x)} d\mu \\ &\leq C A^{-l\gamma} \sum_{(j,k) \in \mathcal{I}_1} \int_{E_j^k} g(x)^{p'(x)} d\mu + C A^{-l} \sum_{(j,k) \in \mathcal{I}_2} \int_{E_j^k} g(x) d\mu \\ &\leq C A^{-l\alpha} \left(\int_G g(x)^{p'(x)} d\mu + \int_G g(x) d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Where $\alpha = \min\{1, \gamma\}$.

Using the fact that $\|g\|_1 \leq 2\|\chi_E\|_{p'(\cdot)}$, and $\int_G g(x)^{p'(x)} d\mu \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\|T_l g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C A^{-l\alpha/p'_+} \quad (l \geq 2).$$

For $l = 0, 1$ if we use a trivial estimation $\mu(V_{k_j} \cap D_{k+l}) \leq \mu(V_{k_j})$, analogously will be obtained the estimation $\|T_l g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C$. Finally, we obtain

$$\|T g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|T_l g\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq C.$$

□

References

1. D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Variable Lebesgue Spaces, Foundations and Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser, Basel (2013).
2. L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Ružička, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2017. Springer, Heidelberg (2011).
3. J. Duoandikoetxea, F.J. Martín-Reyes and S. Ombrosi, On the A_∞ conditions for general bases, Math. Z. 282 (2016), no. 3-4, 955-972.
4. N. Fujii, Weighted bounded mean oscillation and singular integrals, Math. Japon. 22 (1977/78), no. 5, 529-534.
5. R. E. Edwards and G. I. Gaudry, Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 90.
6. E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II: Structure and analysis for compact groups. Analysis on locally compact Abelian groups, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 152, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.
7. T. Kopaliani, Infimal convolution and Muckenhoupt $A_{p(\cdot)}$ condition in variable L_p spaces. Arch. Math. (Basel), 89(2):185-192, 2007.
8. T. Kopaliani, On the Muckenhoupt condition in variable Lebesgue spaces, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 148:29-33, 2008.
9. A. K. Lerner, On some questions related to the maximal operator on variable L^p spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 362(8):4229-4242, 2010.
10. V. Paternostro and E. Rela, Improved Buckley's theorem on LCA groups, Pacific J. Math., 299 (2019), pp. 171-189.
11. J. Sauer, An extrapolation theorem in non-Euclidean geometries and its application to partial differential equations, J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ. 1 (2015), 403-418.
12. J. M. Wilson, Weighted inequalities for the dyadic square function without dyadic A_∞ , Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), no. 1, 19-50.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

