



Characterization of $\ast$ -(strongly) regular rings in terms of $\mathcal{G}$ -projections

Tufan Özdin

Received: 14 December 2023 / Accepted: 9 February 2024 / Published online: 9 March 2024
© The Indian National Science Academy 2024

Abstract A unit-picker is a map $\mathcal{G}$ that associates to every ring R a well-defined set $\mathcal{G}(R)$ of central units in R which contains 1_R and is invariant under isomorphisms of rings and closed under taking inverses, and which satisfies certain set containment conditions for quotient rings, corner rings and matrix rings. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a unit-picker. An element q of a ring R is $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent, a special kind of the strongly regular element, if $q^2 = uq$ for some unit picker u of R , or equivalently, $q = ue$, where u is a unit picker and e is an idempotent of R . In a ring R with involution $\ast$, projections are self-adjoint idempotents. As a natural generalization of projections, an element q of a ring R is called a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection if $q^2 = uq = uq^\ast$ for some self-adjoint unit-picker u of a $\ast$ -ring R , or equivalently, $q = up$, where p is a projection. We characterize $\ast$ -(strongly) regular rings in terms of the $\mathcal{G}$ -projection element.

Keywords ($\mathcal{G}$)-idempotent element · ($\mathcal{G}$)-projection element · $\ast$ -(strongly) regular ring

Mathematics Subject Classification 15A09 · 15A27 · 16U80 · 16U99 · 16W10

1 Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity. A ring R is *regular* (in the sense of von Neumann) if for every $a \in R$ the principal right ideal aR is generated by an idempotent, or equivalently there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$; and an element a in a ring R is called *strongly regular* if $a \in a^2R \cap Ra^2$. It is shown that $a \in R$ is strongly regular if and only if $a = ue = eu$ where $e^2 = e \in R$ and u is a unit in R if and only if $a^2 = ua = au$ for a unit u of R in [14]. The authors of [16, 19] and [15] introduced a generalization of the idempotent element which is also a special kind of the strongly regular element: an element q of a ring R is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent (or a *quasi idempotent*), if $q^2 = uq$ for some unit-picker (or central unit) u of R , or equivalently, $q = ue$, where u is a unit-picker (or central unit) and e is an idempotent of R . For a ring R , the set of $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent elements is denoted by $\mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$. Notice that a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent is a strongly regular element, but the converse is not true. Also, UU -rings, UJ -rings and UNJ -rings in the general setting of $\mathcal{G}$ were investigated by Zhou in [19]. (See more information UU -rings, UJ -rings and UNJ -rings in [2, 10–12])

An *involution* of a ring R is an operation $\ast : R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$(x + y)^\ast = x^\ast + y^\ast, (xy)^\ast = y^\ast x^\ast, \text{ and } (x^\ast)^\ast = x,$$

Communicated by B. Sury.

T. Özdin (✉)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Art, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, 24100 Erzincan, Turkey
E-mail: tufan.ozdin@hotmail.com; tozdin@erzincan.edu.tr

for all $x, y \in R$. A ring R with an involution $*$ is called a $*$ -ring. An element p in a $*$ -ring R is called a *projection* if p is a self-adjoint idempotent, i.e., $p^* = p$ and $p = p^2$.

A $*$ -ring R is called $*$ -regular if for every element a in R there exists a projection p such that $aR = pR$, equivalently, R is regular and involution is proper (i.e., $aa^* = 0$ implies $a^* = 0$ for all $a \in R$) in [1]. Let R be a $*$ -ring. In [7] were studied basic properties and examples of $*$ -regular rings. Also, the authors investigated some characterisations of $*$ -regular rings in [3].

An element $a \in R$ is called $*$ -strongly regular if there exist $p \in PE(R)$ and $u \in U(R)$ such that $a = pu = up$. A ring R is called $*$ -strongly regular if every element of R is $*$ -strongly regular. Clearly, $*$ -strongly regular elements are strongly regular, and so $*$ -strongly regular rings are strongly regular. However, the converse is not true in general. Recently, in [8] and [5] were studied the $*$ - π -regularity and strongly $*$ - π -regularity, respectively. In [4] was introduced the structure of $*$ -periodic rings as well.

So, a natural generalization of projection is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. An element q of a $*$ -ring R is called $\mathcal{G}$ -projection if $q^2 = uq = uq^*$ for some self-adjoint unit-picker u of a $*$ -ring R . The element q is also called a u -projection. Denote by $\mathcal{G}-PE(R)$ the set of all $\mathcal{G}$ -projection elements of R . Clearly, a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection is also a special kind of the strongly regular element of a $*$ -ring R .

In this paper, we define and give some characterization of $\mathcal{G}$ -projection elements. Also, in section 3, we describe structure of $*$ -strongly regular rings and $*$ -regular rings in terms of a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent and a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.

Throughout this paper, R will be an associative ring with identity. We write $E(R)$, $CE(R)$, $U(R)$, $UC(R)$ and $PE(R)$ to denote the set of all idempotents, the set of central elements, the set of units, the set of central units and the set of all projections elements of R , respectively. Here, $\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers.

2 $\mathcal{G}$ -projection elements

Definition 2.1 [15, 16, 19] Recall that a unit-picker is a map $\mathcal{G}$ that associates to every ring R a well-defined set $\mathcal{G}(R)$ of central units in R such that $\mathcal{G}(R)$ is invariant under isomorphism of rings and the following are satisfied:

- (1) $1_R \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ and $g^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{G}(R)$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{G}(R) + I)/I \subseteq \mathcal{G}(R/I)$ for any ideal $I \triangleleft R$.
- (3) $e\mathcal{G}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(eRe)$ for any $e^2 = e \in R$.
- (4) $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{M}_n(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{M}_n(C(R)))$ for any $n > 1$.

Example 2.2 ([16], Example 2.2) The following $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_{\pm 1}, \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt[n]{1}}, \mathcal{G}_{\infty\sqrt[n]{1}}, \mathcal{G}_{n\infty\sqrt[n]{1}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_f$ are examples of unit-pickers:

- (1) $\mathcal{G}_1(R) = \{1\}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{G}_{\pm 1}(R) = \{1, -1\}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt[n]{1}}(R) = \{g \in UC(R) : g^n = 1\}$, where n is a fixed positive integer.
- (4) $\mathcal{G}_{\infty\sqrt[n]{1}}(R) = \{g \in UC(R) : g^k = 1 \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}$.
- (5) $\mathcal{G}_{n\infty\sqrt[n]{1}}(R) = \{g \in UC(R) : g^{n^k} = 1 \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}$, where n is a fixed positive integer.
- (6) $\mathcal{G}_f(R) = UC(R)$, here the symbol f comes from the word full.

Definition 2.3 An element q of a $*$ -ring R is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection if $q^2 = uq = uq^*$ for some self-adjoint unit-picker u of a $*$ -ring R . The element q is also called a u -projection. Denote by $\mathcal{G}-PE(R)$ the set of all $\mathcal{G}$ -projection elements of R .

Proposition 2.4 Let R be a $*$ -ring.

- (1) An element q is a u -projection where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker if and only if $q = up$, where p is a projection and u is a self-adjoint unit-picker.
- (2) If q is a u -projection where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker then q is a self-adjoint.
- (3) Every $\mathcal{G}$ -projection is $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent.
- (4) Every $\mathcal{G}$ -projection is $*$ -strongly regular.
- (5) An element q is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent if and only if q^* is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent.
- (6) If q is a u -idempotent where u is a unit-picker then $u^* - q^*$ is a u^* -idempotent.
- (7) If q is a u -projection where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker, then $u - q$ and $u^{-1}q$ are $\mathcal{G}$ -projections.

Proof (1) Let q be a u -projection. Then $q^2 = uq = uq^*$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker. Since

$$(u^{-1}q)^2 = (u^{-1}q)(u^{-1}q) = u^{-1}u^{-1}q^2 = u^{-1}u^{-1}uq = u^{-1}q$$



and

$$(u^{-1}q)^* = (u^{-1})^*q^* = (u^*)^{-1}q^* = u^{-1}q^* = u^{-1}q,$$

we obtain that $u^{-1}q$ is a projection. Hence $u^{-1}q = p$ implies $q = up$, where p is a projection.

For the converse, suppose that $q = up$ where $p^2 = p = p^*$ and u is a self-adjoint unit-picker. Since $q^* = (up)^* = u^*p^* = up$, we get $q^2 = (up)(up) = uup^2 = u(up) = uq = uq^*$. Hence q is a u -projection.

(2) Let q be a u -projection. By (1), there exist a projection p and a self-adjoint unit-picker u such that $q = up = up^*$. So, $q^* = (up)^* = u^*p^* = up = q$.

(3) let q be a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. By (1), there exist a projection p and a (self-adjoint) unit-picker u such that $q = up$. Since every projections is an idempotent, we get q is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent.

(4) This follows from [18, Corollary 3.9] and (1).

(5) Let $q = ue$ where u is a unit-picker of R and $e^2 = e \in R$. Since $UC(R) = UC(R)^*$, we obtain that

$$(q^*)^2 = (ue)^*(ue)^* = e^*u^*e^*u^* = u^*u^*(ee)^* = u^*u^*e^* = u^*q^*,$$

as desired. The proof of the reverse implication is similar.

(6) Since q is a u -idempotent, we get $q^2 = uq$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (u^* - q^*)^2 &= (u^* - q^*)(u^* - q^*) \\ &= u^*u^* - u^*q^* - q^*u^* + q^*q^* \\ &= u^*u^* - u^*q^* - u^*q^* + (qq)^* (= (qu)^*) \\ &= u^*u^* - u^*q^* - u^*q^* + u^*q^* \\ &= u^*(u^* - q^*). \end{aligned}$$

(7) Let q be a u -projection, i.e., $q^2 = uq = uq^*$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker. Since $(u - q)^2 = u^2 - uq - qu + q^2 = u^2 - uq - uq + uq = u(u - q) = u(u - q)^*$, we have $(u - q)$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. On the other hand, as $u^{-1}q \in PE(R)$, we get $u^{-1}q$ is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. $\square$

Example 2.5 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ and consider the involution map $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ defined by $(a, b)^* = (b, a)$. Then R is a $*$ -ring. The element $q := (1, 0)$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R which is not a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. Since $q^2 = uq$, where $u = (1, 1)$ is a central self-adjoint, we have q is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent but $q^* \neq q$ so $q = (1, 0)$ is not a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.

Example 2.6 (1) Let $R = \mathbb{T}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ be the 2×2 upper triangular matrix ring and consider the involution map

$*$: $R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to check that $q := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection (since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$) but it is not a projection.

(2) Let $R = \mathbb{M}_n(F_2)$ and consider the involution map $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ defined by, if $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(F_2)$, A^* is the transpose of (a_{ij}^*) . Note that $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a self-adjoint unit-picker, $p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a projection and $q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is not a projection. Since $q = up$, the element q is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.

Example 2.7 Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the set of real numbers and $R = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Consider the involution map $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ defined by $(a, b)^* = (b, a)$. The element $a := (2, 3)$ is a $*$ -strongly regular element but it is not a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection by Proposition 2.4(2).

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Idempotent} & \implies & \mathcal{G}\text{-Idempotent} & \implies & \text{Strongly Regular} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Projection} & \implies & \mathcal{G}\text{-Projection} & \implies & *\text{-Strongly Regular} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2.8 Let R be a $*$ -ring.

- (1) Let $a \in R$ such that $a = up$ for some self-adjoint unit-picker u and a projection p . Then a is central if and only if p is central.
- (2) If every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection then $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotents of R are central.



Proof (1) Assume $a \in R$ which is the form $a = up$ is central. Then $p = u^{-1}a$. Since u is a self-adjoint unit-picker, p is central.

The converse is clear.

(2) Let a be a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent in R . Then $a^2 = ua$ where $u \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. For each $r \in R$, consider the element $q = a + (u - a)ra$ of R . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 &= [a + (u - a)ra][a + (u - a)ra] \\ &= a^2 + a(u - a)ra + (u - a)ra^2 + (u - a)ra(u - a)ra \\ &= ua + u(u - a)ra \\ &= uq. \end{aligned}$$

implies that q is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent. By hypothesis, q is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. By Proposition 2.4(2), $a = a^*$ and $q = q^*$. Therefore

$$a + (u - a)ra = q = q^* = (a + (u - a)ra)^* = a^* + a^*r^*(u - a)^* = a + ar^*(u - a).$$

Notice that $(u - a)^* = u - a$ by Proposition 2.4(7). Now $a + (u - a)ra = a + ar^*(u - a)$, which implies that

$$(u - a)ra = ar^*(u - a).$$

Multiplying (1) by $(u - a)$ from the left and right, respectively, we get

$$ar^*u = ar^*a \text{ and } ura = ara \text{ (i.e., } (u - a)Ra = 0).$$

Hence, $ur^*a = ar^*a = ar^*u$ implies that $r^*au = ar^*u$ which gives $r^*a = ar^*$. $\square$

Lemma 2.9 Assume that units of a $\ast$ -ring R are self-adjoint. Then $\mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$ if and only if, for each $a, b \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$, $a^*a = aa^*$ and $b^*b = 0$ implies $b^2 = 0$ (or $b^* = b = 0$).

Proof Assume that $\mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$. By Proposition 2.4(2), $a = a^*$ and $b^* = b$. Clearly, $a^*a = aa^*$. Since $b^2 = ub$ for some (self-adjoint) unit-picker u of R , $b^* = b$ and $b^*b = 0$, we obtain that $b^*bu = bbu = 0$. Hence $b^2 = bu = 0$ which implies $b = 0$.

For the converse, by Proposition 2.4(3), $\mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$, let $a \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$, where $a^2 = ua$ for some unit-picker u of R . Take $b := ua - aa^*$. Then

$$b^* = (ua - aa^*)^* = (ua)^* - (aa^*)^* = u^*a^* - a^*a = ua^* - a^*a.$$

By hypothesis, we have $ua = aa^*$ and $ua^* = a^*a$. Since $(a^2)^* = (aa)^* = a^*a^*$ and $(a^2)^* = (au)^* = ua^*$, we obtain that $b^*b = 0$. Hence $a^2 = ua = ua^*$, as desired. $\square$

Lemma 2.8 (or 2.9) yields the following.

Corollary 2.10 Let R be a $\ast$ -ring and $\mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$. Then each $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotents of R is central.

3 $\ast$ -(strongly) regular rings with $\mathcal{G}$ -projections

According to Koliha and Patricio ([9]), the *commutant* and the *double commutant* of an element $a \in R$ are defined by

$$\text{comm}(a) = \{x \in R \mid xa = ax\}$$

and

$$\text{comm}^2(a) = \{x \in R \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(a)\}.$$

It is known that a ring R is strongly regular if and only if for each $a \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ such that $a + e \in U(R)$ and $ae = 0$.

Let R be a $\ast$ -ring. An element a of R is called $\ast$ -strongly regular if there exists a projection $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ such that $a + p \in U(R)$ and $ap = 0$. A ring R is $\ast$ -strongly regular if every element of R is $\ast$ -strongly regular.



Theorem 3.1 *A $\ast$ -ring R is $\ast$ -strongly regular if and only if R is strongly regular and every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.*

Proof Let R be a $\ast$ -strongly regular. Then it is a strongly regular ring. Now we show that an arbitrary $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent q of R is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. Since q is $\ast$ -strongly regular, then there exists a projection $p \in \text{comm}^2(q)$ such that $q + p \in U(R)$ and $qp = 0$. Notice that p is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. So there exists a self-adjoint unit-picker, say v , in R such that $p^2 = vp$. It follows that $q = v - p$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection by Proposition 2.4(7).

Assume R is a strongly regular ring and every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. Then, for every $a \in R$, there exists a unique $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ such that $a + p = u \in U(R)$ and $ap = 0$. We show that p is a projection. Since $p^2 = p \in E(R)$, we obtain that $p \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$. By hypothesis, $p \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$, i.e., p is a u -projection. By Proposition 2.4(2), $p^2 = p = p^\ast$ as desired. $\square$

An element a of a ring R is said to *group invertible*, $R^\#$ denotes the set of all group invertibles, if there is a $a^\#$ such that

$$aa^\#a = a, \quad a^\#aa^\# = a^\#, \quad a^\#a = aa^\#.$$

Clearly, R is a strongly regular ring if and only if $R^\# = R$. (see [18])

Corollary 3.2 *A $\ast$ -ring R is $\ast$ -strongly regular if and only if $R^\# = R$ and every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.*

Theorem 3.3 *The following are equivalent for a $\ast$ -ring R :*

- (1) R is $\ast$ -strongly regular,
- (2) For each $a \in R$, there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$, $b = bab$ and $ab = ba \in PE(R)$,
- (3) For each $a \in R$, there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$, $b = bab$ and $ab = ba \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) This implication follows from [18, Theorem 3.8].

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) This implication follows from by diagram (1).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose, for each $a \in R$, there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$, $b = bab$ and $ab = ba \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$. By Proposition 2.4(2), $(ab)^\ast = ab$. Let $p := 1 - ab$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 &= (1 - ab)(1 - ab) = 1 - ab - ab + abab = 1 - ab \\ p^\ast &= (1 - ab)^\ast = 1 - (ab)^\ast = 1 - ab \end{aligned}$$

which imply that $p^2 = p = p^\ast$, i.e., $p \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$. Since $(a + p)(b + p) = 1$ and $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$, we obtain that the element $a \in R$ is $\ast$ -strongly regular. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 *A $\ast$ -ring R is $\ast$ -strongly regular if and only if, for each $a \in R$, there exist $u \in U(R)$ and $b \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$ such that $ab = a = ub = bu$.*

Proof Let R is a $\ast$ -strongly regular $\ast$ -ring and $a \in R$. Then there exists a $p \in PE(R)$ such that $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$, $a + p = u \in U(R)$ and $ap = 0$. Let $b := 1 - p$. By Proposition 2.4(7) and by diagram (1), b is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. Since $a + p = u$, $ap = 0$ and $b = 1 - p$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} ap = up - p^2 \text{ implies } p^2 = p = up, \quad a = u - up \text{ implies } a = u(1 - p) = ub, \\ ab = a - ap \text{ implies } ab = a, \\ ba = a - pa \text{ implies } ba = a. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse, assume that there exists a unit-picker, say v , such that $b^2 = vb$. Let $c := v^{-1}u^{-1}au^{-1}v^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} aca &= a & cac &= b \\ ac &= v^{-1}b & ca &= v^{-1}b \end{aligned}$$

which imply that $ac = ca = v^{-1}b$. By Proposition 2.4 (7), we get that $ac = ca = v^{-1}b \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R)$. Hence R is a $\ast$ -strongly regular ring by Theorem 3.3. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 *Let a be a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection element a $\ast$ -ring R such that $a = up$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection. Then $aR = pR$.*



Proof Let $x \in aR$. Then there exists an element y in R such that $x = ay$. So

$$x = ay = (up)y = p(uy)$$

which implies that $x \in pR$. On the other hand, let $x \in pR$. Then $x = py$ for some $y \in R$. Since $p = u^{-1}a$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection by Proposition 2.4(7), we get

$$x = (u^{-1}a)y = a(u^{-1}y) \in aR.$$

They imply $aR = pR$. □

Lemma 3.6 *The following are equivalent for a $*$ -ring R :*

- (1) R is $*$ -regular,
- (2) For every $a \in R$, there exists a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $b := up$, where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection, such that $aR = bR = pR$,
- (3) Every finitely generated right ideal of R is generated by a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection of R .
- (4) For every $a \in R$, $Ra = Ra^*a$ and $Ra = Rb^*a = Rba$ where b is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $a \in R$. By (1), there exists a projection b such that $aR = bR$. By diagram (1), $b = up$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection. Now, $aR = bR = pR$ by the previous paragraph.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Recall that if b is a u -idempotent, i.e. $b^2 = ub$ and $b = ue$, e is an idempotent, then we have a direct sum decomposition of R :

$$R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R = bR \oplus (u - b)R$$

where $bR = eR$, $(u - b)R = (1 - e)R$ and $u - b = u(1 - e)$ is also a u -idempotent. Since R is regular by (2), every finitely generated right ideal, say aR , of R is generated by an idempotent. Hence $aR = eR = bR$ by (2).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let $a \in R$. By (3), there exists a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $b := up$, where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection, such that $aR = bR = pR$. Let $a = br$ and $b = as$ for some $r, s \in R$. Hence

$$pa = p(br) = p(up)r = up^2r = upr = br = a$$

which implies that $Ra = Ra^*a$ and $a = pa = u^{-1}ba = u^{-1}b^*a$ by Proposition 2.4(2), i.e., $Ra \subseteq Rb^*a = Rba$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) The implication follows from [3, Proposition 2.7]. □

Proposition 3.7 *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Assume that unit-pickers of R are self-adjoint. Then R is $*$ -regular if and only if R is regular and every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.*

Proof Let R is a $*$ -regular ring. Then R is regular. Let q be a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent, i.e., $q = ue$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and e is an idempotent. Since R is a $*$ -regular ring, the idempotent e is projection, i.e., $e = e^*$ by [7, Proposition 2.3]. Then $q^* = (ue)^* = e^*u^* = ue = q$ which implies that $uq = uq^*$. Hence $q^2 = uq = uq^*$, as desired.

For the converse, let $a \in R$. Since R is regular, there exists an idempotent e of R such that $aR = eR$. Then e is a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent and so is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection by diagram (1). Let $e = up$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection. As $eR = pR$, we get $aR = eR = pR$. □

Proposition 3.8 *The following are equivalent for a $*$ -ring R :*

- (1) R is $*$ -regular and $\mathcal{G}\text{-}PE(R) = \mathcal{G}(R) \cup \{0\} = \mathcal{G}(R)^* \cup \{0\}$,
- (2) R is regular and $\mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R) = \mathcal{G}(R) \cup \{0\}$,
- (3) R is a division ring.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Clearly, R is a regular ring. Let $a \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$, i.e., $a^2 = ua$ and $a = ue$ where $u \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ and $e \in E(R)$. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $b = vp$ where $v^* = v \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ and $p \in PE(R)$ such that $aR = bR = pR$. So $a = br$ and $b = as$ for some $r, s \in R$. Since $b \in \mathcal{G}(R) \cup \{0\}$ by hypothesis, we have the following two cases.

Case 1.: If $b = 0$, then $br = a = 0$.

Case 2.: If $b = vp \in \mathcal{G}(R)$, then $p = 1$. Hence $v = b = as$ implies

$$av = a^2s = (ua)s = u(as) = ub = bu$$

which gives us that $a = u \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ and so $a \in \mathcal{G}(R) \cup \{0\}$.



The reverse implication, $\mathcal{G}(R) \cup \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R)$, is clear.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Since R is regular, $x = xyx$ for some $y \in R$. As $0 \neq xy \in E(R)$ and $0 \neq yx \in E(R)$, we get $xy, yx \in \mathcal{G}\text{-}E(R) = \mathcal{G}(R) \cup \{0\}$ and so $xy = 1 = yx$. Hence $x \in U(R)$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By [7, Proposition 3.5], R is a $*$ -regular ring and 0, 1 are only projections. Let a be a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection. Then there exists a $u^* = u \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ and $p \in PE(R)$ such that $a = up$. If $p = 0$, then $a = 0$ as desired. If $p = 1$, then $a = u \in \mathcal{G}(R)$. $\square$

A ring is *Abelian* if all of its idempotents are central, and a $*$ -ring is *$*$ -Abelian* if every projection is central ([17]). If every idempotent of $*$ -ring R is projection, then R is Abelian ([13]). Clearly, Abelian $*$ -rings are $*$ -Abelian. Recall that Abelian regular rings are strongly regular, and a $*$ -ring R is $*$ -strongly regular if and only if R is regular and every idempotent of R is projection ([18, Corollary 3.5]).

Corollary 3.9 *A $*$ -ring R is $*$ -strongly regular if and only if R is regular and every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R is $\mathcal{G}$ -projection.*

Proof ($\Rightarrow$) This implication follows from Theorem 3.1 and [18, Corollary 3.5].

($\Leftarrow$) This implication follows from Proposition 2.4, Theorem 3.7 and [18, Corollary 3.5]. $\square$

Recall from [1], the involution of a $*$ -ring is said to be proper if $a^*a = 0$ implies $a = 0$.

Theorem 3.10 *The following are equivalent for a $*$ -ring R :*

- (1) R is $*$ -strongly regular,
- (2) R is strongly regular and the involution is proper,
- (3) For each $a \in R$, there exists a central $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $b = up$, where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection, such that $aR = bR (= pR)$,
- (4) For each $a \in R$, $Ra = Raa^* \subseteq aR$,
- (5) R is $*$ -regular, R is Abelian and every $\mathcal{G}$ -projection is central.

Proof (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Because of Theorem 3.1, we only prove that the involution $*$ is proper. Let $a \in R$ and $a^*a = 0$. By Corollary 3.4, there exist a unit, say u , and a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection, say b , such that $ab = a = ub = bu$. Since b is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection, we have $b^2 = vb$ where v is a self-adjoint unit-picker and $b = b^*$ by Proposition 2.4(2). Hence

$$0 = a^*a = (bu)^*(bu) = u^*b^*bu = u^*b^2u = u^*(vb)u = u^*va$$

which implies that $a = 0$ as $U(R) = U(R)^*$ (i.e., u^* is also a unit).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) We again recall that, since R is strongly regular, R is regular and Abelian and hence R is $*$ -strongly regular. Let $a \in R$. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $b = up$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker by Proposition 2.4(1) and p is a projection such that $aR = bR = pR$. Now we show that b is central. Since R is Abelian, the projection p (by Lemma 2.8(1)) is also a central. Hence, for any $r \in R$,

$$br = (uq)r = u(qr) = u(rq) = r(uq) = rb$$

and $r^*b = br^*$ as $(br)^* = (rb)^*$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let $a \in R$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $Ra = Raa^*$. By (3), there exists a central $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $b = up$, where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection, such that $aR = bR = pR$. Then $a = ps$ and $b = ar$ for some $s, r \in R$. Note that $pa = p^2s = ps = a$ since p is a projection. Let $e := u^{-1}ra$. Then

$$ae = a(u^{-1}ra) = u^{-1}(ara) = u^{-1}(ba) = (u^{-1}b)a = pa = a$$

and $e^2 = e$. Now, there exists a central $\mathcal{G}$ -projection $c = vt$, where v is a central self-adjoint unit-picker and t is a projection, such that $eR = cR = tR$ by (3). By Lemma 2.8(1), the projection t is central. As $e = te = et = t$, we get e is a central projection and so $ue = ra = eb$ is a central projection. On the other hand, $b^2 = ub$ implies that

$$b = u^{-1}b^2 = u^{-1}(arar) = a(u^{-1}ra)r = aer = are = be = eb.$$

Thus $b = eb = be = ue = up$ which gives $e = p$. So

$$Ra = Re = Rb = Rb^* \subseteq Ra^*.$$



Similarly, $Ra^* \subseteq Ra$. Therefore

$$Ra = Raa^* = Ra^*.$$

It is also easy to see that

$$Ra = Re = Rb = bR = arR \subseteq aR.$$

By Lemma 3.6,

$$Ra = Rb^*a = Rba \subseteq aR$$

and so

$$Ra = Rb^*a = Rba = bRa \subseteq bR = b^*R.$$

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) By [18, Theorem 3.13], R is $*$ -regular and R is Abelian. Let a be a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection, i.e., $a = up$, where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection. Since R is Abelian, the projection p is central. By Lemma 2.8(1), the $\mathcal{G}$ -projection a is central.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) This implication follows from [18, Theorem 3.13]. $\square$

Corollary 3.11 *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Every $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent of R is $*$ -strongly regular if and only if $\mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-E}(R)$.*

According to [6], a subring S of a $*$ -ring R is called $*$ -invariant if $S^* \subseteq S$. Then S is a $*$ -ring (possibly without the identity of R).

Theorem 3.12 *Let R be a $*$ -ring.*

- (1) *If R is $*$ -regular and a is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection of R , then aRa is $*$ -regular.*
- (2) *If R is $*$ -strongly regular and a is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection of R , then aRa is $*$ -strongly regular.*
- (3) *R is $*$ -strongly regular if and only if $\mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-E}(R)$ and aRa is a $*$ -strongly regular ring for each $a \in \mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(R)$.*

Proof (1) Let R be $*$ -regular ring and $a = up$ be a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection of R where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection. Let $x \in aRa$. Then $x = ara$ for some $r \in R$. Now $x = ara = (up)r(up)$. Since R is a regular ring, we choose $y \in R$ as $x = xyx$. Hence

$$xp = (ara)p = ((up)r(up))p = uprup^2 = (up)r(up) = ara = x$$

and, similarly, $px = x$. On the other hand,

$$xyx = (xp)y(px) = x(pyp)x = x(u^{-1}ayau^{-1})x.$$

Replacing y by $u^{-1}ayau^{-1}$, we get $y \in aRa$. Since aRa is $*$ -ring, aRa is a $*$ -invariant.

(2) Let R be a $*$ -regular ring. Then R is Let R be $*$ -regular and Abelian by Theorem 3.10. By (1), aRa is $*$ -regular and Abelian. Hence aRa is $*$ -strongly regular.

(3) ($\Rightarrow$) This implication follows from (2), Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.11.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $x \in R$ and a be a $\mathcal{G}$ -idempotent. Then a is a $\mathcal{G}$ -projection, i.e., $a = up$ where u is a self-adjoint unit-picker and p is a projection. Since $p = u^{-1}a \in \text{PE}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-E}(R)$. By hypothesis, pRp and $(1-p)R(1-p)$ are $*$ -strongly regular. By Proposition 2.4(7), the elements $u^{-1}aRau^{-1}$ and $(1-u^{-1}e)R(1-u^{-1}e)$ are $*$ -strongly regular. By Corollary 3.4, we obtain

$$u^{-1}axau^{-1} = vp_1 = p_1v$$

where $v \in U(u^{-1}aRau^{-1})$ and $p_1 \in \mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(u^{-1}aRau^{-1})$, and

$$(1-u^{-1}a)x(1-u^{-1}a) = wp_2 = p_2w$$

where $w \in U((1-u^{-1}a)R(1-u^{-1}a))$ and $p_2 \in \mathcal{G}\text{-PE}((1-u^{-1}a)R(1-u^{-1}a))$. Since $\mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(R) = \mathcal{G}\text{-E}(R)$, by Lemma 2.8(2),

$$\begin{aligned} x &= xp + x(1-p) = pxp + (1-p)x(1-p) \\ &= (u^{-1}a)x(u^{-1}a) + (1-(u^{-1}a))x(1-(u^{-1}a)) \\ &= vp_1 + wp_2 \\ &= (p_1 + p_2)(v + w) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_1 + p_2 \in \mathcal{G}\text{-PE}(R)$ and $v + w \in U(R)$. By Corollary 3.4, we get R is $*$ -strongly regular. $\square$

Acknowledgements The author is thankful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions to improve the article.



References

1. S. K. Berberian, *Bear *-rings*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 95 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972).
2. G. Călugăreanu, *UU rings*, *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, **31**(2) (2015), 157–163. <https://doi.org/10.37193/CJM.2015.02.02>
3. J. Chen and J. Cui, Two questions of L. Vaš on *-clean rings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **88**(3) (2013), 499–505. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972713000117>
4. J. Cui and P. Danchev, Some new characterizations of periodic rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **19**(12) (2020), 2050235. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498820502357>
5. J. Cui and P. Danchev, On strongly π -regular rings with involution, *Commun. Math.*, **31**(1) (2023), 73–80. <https://doi.org/10.46298/cm.10273>
6. J. Cui and Z. Wang, A note on strongly *-clean rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **52**(4) (2015), 839–851. <https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.2015.52.4.839>
7. J. Cui and X. Yin, Some characterizations of *-regular ring, *Comm. Algebra*, **45**(2) (2017), 841–848. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1175597>
8. J. Cui and X. Yin, On π -regular rings with involution, *Algebra Colloq.*, **25**(3) (2018), 509–518. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1005386718000342>
9. J. J. Koliha and P. Patricio, Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **72**(1) (2002), 137–152. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700003657>
10. M. T. Koşan, A. Leroy and J. Matczuk, On *UJ*-rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **46**(5) (2018), 2297–2303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2017.1388814>
11. M. T. Koşan, T. C. Quynh, T. Yıldırım and J. Žemlička, Rings such that, for each unit u , $u - u^n$ belongs to the Jacobson radical, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, **49**(4) 2020, 1397–1404. <https://doi.org/10.15672/hujms.542574>
12. M. T. Koşan, T. C. Quynh, and J. Žemlička, *UNJ*-Rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **19**(9) 2020, 2050170. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498820501704>
13. C. Li and Y. Zhou, On strongly *-clean rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **10**(6) (2011), 1363–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498811005221>
14. W. K. Nicholson, Strongly clean rings and Fitting's lemma, *Comm. Algebra*, **27**(8) (1999), 3583–3592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879908826649>
15. G. Tang, H. Su and P. Yuan, Quasi-clean rings and strongly quasi-clean rings, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **25**(2) (2023), 2150079. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199721500796>
16. G. Tang and Y. Zhou, Nil $\mathcal{G}$ -clean rings and strongly nil $\mathcal{G}$ -clean rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **21**(4) (2022), 2250077. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498822500773>
17. L. Vaš, *-Clean rings; some clean and almost clean Baer *-rings and von Neumann algebras, *J. Algebra*, **324**(12) (2010), 3388–3400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.10.011>
18. L. Wang, Y. Qu and J. Wei, *-strongly regular rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **19**(11) (2020), 2050211. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498820502114>
19. Y. Zhou, Generalizations of *UU*-rings, *UJ*-rings and *UNJ*-rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **22**(5) (2023), 2350102. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498823501025>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

