



Injectivity in the category of $C(X)$ -algebras

Massoud Amini · Farid Behrouzi

Received: 28 September 2023 / Accepted: 22 February 2024 / Published online: 25 May 2024
© The Indian National Science Academy 2024

Abstract Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. We show the existence and uniqueness of injective envelope in the category of unital $C(X)$ -algebras. As an application, we characterize injective objects in the category of upper semi-continuous C^* -bundles.

Keywords $C_0(X)$ -algebra · C^* -bundle · Completely positive map · Injective envelope

Mathematics Subject Classification 46M10 · 46L05

1 Introduction

Characterizing injective objects is a central problem in the study of categories in functional analysis. This is done in the categories of Banach spaces [3, 14, 20], Banach modules [9, 10, 15], operator systems [2, 12] and C^* -algebras [11]. Injective objects in the category of Banach spaces with contractive linear maps as morphisms are studied in [20]. In this category, injective objects prove to be quite exceptional: they are Banach spaces which are isometrically isomorphic to $C(X)$, for a compact and extremely disconnected space X .

For a Banach algebra A , the injective objects in the category of Banach A -modules are discussed in [9]. Same is done for the subcategory of non-degenerate locally $C_0(X)$ -convex $C_0(X)$ -Banach modules in [15]. Such modules do not have an algebra structure and could not be considered as generalizations of C^* -algebras. A related category is the category of $C_0(X)$ -algebras which are used to define the crossed products of C^* -algebras by groupoids [21]. In this paper we characterize injective objects in the category of $C(X)$ -algebras for a compact Hausdorff space X . As an application, we prove the existence of injective objects in the category of upper semi-continuous C^* -bundles.

Injectivity is widely studied in the category of von Neumann algebras (and more generally in that of C^* -algebras, operator systems, or operator spaces). Arveson's celebrated extension theorem [1] (which generalizes Hahn-Banach theorem) could be stated as the injectivity of the algebra $B(H)$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert space H in the category of von Neumann algebras. From this it follows that an operator system $R \subseteq B(H)$ is injective if and only if there is a completely positive projection from $B(H)$ onto R [2]. Hakeda and Tomiyama were the first to notice that for von Neumann algebras, the latter property is the same as the Schwartz's Property

Communicated by B V Rajarama Bhat.

M. Amini

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran 14115-134, Iran
E-mail: mamini@modares.ac.ir

F. Behrouzi (✉)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Alzahra University, Tehran 1993891176, Iran
E-mail: f_behrouzi@alzahra.ac.ir

P [7]. This observation could be used to construct non injective von Neumann algebras. Moreover, Effros and Lance observed in [6] that semidiscrete von Neumann algebras (i.e., $R \subseteq B(H)$ for which the product map: $R \otimes R \rightarrow B(H)$ is norm-bounded) must be injective. In his seminal work on injective factors [4], Connes proved that if R is a factor acting on a separable Hilbert space H , then R is injective if and only if R is semidiscrete [4].

Ruan studied the structure of injective operator spaces and showed the existence (and uniqueness) of injective envelopes of arbitrary operator spaces [19]. He also gave an example of an injective operator space which is not completely isometric to any C^* -algebra [19, Theorem 4.3] (giving a negative answer to an earlier question of Wittstock [22]). In general, an injective operator space is a triple subsystem of a C^* -algebra, that is, an operator space E is injective if and only if there exists an injective C^* -algebra A and projections p and q such that E is completely isometric to pAq [19, Theorem 4.5].

Injective envelopes of C^* -algebras [11] and operator systems [12] were constructed by Hamana, and the latter is used to prove existence of the Silov boundary in the sense of Arveson [1]. Hamana also constructed injective envelopes of Banach modules [10] and C^* -dynamical systems [13].

2 $C(X)$ -algebras

In this section, we recall basic definitions in the theory of $C_0(X)$ -algebras. For more details on $C_0(X)$ -algebras and multiplier algebras of C^* -algebras, see [21, Appendix C].

For a locally compact Hausdorff space X , a $C_0(X)$ -algebra is a C^* -algebra A endowed with a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism from the C^* -algebra $C_0(X)$ of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on X into center of the multiplier algebra of A . It is not hard to see that any $C_0(X)$ -algebra A is a non-degenerate $C_0(X)$ -Banach module satisfying

$$f \cdot (ab) = (f \cdot a)b = a(f \cdot b),$$

for $f \in C_0(X)$ and $a, b \in A$. A $C_0(X)$ -Banach module A is called locally $C_0(X)$ -convex if

$$\|f \cdot a + g \cdot b\| \leq \min\{\|a\|, \|b\|\}$$

for $a, b \in A$ and $f, g \in C_0(X)$ with $f, g \geq 0$ and $f + g \leq 1$. A non-degenerate locally $C_0(X)$ -convex $C_0(X)$ -Banach module is determined by its fibres. For $x \in X$, the fibre $A(x)$ is defined as the quotient $A/(C_0(X \setminus \{x\}) \cdot A)$. For $a \in A$, $a(x)$ denotes the corresponding coset in the fibre $A(x)$ (see [17, 18] for more details).

It is known [5, Theorem 2.5] that a Banach $C_0(X)$ -module A is locally $C_0(X)$ -convex if and only if

$$\|a\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|a(x)\|.$$

The above condition holds in $C_0(X)$ -algebras [21, Proposition C.10]. In particular, a $C_0(X)$ -algebra is a locally $C_0(X)$ -convex $C_0(X)$ -Banach module. As far as we know, there is no proof of the existence of injective envelopes of $C(X)$ to $C_0(X)$ in the literature, and this is the main objective of the current paper.

Definition 1 Let A be a C^* -algebra with multiplier algebra $M(A)$ and let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then A is a $C_0(X)$ algebra if there is a homomorphism Φ_A from $C_0(X)$ into the center $ZM(A)$ of the multiplier algebra of A which is non-degenerate, i.e.,

$$\Phi_A(C_0(X)) \cdot A := \text{span}\{\Phi_A(f) \cdot a : f \in C_0(X), a \in A\}$$

is dense in A .

In what follows, we often omit Φ_A and use the $C_0(X)$ -module notation: we write $f \cdot a$ instead of $\Phi_A(f)a$. In particular, we regard A as a $C_0(X)$ -module satisfying:

- (i) $f \cdot (ab) = (f \cdot a)b = a(f \cdot b)$ ($a, b \in A, f \in C_0(X)$),
- (ii) $\overline{\text{span}}\{f \cdot a : f \in C_0(X), a \in A\} = A$.

Definition 2 A $C_0(X)$ -morphism ϕ between $C_0(X)$ -algebras A and B is a $*$ -homomorphism satisfying

$$\phi(f \cdot a) = f \cdot \phi(a), \tag{1}$$

for $a \in A$ and $f \in C_0(X)$. A map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is called a $C_0(X)$ -map if it is a completely positive linear map and satisfies in 1.



Let A be a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. Given $x \in X$, let J_x be the maximal ideal in $C_0(X)$ consisting of functions vanishing at x . We denote the ideal $\overline{J_x \cdot A}$ by I_x^A and the quotient A/I_x^A by $A(x)$. For $a \in A$ we write $a(x)$ for the image $a + I_x^A$ of a in I_x^A . One may think of $A(x)$ as the fiber of A over x and $a(x)$ as the image of a in $A(x)$.

Remark 1 Let us make the following simple observations:

- (i) If A is a C^* -algebra, we may regard A as a $C_0(X)$ -algebra. Fix $x \in X$, the module action of $f \in C_0(X)$ is defined by $f \cdot_x a = f(x)a$, for $a \in A$.
- (ii) If $\{A_x : x \in X\}$ is a family of unital C^* -algebras indexed by a compact Hausdorff space X , then $\prod_{x \in X} A_x$ is a $C(X)$ -algebra. We define

$$f \cdot (a_x)_{x \in X} = (f \cdot_x a)_{x \in X} = (f(x)a_x)_{x \in X}$$

for $f \in C(X)$ and $(a_x)_{x \in X} \in \prod_{x \in X} A_x$. Then for each $x \in X$, A_x is a $C(X)$ -subalgebra of $\prod_{x \in X} A_x$.

- (iii) If A is a $C_0(X)$ -algebra, then so is $\mathbb{M}_n(A)$ with the module action $f \cdot [a_{i,j}] = [f \cdot a_{i,j}]$. Also $\overline{J_x \cdot \mathbb{M}_n(A)} = \mathbb{M}_n(\overline{J_x \cdot A})$ and $\mathbb{M}_n(A)(x) \simeq \mathbb{M}_n(A(x))$. In particular, $\kappa_n : \mathbb{M}_n(A)(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(A(x))$ defined by $\kappa_n([a_{i,j}] + I_x^{\mathbb{M}_n(A)}) = [a_{i,j} + I_x^A]$ is an isometric isomorphism.

For the rest of the paper, we deal with $C(X)$ -algebras, for a compact Hausdorff space X . Also, unless otherwise specified, C^* -algebras are unital, their C^* -subalgebras have the same units as the C^* -algebras containing them, and the maps between C^* -algebras preserve units.

Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra. We may consider A as $C(X)$ -subalgebra of $\prod_{x \in X} A(x)$. The following lemma is probably part of the literature. We sketch a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1 *Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra. Then the map $a \mapsto (a(x))_{x \in X}$ from A into $\prod_{x \in X} A(x)$ is an isometric isomorphism, where $A(x)$ is regarded as a $C(X)$ -algebra with the module multiplication $\cdot_x$ as above.*

Proof The map $a \mapsto (a(x))_{x \in X}$ is clearly a $*$ -homomorphism and an isometry by [21, Proposition C.10]. For $f \in C(X)$, $a \in A$ and $x \in X$,

$$f \cdot a + I_x^A = f(x)a + I_x^A,$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \cdot a)(x))_{x \in X} &= (f \cdot a + I_x^A)_{x \in X} \\ &= (f(x)a + I_x^A)_{x \in X} \\ &= f \cdot (a(x))_{x \in X}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map $a \mapsto (a(x))_{x \in X}$ is a $C(X)$ -morphism. $\square$

A C^* -algebra A is injective if for any unital C^* -algebra B and any C^* -subalgebra C of B , containing the unit of B , any completely positive linear map of C into A extends to a completely positive linear map of B into A . An extension of A is a pair (B, i) of a C^* -algebra B and a $*$ -monomorphism i from A into B . The extension (B, i) is called injective if B is injective, and it is called an injective envelope of A if it is an injective extension of A such that the identity map id_B on B is the unique completely positive linear map of B into itself which fixes each element of $i(A)$, i.e., the extension (B, i) is rigid (essential). The existence and uniqueness of injective envelopes of C^* -algebras is proved in [11].

Definition 3 Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra.

- (i) A $C(X)$ -extension of A is a pair (B, i) consisting of a $C(X)$ -algebra B and an isometric $C(X)$ -morphism $i : A \rightarrow B$.
- (ii) A $C(X)$ -extension (B, i) of A is essential if for any $C(X)$ -map ϕ of B into a $C(X)$ -algebra C , ϕ is completely isometric whenever $\phi \circ i$ is so.
- (iii) An $C(X)$ -extension (B, i) of A is called rigid if for any $C(X)$ -map $\phi : B \rightarrow B$, $\phi \circ i = i$ implies $\phi = \text{id}_B$.

Definition 4 Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra.



- (i) A is injective if for any $C(X)$ -extension (B, i) of $C(X)$ -algebra C and $C(X)$ -map $\phi : C \rightarrow A$, there exists a $C(X)$ -map $\hat{\phi} : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\hat{\phi} \circ i = \phi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & B \\ \phi \downarrow & \nearrow \hat{\phi} & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

- (ii) A $C(X)$ -extension (D, i) of $C(X)$ -algebra A is an injective $C(X)$ -envelope of A if it is injective and essential.

The next lemma is proved similar to [12, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 2 *Let (B, i) be an injective $C(X)$ -extension of a $C(X)$ -algebra A . Then (B, i) is rigid if and only if it is essential.*

Lemma 3 *Let A and B be C^* -algebras and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a completely positive map. Let I and J be closed ideals respectively in A and B , such that $\phi(I) \subseteq J$. Then $\tilde{\phi} : A/I \rightarrow B/J$ defined by $\tilde{\phi}(a+I) = \phi(a)+J$; $a \in A$, is a completely positive map.*

Proof Let us identify $M_n(A/I)$ with $M_n(A)/M_n(I)$. Then

$$\tilde{\phi}^{(n)}([a_{i,j}][a_{i,j}]^* + M_n(I)) = \phi^{(n)}([a_{i,j}][a_{i,j}]^*) + M_n(J) \geq 0.$$

for each $[a_{i,j}] \in M_n(A)$. □

Lemma 4 *Let A and B be $C(X)$ -algebras, and let ϕ and ψ be bounded linear maps from A to B that preserve the involution and satisfy condition 1. Then*

- (i) $a \in A$ is positive if and only if $a(x)$ is positive in $A(x)$ for all $x \in X$,
- (ii) ϕ is completely positive if and only if $\phi_x : A(x) \rightarrow B(x)$ is completely positive for all $x \in X$,
- (iii) $\phi = \psi$ if and only if $\phi_x = \psi_x$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof (i) Suppose that $a \geq 0$ and choose $b \in A$ with $a = b^*b$. Then $a(x) = b(x)^*b(x) \geq 0$ in $A(x)$. Conversely, suppose that $a(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then

$$\|a - a^*\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|(a - a^*)(x)\| = 0.$$

Therefore, a is hermitian. Let $0 \leq t \leq \|a\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|a(x)\|$. Since $a(x)$ is positive, $\|a(x) - t\| \leq t$ for each x , thus $\|a - t\| \leq t$ and a is positive.

(ii) Suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is completely positive. Then $\phi(I_x^A) \subseteq I_x^B$ and $\phi : A(x) \rightarrow B(x)$ is completely positive by Lemma 3. Conversely, Suppose that $\phi_x : A(x) \rightarrow B(x)$ is completely positive for each $x \in X$. Let $[a_{i,j}]$ be a positive element in $M_n(A)$. Then $[a_{i,j}(x)]$ is positive in $M_n(A)(x) \simeq M_n(A(x))$ and so is $[\phi(a_{i,j})(x)]$ in $M_n(B(x)) \simeq M_n(B)(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore, $[\phi(a_{i,j})]$ is positive in $M_n(B)$ by part (i).

(iii) Suppose that $\phi_x = \psi_x$ for any $x \in X$. Let $a \in A$, then

$$\|\phi(a) - \psi(a)\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|\phi(a)(x) - \psi(a)(x)\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|\phi_x(a) - \psi_x(a)\| = 0,$$

that is, $\phi(a) = \psi(a)$. The converse is trivial. □

Lemma 5 *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then A is an injective C^* -algebra if and only if A is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra with the module multiplication $\cdot_{x_0}$, for some (any) $x_0 \in X$.*

Proof Suppose that A is an injective C^* -algebra, V and B are $C(X)$ -algebras, $i : V \rightarrow B$ is an injective (isometric) $C(X)$ -map, and $\phi : V \rightarrow A$ is a completely positive $C(X)$ -map. The map i induces fiber maps $i_x : V(x) \rightarrow B(x)$; $i_x(v(x)) = i(v)(x)$. Since i is isometric, so are i_x for all $x \in X$ [17, Proposition A.1.7]. Since $I_{x_0}^A \subseteq \ker \phi$, the map $\phi : V \rightarrow A$ induces a map $\phi_{x_0} : V(x_0) \rightarrow A$ defined by $\phi_{x_0}(v(x_0)) = \phi(v)$. By



Lemma 3, ϕ_{x_0} is completely positive. Since A is injective, there exists a completely positive map $\widehat{\phi_{x_0}} : B(x_0) \rightarrow A$ such that $\widehat{\phi_{x_0}} \circ i_{x_0} = \phi_{x_0}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(x_0) & \xrightarrow{i_{x_0}} & B(x_0) \\ \phi_{x_0} \downarrow & \swarrow \widehat{\phi_{x_0}} & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

Define $\widehat{\phi}(b) = \widehat{\phi_{x_0}}(b(x_0))$. Then $\widehat{\phi}$ is completely positive as the composition of two completely positive maps. For $f \in C(X)$, $b \in B$ and $v \in V$,

$$\widehat{\phi}(f.b) = \widehat{\phi_{x_0}}((f.b)(x_0)) = \widehat{\phi_{x_0}}(f(x_0).b(x_0)) = f(x_0)\widehat{\phi_{x_0}}(b(x_0)) = f.\widehat{\phi}(b),$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi}(i(v)) = \widehat{\phi_{x_0}}(i(v)(x_0)) = \widehat{\phi_{x_0}}(i_{x_0}(v(x_0))) = \phi_{x_0}(v(x_0)) = \phi(v).$$

Therefore, A is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra. The converse is trivial. $\square$

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 6 *If $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ is a family $C(X)$ -algebras, then $\Pi_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$ is injective if and only if A_α is injective for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.*

It is shown in [9, Theorem 3.8] (and independently in [12, Lemma 1]) that any Banach A -module V is isomorphic to a submodule of an injective A -module W . In fact one may choose W such that $W = \Pi_{j \in J} \tilde{A}^* = \ell^\infty(J, \tilde{A}^*)$ for some set J , where $\tilde{A}$ is the minimal unitization of A . In this case $\tilde{A}^*$ is canonically an A -module and is injective [9, Lemma 1]. A result of Arveson [1, Theorem 1.2.3] states that the C^* -algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H is injective, in particular, each C^* -algebra has an injective extension. The same holds for $C(X)$ -algebras.

Proposition 1 *Every $C(X)$ -algebra has an injective $C(X)$ -extension.*

Proof Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra. For any $x \in X$, let H_x be a Hilbert space such that $A(x) \subseteq B(H_x)$. By [1, Theorem 1.2.3], $B(H_x)$ is an injective C^* -algebra and so is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra with action $\cdot_x$ as above, by Lemma 5. Therefore, $\Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra, and the map $a \mapsto (a(x))_{x \in X}$ is an isometric morphism from A into $\Pi_{x \in X} A(x) \subseteq \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ by Lemma 1. $\square$

Injectivity of C^* -algebras is characterized by being the range of a conditional expectation from the C^* -algebra $B(H)$, for some Hilbert space H [11]. Recall that, a conditional expectation from a C^* -algebra A into a C^* -subalgebra B of A is a completely positive projection of norm one from A onto B .

Theorem 2 *A $C(X)$ -algebra $A \subseteq \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is injective if and only if there exists a $C(X)$ -map $\phi : \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x) \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi|_A = id_A$.*

Proof Suppose that A is injective. Since $id_A : A \rightarrow A$ is a $C(X)$ -map, there exists a $C(X)$ -map $\phi : \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x) \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi|_A = id_A$. Conversely, suppose that there exists a $C(X)$ -map $\phi : \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x) \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi|_A = id_A$. Let B be a $C(X)$ -algebra and (c, i) be an extension of B and let $\psi : B \rightarrow A \subseteq \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ be a $C(X)$ -map. Since $\Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra, there exists a $C(X)$ -map $\theta : C \rightarrow \Pi_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ such that $\theta \circ i = \psi$. Put $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \theta$. Thus $\tilde{\phi}$ is a $C(X)$ -map and $\tilde{\phi} \circ i = \psi$. $\square$

Definition 5 Let $A \subseteq B$ be $C(X)$ -algebras.

- (i) A $C(X)$ -map $\phi : B \rightarrow B$ is an A -projection on B if it is idempotent ($\phi^2 = \phi$) and $\phi|_A = id_A$.
- (ii) A seminorm p on B is an A -seminorm if $p(\cdot) = \|\phi(\cdot)\|$ for some completely positive $C(X)$ -map $\phi : B \rightarrow B$ with $\phi|_A = id_A$.
- (iii) Let ϕ and ψ be A -projections on B (resp., p and q be A -seminorms on B). Define a partial ordering $\leq$ (resp., $\leq$) by $\phi \leq \psi$ (resp., $p \leq q$) if $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi = \phi$ ($p(b) \leq q(b)$ for all $b \in B$).
- (iv) An A -projection (resp., A -seminorm) which is minimal with respect to the partial ordering $\leq$ (resp., $\leq$) is called a minimal A -projection (resp., minimal A -seminorm).



Theorem 3 Any $C(X)$ -algebra A has an injective $C(X)$ -envelope (D, i) , which is unique in the sense that if (D_1, i_1) is another injective $C(X)$ -envelope, there exists a $C(X)$ -isomorphism $\phi : D \longrightarrow D_1$ such that $\phi \circ i = i_1$.

Proof Let $x \in X$ and let H_x be a Hilbert space with $A(x) \subseteq B(H_x)$. If we regard $B(H_x)$ as a $C(X)$ -algebra with module multiplication $\cdot_x$, then by Lemma 5, $B(H_x)$ is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra and $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra, by Lemma 6. Since we may regard A as a sub- $C(X)$ -algebra of $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ (see Proposition 1), it is sufficient to prove that there exists a minimal A -projection on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$, by Theorem 2, see [11, 12]. We prove this by the following steps:

Step 1. Any decreasing net $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of A -seminorms on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ has a lower bound.

First note that since the unit ball of $B(H)$ is compact in the σ -weak topology ([16, Theorem 4.2.4]), the unit ball of $B(A, B(H))$, the Banach space of all bounded linear maps of A into $B(H)$, is compact in the point- σ -weak topology, for any Hilbert space H . Since $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is σ -weak closed in $B(\prod_{x \in X} H_x)$, the unit ball of $B(A, \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x))$ is compact in the point- σ -weak topology. For $\xi = (\xi_x)_{x \in X}$ in $\prod_{x \in X} H_x$ and $f \in C(X)$, define $f \cdot \xi = (f(x)\xi_x)_{x \in X}$. Then $f \cdot \xi \in \prod_{x \in X} H_x$ and for $T = (T_x)_{x \in X} \in \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ we have $(f \cdot T)\xi = T(f \cdot \xi)$.

This implies that the module multiplication on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is σ -weak continuous.

Suppose that $\phi_i : \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x) \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is a completely positive $C(X)$ -map such that $p_i(\cdot) = \|\phi_i(\cdot)\|$ and $\phi_i|_A = \text{id}_A$. Regarding $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ as a subset of the unit ball of $B(A, \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x))$, the above remark shows that there are a subnet $\{\phi_j\}_{j \in J}$ and element $\phi_0 \in B(A, \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x))$ such that $\phi_j(a) \longrightarrow \phi_0(a)$, σ -weakly for all a in A . Then ϕ_0 is a completely positive map in $B(A, \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x))$. Since the module multiplication is σ -weakly continuous, ϕ_0 is an $C(X)$ -map and hence $p_0(\cdot) = \|\phi_0(\cdot)\|$ is an A -seminorm on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$. For $T \in \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$,

$$p_0(T) = \|\phi_0(T)\| \leq \limsup_j \|\phi_j(T)\| = \lim_i p_i(T) = \inf_i p_i(T).$$

Thus ϕ_0 is a lower bound of $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Step 2. There exists a minimal A -seminorm on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$.

Step 1 and Zorn's lemma imply the existence of a minimal A -seminorm p on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$.

Step 3. Let p be a minimal A -seminorm on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ and ϕ be a completely positive $C(X)$ -map such that $p(\cdot) = \|\phi(\cdot)\|$ and $\phi|_A = \text{id}_A$. Then ϕ is a A -projection on $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$. The proof of the minimality of ϕ is verbatim to that of the proof in [12, Theorem 3.5].

The map $\phi : \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x) \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is an idempotent completely positive $C(X)$ -map, and under the Choi-Effros product " $\circ$ " on $\text{Im} \phi$, defined by $x \circ y = \phi(xy)$, $D = \text{Im} \phi$ is a C^* -algebra, see [11, Theorem 2.3].

Step 4. The extension $(D = \text{Im} \phi, i)$ is an injective envelope of A . Since ϕ is a $C(X)$ -map, D is a $C(X)$ -algebra. Note that, $(D, \circ)$ is not a C^* -subalgebra of $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$, but D is an operator system in $\prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$, i.e., the inclusion map $j : D \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} B(H_x)$ is a completely positive $C(X)$ -map, [2, Theorem 3.1]. Also, the restriction ϕ to D is the identity map id_D . This implies that D is an injective $C(X)$ -algebra. The proof of the rigidity of (D, i) is exactly the same as of the proof in [12, Lemma 3.6]. If (D_1, i_1) is another injective envelope of A , then there exist $C(X)$ -maps $\theta : D \longrightarrow D_1$ and $\theta_1 : D_1 \longrightarrow D$ such that $\theta \circ i = i_1$ and $\theta_1 \circ i_1 = i$. Thus,

$$\theta \circ \theta_1 \circ i_1 = i_1 \quad \theta_1 \circ \theta \circ i = i,$$

hence,

$$\theta \circ \theta_1 = \text{id}_{D_1}, \quad \theta_1 \circ \theta = \text{id}_D.$$

Therefore, ϕ is a complete order isomorphism and hence an $*$ -isomorphism by [8, Corollary 5.2.3]. $\square$

3 Upper semi-continuous C^* -bundles

In this section we assume that X is a compact Hausdorff space and consider the canonical functor from the category of $C(X)$ -algebras to the category of upper semi-continuous bundles over X , and show that it is an equivalence of categories (by providing a weak inverse functor). We use this to find injective objects in the latter category.



Definition 6 An upper semi-continuous C^* -bundle over X is a continuous, open, surjection $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ together with operations and norms making each fibre $\mathcal{A}_x = p^{-1}(x)$ into a C^* -algebra such that:

- (i) The map $a \mapsto \|a\|$ is upper semi-continuous from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) The involution $a \mapsto a^*$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}$.
- (iii) The maps $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ and $(a, b) \mapsto a + b$ are continuous from $\mathcal{A}^{(2)} = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} : p(a) = p(b)\}$ into $\mathcal{A}$.
- (iv) For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the map $a \mapsto \lambda a$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}$.
- (v) If $\{a_i\}$ is a net in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\|a_i\| \rightarrow 0$ and $p(a_i) \rightarrow x$, then $a_i \rightarrow 0_x$, where 0_x is the zero element in $\mathcal{A}_x$.

Let $p_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ and $p_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$ be upper semi-continuous C^* -bundles over X . A continuous map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a bundle morphism (resp., bundle map) if $p_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \Phi = p_{\mathcal{A}}$ and for each $x \in X$, the restriction map $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ is a $*$ -homomorphism (resp., completely positive) i.e., the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{B} \\ p_{\mathcal{A}} \searrow & & \swarrow p_{\mathcal{B}} \\ & X & \end{array}$$

If $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is an upper semicontinuous C^* -bundle, a function $\xi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a section if $p(\xi(x)) = x$ for all $x \in X$. The set of continuous sections of an upper semi-continuous C^* -bundle $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is denoted by $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$.

It is known that for any upper semi-continuous bundle $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$, $\Gamma_0(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a $C(X)$ -algebra and for each $x \in X$, $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})(x) = \mathcal{A}_x$ [21, Proposition C.23]. One could define a functor Γ from the category of upper semi-continuous bundles over X into the category of $C(X)$ -algebras. The functor Γ assigns to each upper semi-continuous bundle $p : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ the $C(X)$ algebra $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$. If $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a bundle morphism, then $\Gamma(\Phi) : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{B})$ defined by

$$\Gamma(\Phi)(\xi)(x) = \Phi(\xi(x)), (x \in X),$$

is a $C(X)$ -morphism. If Φ is a bundle map the $\Gamma(\Phi)$ is a $C(X)$ -map by Lemma 4.

Next, we define a functor $\mathcal{C}$ from the category of $C(X)$ -algebras into the category of upper semi-continuous C^* -bundles over X and show that it is a weak inverse to Γ . Let A be a $C(X)$ -algebra and define $\mathcal{C}(A) = \coprod_{x \in X} A(x)$ and let $p : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow X$ be the canonical map. For each $a \in A$, the map $x \mapsto a(x)$ is a section. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and open subset $U \subseteq X$, define

$$W(a, U; \varepsilon) = \{b(x) \in \mathcal{C}(A) : x \in U, \|a(x) - b(x)\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

The collection of all unions of the sets of the form $W(a, U, \varepsilon)$ is a topology τ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $p : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow X$ is an upper semi-continuous C^* -bundle over X and there is an isomorphism from A into $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}(A))$, that is,

$$\mu_A : A \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}(A)); \quad \mu_A(a)(x) = a(x), (x \in X),$$

cf., [21, Theorems C.25 and C.26].

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a $C(X)$ -map, define $\mathcal{C}(\phi) : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ by

$$\mathcal{C}(\phi)(a(x)) = \phi(a)(x), (x \in X, a \in A)$$

Lemma 7 $\mathcal{C}(\phi) : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is continuous.

Proof Suppose that $b_0 \in B$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and U is an open subset of X . We show that $\mathcal{C}(\phi)^{-1}(W(b_0, U, \varepsilon))$ is open in $\mathcal{C}(A)$. Given any $a_0(x_0) \in \mathcal{C}(\phi)^{-1}(W(b_0, U, \varepsilon))$, we have $x_0 \in U$ and $\|b_0(x_0) - \phi(a_0)(x_0)\| < \varepsilon$. By upper semi-continuity, the set

$$V = \{x \in X : \|b_0(x) - \phi(a)(x)\| < \delta\}$$



is open and contains x_0 , where $\|b_0(x_0) - \phi(a_0)(x_0)\| < \delta < \varepsilon$. We claim that $W(a_0, V \cap U, \varepsilon - \delta) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\phi)^{-1}(W(b_0, U, \varepsilon))$. Given $a(x) \in W(a_0, V \cap U, \varepsilon - \delta)$, we have $x \in V \cap U$ and $\|a_0(x) - a(x)\| < \varepsilon - \delta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(a)(x) - b_0(x)\| &\leq \|\phi(a)(x) - \phi(a_0)(x)\| + \|\phi(a_0)(x) - b_0(x)\| \\ &< \|a(x) - a_0(x)\| + \delta < \varepsilon - \delta + \delta = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, if $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ is a $C(X)$ -map, then $\mathcal{C}(\phi) : \mathcal{C}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)$ is a bundle map.

Theorem 4 *The functors Γ and $\mathcal{C}$ give an equivalence of categories between the above two categories.*

Proof Suppose that $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ is a $C(X)$ -map. Then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \\ \downarrow \mu_A & & \downarrow \mu_B \\ \Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}(A)) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\mathcal{C}(\phi))} & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}(B)) \end{array}$$

where μ_A and μ_B are defined as above. Thus $\Gamma\mathcal{C}$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity.

If $p_A : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$ is an upper semi-continuous C^* -bundle, then $\mathcal{C}\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{C}(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})) = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{A}(x)$. By [21, Theorems C.25–C.26], there is a unique topology on $\coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{A}(x)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \coprod_{x \in X} \mathcal{A}(x)$ is an upper semi-continuous C^* -bundles. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}\Gamma$ is the identity functor. □

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an upper semicontinuous C^* -bundle over X . We say that $(\mathcal{B}, \iota)$ is a bundle extension of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{B}$ is also an upper semicontinuous C^* -bundle over X , and $\iota : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an injective bundle morphism (monomorphism). This means that $p_B \circ \iota = p_A$, and for any $x \in X$, the restriction map $\iota : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ is an injective $*$ -homomorphism. Injectivity in the category of upper semicontinuous C^* -bundles can be defined in the same way as in any category. Specifically, an object $\mathcal{A}$ is an injective object in the category of upper semicontinuous C^* -bundles over X if for any bundle extension $(\mathcal{B}, \iota)$ of an upper semicontinuous C^* -bundle $\mathcal{C}$ and bundle map $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, there exists bundle map $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \iota = \Phi$. The above functors Γ and $\mathcal{C}$ preserve extensions. Therefore, for a compact Hausdorff space X , $\mathcal{A}$ is an injective object in the category of upper semicontinuous C^* -bundles over X if and only if $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{A})$ is an injective object in the category of $C(X)$ -algebras. One can also define essentiality in the category of upper semicontinuous C^* -bundles over X as follows: a bundle extension $(\mathcal{B}, \iota)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is essential if for any bundle map Φ from $\mathcal{B}$ to an upper semicontinuous C^* -bundle $\mathcal{C}$, whenever $\Phi \circ \iota$ is a completely isometric bundle map (i.e., $x \in X$, the restriction map $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_x$ is completely isometric), then Φ itself is a completely isometric bundle map. A bundle extension $(\mathcal{B}, \iota)$ of an upper semicontinuous C^* -bundle $\mathcal{A}$ is an injective envelope of $\mathcal{A}$ if it is both injective and essential. Since the functors Γ and $\mathcal{C}$ preserve essentiality, we have the following result.

Corollary 1 *Any object $\mathcal{A}$ in the category of upper semicontinuous bundle over X has an injective envelope $(\mathcal{D}, \iota)$, which is unique in the sense that if $(\mathcal{D}_1, \iota_1)$ is another injective envelope, there exists a bundle isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ such that $\Phi \circ \iota = \iota_1$.*

References

1. W.B.L. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^* -algebras, I, *Acta Math.* **123**, 141–224; (1969) II, *ibid* **128**, 271–308 (1972).
2. M.-D. Choi and E.G. Effros, Injectivity and operator spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **24**, 156–209 (1977).
3. H.B. Cohen, Injective envelopes of Banach spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70**, 723–726 (1964).
4. A. Connes, Classification of injective factors, *Ann. of Math.* **104**, 73–115 (1976).
5. M.J. Dupré and R. M. Gillette, *Banach bundles, Banach modules and automorphisms of C^* -algebras*, Pitman, Boston, MA (1983).
6. E.G. Effros and C. Lance, Tensor products of operator algebras, *Advances in Math.* **25**, 1–34 (1977).
7. J. Hakeda, J. Tomiyama, On some extension property of von Neumann algebras, *Tohoku Math. J.* **19**, 315–323 (1967).
8. E.G. Effros and Z.J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (2000).
9. A. W. N. Graven, Injective and projective modules, *Nerdi. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **41**, 253–272 (1979).
10. M. Hamana, Injective envelopes of Banach modules, *Tohoku Math. J.* **30**, 439–453 (1978).



11. M. Hamana, Injective envelopes of C^* -algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **31**, 181–197 (1979).
12. M. Hamana, Injective envelopes of operator systems, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **15**, 773–785 (1979).
13. M. Hamana, Injective envelopes of C^* -dynamical systems, *Tohoku Math. J.* **37**, 463–487 (1985).
14. J.R. Isbell, Three remarks on injective envelopes of Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **27**, 516–518 (1969).
15. J.W. Kitchen and D.A. Robbins, Linear algebra in the category of $C(M)$ -locally compact convex modules, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **30**, 433–480 (1989).
16. G.J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, Boston (1990).
17. W. Paravicini, *KK-Theory for Banach algebras and proper groupoids*, PhD Thesis, Universität Münster (2007).
18. W. Paravicini, A note on Banach $C_0(X)$ -modules, *Münster J. Math.* **1** 267–278 (2008).
19. Z.J. Ruan, Injectivity of operator spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **315**, 89–104 (1989).
20. Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions*, PWN, Warsaw (1971).
21. D.P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **134**, Amer. Math. Providence (2007).
22. G. Wittstock, Extensions of completely bounded C^* -module homomorphisms, Proc. Conference on Operator Algebras and Group Representations (Neptun, 1980), Pitman, Lanham (1984).

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.