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India recognised anaemia as a major public health problem and initiated national programmes for combating anaemia in pregnant women and pre-school children in 1970. It is important to find out the coverage and impact of these programmes on prevalence of anaemia and also assess the prevalence of anaemia across all groups of population. In the last two decades, National Family Health Survey (NFHS) 2, 3, 4, District Level Household Survey (DLHS) 2, 4 and Clinical Anthropometric and Biochemical component of Annual Health Survey (AHS CAB) had assessed coverage under antenatal care, anaemia control programme and estimated Hb levels in the survey population. Data from all these surveys were analysed. All surveys showed a slow improvement in coverage under antenatal care and IFA supplementation. There were no clear or consistent changes in mean Hb or in prevalence of anaemia in pre-school children, adolescent girls and pregnant women between NFHS 2, 3 and 4 at national or state level. DLHS 2 reported a higher prevalence of anaemia in all these three groups as compared to NFHS 2. Compared to DLHS 2 there was about 1 g/dL increase in mean Hb, a shift to the right in the distribution of Hb levels and a 15-20 % reduction in the prevalence of anaemia in DLHS 4 & AHS CAB. But even in 2014-15, a majority of Indians of all ages and both sexes were anaemic; the prevalence of anaemia ranged between 57.1% and 89.3%. Prevalence of anaemia in India is very high across all groups. The three-pronged strategy of increasing iron intake in all households through dietary diversification and use of iron-fortified iodized salt, IFA supplementation to vulnerable groups, the testing and timely treatment of pregnant women with anaemia will be required to accelerate the pace of reduction in the prevalence of iron-deficiency anaemia and enable the country to achieve SDG target for the reduction in anaemia.
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Introduction

India has been and continues to be a country with the highest prevalence of anaemia in the world. Being a country with a billion plus population, India is home to the largest number of anaemic people in the world (WHO 2015, Stevens et al., 2013). In India, the prevalence of anaemia is high because of:

- low overall dietary intake, poor iron and folic acid intake and poor bio-availability of iron in the phytate fibre-rich Indian diet resulting in widespread iron and folic acid deficiencies and;
- chronic blood loss due to infections such as malaria and hook worm infestations.

Data from surveys carried out by the National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau (NNMB 2003, 2012) show that even now vegetable intake and consequently iron and folic acid intake is low. Research studies have shown that iron deficiency is the most common micro-nutrient deficiency associated with anaemia, while folate deficiency and Vitamin B12 deficiency are ranked as the second and third most prevalent micro-nutrient deficiencies associated with anaemia. Infants born to anaemic mothers have low iron stores; the low iron content in milk and complementary foods result in a high prevalence of anaemia during infancy. Unmet needs of iron for growth during childhood and adolescence and in adolescent girls the onset of menstruation cause further rise in the prevalence of
anaemia. The increased demand for iron during pregnancy aggravates anaemia. It has been shown that dietary diversification including pulses, millets rich in iron, animal foods which contain haem iron with higher bio-availability and consumption of Vitamin C rich food stuffs like guava which increase iron absorption can result in improvement in haemoglobin content. Treatment of malaria and deworming in hookworm endemic areas can also improve Hb levels.

Epidemiological studies have shown that pregnant women, pre-school children and adolescent girls are the most vulnerable groups for anaemia and its adverse health consequences (Kalaivani 2009). Anaemia was recognized as a major public health problem in India leading to high maternal morbidity and mortality, low birth-weight and high infant mortality (Steer 2000, Sharma 2008, Kozhuki 2012). It has been shown to be associated with poor scholastic performance and increased susceptibility to infection in children, and easy fatigability and poor work capacity in adults. The National Nutritional Anaemia Prophylaxis Programme (NNAPP), aimed at iron and folic acid supplementation for pregnant women and pre-school children, was formulated in 1970 (MoH&FW 1970). In 1991, the National Anaemia Control Programme (NACP) added the ‘test, detect and treat’ strategy for the management of anaemia in pregnant women (MoH&FW 1989, 1991). In 2013 weekly iron-folic acid supplementation for school children across the country was initiated (MOH&FW 2013).

In the last two decades, 6 national surveys had assessed coverage under anaemia control programmes and estimated Hb in vulnerable groups. Data from all these surveys showed that over time there was an improvement in access to antenatal care and coverage under IFA supplementation. Data from National Family Health Surveys (NFHS) 2, 3, 4, District Level Household Survey (DLHS) 2, 4 and Clinical Anthropometric and Biochemical component of Annual Health Survey (AHS CAB) had assessed coverage under antenatal care, anaemia control programme and estimated Hb levels. The unit-level data of NFHS 2, 3 and 4 (after deletion of personal identifiers) were obtained from the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) Programme. The unit-level data were obtained from the International Institute for Population Sciences, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare (MoHFW) for DLHS 2 and 4; data from AHS CAB were obtained from the MoHFW. The year in which these surveys were conducted, the states covered, groups in which Hb estimation was done and the method by which Hb was estimated is given in Table 1. Table 2, 3A and 3B provide information on total number of persons belonging to different age/sex groups for whom valid Hb data was available in different surveys. Cut-off values for Hb level for different age sex groups is given in Table 4. Data from all these surveys were analysed for coverage under antenatal care and IFA supplementation, mean and frequency distribution of Hb and prevalence of anaemia. SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics version 16.0, NY, USA) was used for data analysis.

Results

Time Trends in Hb

Mean Hb values and time trends in the prevalence of anaemia at national level in under-five year (U5) under-three year (U3) children, pregnant (PG) and non-pregnant adolescent girls (NP G), pregnant women (PW) non-pregnant women (NPW) and men (M), based on data from NFHS 2, 3, and 4, are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

The mean Hb values in NFHS series in all pre-
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School children, adolescent girls and pregnant women were higher and the prevalence of anaemia was lower as compared to DLHS 2 and surveys carried out by National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau (NNMB 2003). There were no clear or consistent changes in mean Hb, frequency distribution of Hb, or prevalence of anaemia between NFHS 2, 3, and 4 at the national or state level. In all the three NFHS surveys there were large inter-state variations in the prevalence of anaemia in all three vulnerable groups.

Table 1: Summary of National Surveys for Anaemia conducted in India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the survey</th>
<th>Years conducted</th>
<th>States covered</th>
<th>Population covered</th>
<th>Method of Hb estimation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFHS 2</td>
<td>1998-99</td>
<td>Only done in states &amp; NCT Delhi (UTs not covered)</td>
<td>U3 children, ever married women 15-49 yrs (pregnant &amp; non pregnant)</td>
<td>Hemocue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFHS 3</td>
<td>2004-05</td>
<td>Only done in states &amp; NCT Delhi (UTs not covered)</td>
<td>U 5 children, 15-49 yrs women (pregnant &amp; non pregnant), 15-54 yr men</td>
<td>Hemocue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFHS 4</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>All states and UTs</td>
<td>U-5 children15-49 yrs women (pregnant &amp; non pregnant), men (15-54yr)</td>
<td>Hemocue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFHS 2</td>
<td>2002-04</td>
<td>All states and UTs</td>
<td>Preschool children 0-4yr Adolescent girls 10-19 yrs Pregnant women</td>
<td>cyanmethaemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHS-CAB</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Uttarakhand</td>
<td>All age group both sexes</td>
<td>cyanmethaemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLHS 4</td>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>All other states &amp; UTs (survey not done in Gujarat, Jammu &amp; Kashmir, Lakshadweep)</td>
<td>All age groups and both sexes</td>
<td>cyanmethaemoglobin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: No of persons with valid Hb from NFHS2, 3, 4 and DLHS2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>U 5 boys</th>
<th>U 5 girls</th>
<th>10-14 girls</th>
<th>15-19 boys</th>
<th>15-19 girls NP</th>
<th>PW</th>
<th>20-39 Men</th>
<th>20-39 women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFHS2</td>
<td>12968</td>
<td>11757</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2682</td>
<td>2749</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>23160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFHS 3</td>
<td>18884</td>
<td>16960</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2144</td>
<td>3892</td>
<td>20510</td>
<td>39234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFHS 4</td>
<td>108986</td>
<td>100309</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18806</td>
<td>116564</td>
<td>21730</td>
<td>60290</td>
<td>388741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLHS 2</td>
<td>90409</td>
<td>82984</td>
<td>91520</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>73069</td>
<td>21212</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3A: No of persons with valid Hb in DLHS 4 & AHS CAB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>U 5 boys</th>
<th>U 5 girls</th>
<th>5-9 boys</th>
<th>5-9 girls</th>
<th>10-14 boys</th>
<th>10-14 girls</th>
<th>15-19 boys</th>
<th>15-19 girls NP</th>
<th>15-19 PG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLHS4</td>
<td>26701</td>
<td>24804</td>
<td>40891</td>
<td>37316</td>
<td>45663</td>
<td>43461</td>
<td>44816</td>
<td>46459</td>
<td>1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHS CAB</td>
<td>40204</td>
<td>36202</td>
<td>60057</td>
<td>54857</td>
<td>71134</td>
<td>64960</td>
<td>61202</td>
<td>61461</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3B: No of persons with valid Hb in DLHS 4 & AHS CAB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLHS4</td>
<td>8459</td>
<td>153927</td>
<td>198737</td>
<td>116463</td>
<td>136855</td>
<td>61706</td>
<td>63089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHS CAB</td>
<td>12911</td>
<td>159970</td>
<td>189158</td>
<td>112394</td>
<td>126395</td>
<td>58012</td>
<td>57236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Cut-off values of Hb for anaemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cut off value of Hb for anaemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men ≥20 yrs</td>
<td>13 g/dL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women ≥20 yrs</td>
<td>12 g/dL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant women</td>
<td>11 g/dL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children (boys and girls) (5-9yr)</td>
<td>11.5 g/dL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool children (boys and girls 0-4 yr)</td>
<td>11 g/dL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent (boys and girls 10-19)</td>
<td>12 g/dL.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compared to DLHS 2, there was an improvement in mean Hb levels (Fig. 3), and reduction in the prevalence of anaemia in DLHS 4 and AHS CAB (Fig. 4).

In all the three groups there was a shift to the right in the frequency distribution of Hb in DLHS4 and AHS CAB as compared to DLHS 2 (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). The shift to the right was highest in pregnant women, lower in pre-school children and least in adolescent girls. In each group the shift to the right was more in DLHS states than in AHS states.

There were substantial inter-state differences in the time trends of the prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women (Fig. 8). Both in DLHS and AHS states, improvement in Hb levels was higher in pregnant women as compared to the other two groups.

**Current Status**

**Hb Status**

DLHS 4 and AHS CAB together provide the nationwide data on Hb levels and prevalence of anaemia across all states and all age, sex and physiological groups (Fig. 9). The mean Hb values in the various age, sex and physiological groups ranged between 9.0-11.6g/dL. Mean Hb levels across all age sex and physiological groups were higher in DLHS 4 states as compared to AHS states (Fig. 9).

Mean Hb levels were lowest in the growing pre-school children. There was a progressive increase in
Fig. 5: Frequency distribution of Hb in U 5 DLHS 2 4 & AHS. U5 under five yr children (Copyright permission obtained from NFI Bulletin)

Fig. 6: Frequency distribution of Hb in PW (DLHS 2, 4 & AHS). PW pregnant women (Copyright permission obtained from NFI Bulletin)

Fig. 7: Frequency distribution of Hb in 10-19 yr girls (DLHS 2, 4 & AHS) NP G non-pregnant girls (Copyright permission obtained from NFI Bulletin)
mean Hb with increase in age, both in boys and girls. The mean Hb values in adults (both men and women) were higher than those in children. The mean Hb levels in men across all age groups were higher than
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**Fig. 8:** Inter-state differences in prevalence of anaemia in PW (DLHS 2, 4 & AHS). Kerala (KL), Himachal Pradesh (HP), Tamil Nadu (TN), Punjab (PB), Odisha (OD), Chhattisgarh (CHH), Haryana (HR), District Level Household Survey 4 states (DLHS 4 st) Andhra Pradesh combined (AP+TG), Madhya Pradesh (MP), Karnataka (KA), Rajasthan (RJ), Annual Health Survey states (AHS st) Maharashtra (MH), Jharkhand (JH), West Bengal (WB) Assam (AS), Uttar Pradesh (UP) Bihar (BH), Uttarakhand (UK)

![Graph showing mean Hb levels across groups](image)

**Fig. 9:** Mean Hb levels across groups (DLHS 4 & AHS). U5 under five yr children, PG pregnant girls NP G non-pregnant girls, PW pregnant women, NP W non-pregnant women, W women, M men
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the mean levels in women. The mean Hb levels were lower in both women and men aged 60 years and above.

In the 0-4 and 5-9 year age groups there was no difference in mean Hb levels between boys and girls. However, beyond 10 years of age, the mean Hb levels were higher in boys than in girls.

Pregnant women had mean Hb levels that were lower than those of non-pregnant women. Adolescent pregnant girls had lower mean Hb levels than pregnant women in the 20-39 year age group.

The computed mean Hb levels in preschool children, adolescent girls, pregnant women, men and women in reproductive age were highest in the NFHS series, lower in the DLHS4 survey and AHS-CAB survey and lowest in the DLHS2 (Figs. 1 and 3).

Prevalence of Anaemia

The prevalence of anaemia and confidence intervals for each age group disaggregated by sex and physiological status are shown in Fig. 10. The prevalence of anaemia across all age and sex groups was lower in the DLHS 4 states as compared to the AHS states. The prevalence was lowest in 15-19 year boys in DLHS 4 states (57.1%) and highest in men ≤60 years in AHS states (89.3%).

The prevalence of anaemia was higher in pre-school children as compared to those in the 5-9 year group (even though the Hb cut-off level for defining anaemia is lower, i.e., 11g/dL in pre-school children as compared to 11.5g/dL in 5-9 years). In the reproductive age group, prevalence of anaemia was higher in women than in men (even though the Hb cut-off for defining anaemia in men is 13g/dL as compared to 12 g/dL in women). Contrary to the expectation, prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women in the 20-39 year group was lower as compared to the levels in non-pregnant women. The prevalence of anaemia among the elderly (both women and men) was higher than men and women in reproductive age group.

Computed prevalence of anaemia in all the groups were lowest in NFHS series, higher in DLHS4 and AHS CAB surveys and highest in the DLHS 2 (Figs. 2 and 4).

Frequency Distribution of Hb

The frequency distribution curves for different age groups disaggregated by sex and physiological status are shown in Figs. 11, 12 and 13. In every age group,
Fig. 11: Frequency distribution of Hb in 0-14 yr children (DLHS 4 & AHS). U5 under five yr children M male, F female AB adolescent boys, AG adolescent girls

Fig. 12: Frequency distribution of Hb in 15-39 yr age gr (DLHS 4 & AHS). PW pregnant women, NP W non-pregnant women; B boys

Fig. 13: Frequency distribution of Hb in 40 yrs& above (DLHS 4 & AHS). W women, M men
the frequency distribution of Hb in DLHS 4 states is to the right of that in the AHS states.

**Within Each Survey**

- frequency distributions were similar in boys and girls in the 0-4 years group and in the 5-9 years group the;
- in boys ≤10 years and in men, the frequency distribution curve was to the right of that for girls/women;
- in non-pregnant women, the frequency distribution curve was to the right of the one for pregnant women.

**Discussion**

NFHS 2 was the first national survey to report state-specific data on the prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women. The reported prevalence was far lower than the reported prevalence rates from research studies. The lower prevalence of anaemia in NFHS 2 was hailed as the result of successful implementation of the National Anaemia Control programme. The lack of clear and consistent reduction in prevalence of anaemia in pre-school children adolescent girls and pregnant women between NFHS 2, 3 and 4 is now being interpreted as evidence that NACP (NACP 1989, 1991) and National Iron Plus Initiative (NIPI) guidelines (NIPI 2013) are being implemented poorly.

The Nutrition Foundation of India (NFI 2005) undertook a survey in the same villages covered by NFHS 2 in 10 States, using the cyanmethaemoglobin method of Hb estimation instead of the Hemocue method. The NFI survey showed that the prevalence of anaemia in pregnancy continued to be very high. The Micro-nutrient Survey of the National Nutrition Monitoring Bureau (NNMB 2003) and DLHS 2 showed that the prevalence of anaemia was much higher than those reported by NFHS 2.

Studies comparing Hb estimation in the same samples, using Hemocue and the gold standard cyanmethaemoglobin methods, showed that Hemocue under-estimates Hb, and that there was no linear correlation between Hb values estimated by these two methods (Mohan Ram 2002, Kapoor et al., 2002, Bhaskaram 2003, Pathak 2004). There is no linear correlation between Hb values estimated by Hemocue and complete blood count. The reported correlation between HemoCue and complete blood count was better (r=0.73) in the 8.0-11.9 g/dL Hb range and poor (r=0.30, r=0.57) when Hb values were >12.0 g/dL and <8.0 g/dL respectively (Bursey 2015).

The higher mean Hb levels, lower prevalence of anaemia and lack of reduction in prevalence of anaemia reported in NFHS series are likely to have been due to inaccuracies in Hb estimation by Hemocue method. In view of the continued very high prevalence of anaemia shown by DLHS 2, efforts were taken up to improve coverage and compliance with IFA supplementation under the Reproductive and Child Health programme.

As compared to DLHS 2, the data from DLHS 4 and AHS CAB (in their respective states) showed an substantial increase in mean Hb (Fig. 3), shift to the right in frequency distribution of Hb (Figs. 5, 6 and 7) and reduction in the prevalence of anaemia in pre-school children, adolescent girls and pregnant women (Fig. 4). Despite continued poor coverage under the IFA supplementation programme, there has been an improvement in Hb status of pre-school children and adolescent girls. Over the last two decades there has been reduction in poverty, improvement in per capita income and household food security; access to health care for malaria and hook worm infestation has improved and there has been a slow but steady decline in under-nutrition rates. It is possible that the observed reduction in anaemia prevalence rates might be part of the overall improvement in nutrition and health status of pre-school children and adolescent girls. In pregnant women, improvement in coverage under antenatal care and IFA supplementation appear to have played an important role, as prevalence of anemia is lower in DLHS states with higher coverage under antenatal care and IFA supplementation.

In India, data from national surveys are widely used for assessing the impact of on-going interventions. It is therefore essential to deploy specially trained personnel with knowledge and skills for data collection and ensure accuracy of measurements by using appropriate equipment, techniques and quality assurance procedures. Data from AHS CAB and DLHS surveys which followed all these norms showed that there has been improvement in mean Hb levels and reduction in the prevalence of anaemia in the last decade. This
documentation provided the impetus both for the efforts to improve the coverage and the content of antenatal care and IFA supplementation and investing in national surveys to provide leads for mid-term modifications in the programme.

It is a matter of concern that, despite improvement over a decade, a majority of Indians are anaemic, irrespective of geographical region, age, sex and physiological group (DLHS 4 2014 and AHS CAB 2015), and that India still continues to be the country with highest prevalence of anaemia in the world. In India, anaemia begins right from infancy, continues into childhood, increases in severity during adolescence in girls, and gets aggravated during pregnancy and among the elderly. The prevalence of anaemia is high not only among under-nourished persons but also in normal and over-nourished individuals. There is an urgent need to use all available interventions to accelerate the pace of improvement in Hb levels and reduce the prevalence rates of anaemia.

Data from NNMB surveys (NNMB 2003, 2012) indicate that, in India, intake of micro-nutrient rich vegetables is low; iron and folate intakes are half or lower as compared to the requirements. The estimated average intake of iron from Indian diets ranges from 10-15 mg per day. This intake is not much lower than the iron intake in developed countries. But the bio-availability of iron from phytate and fibre-rich Indian diets is only 5-8%, whereas bio-availability of haem iron from animal food is over 40%.

Dietary diversification, with increase in the consumption of vegetables, especially green leafy vegetables which are the richest source of iron and folate in a vegetarian diet, is essential for sustainable improvement in iron and folate intake. Increase in vegetable consumption also reduces the risk of over-nutrition and non-communicable diseases. Indian farmers responded to the initiatives under the National Horticultural Mission (NHM 2007) and there has been a substantial increase in vegetable production in India. However, farmers face economic constraints because of high wastage and the cyclical glut in vegetables. Consumers cannot buy and consume larger quantities of vegetables because of the high cost. Investments are urgently needed in grading, storage, processing and marketing of vegetables, which will make horticulture remunerative for the farmer and provide vegetables at affordable cost to the consumer.

Iron is essential for Hb synthesis. Because of poor bio-availability of iron from Indian diets it is difficult to meet the RDA (ranging from 17 mg in men, to 38mg in pregnant women) for Indians through dietary diversification alone. The World Health Organisation (WHO) (WHO 2006) advocates food fortification with iron for sustained improvement in Hb levels in countries with low iron intake. Given the widespread iron deficiency in Indians, food fortification offers a ready, relatively inexpensive and sustainable method of increasing the iron intake without altering dietary habits. The National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, has developed technology for iron-fortified iodised salt (double fortified salt DFS) and has transferred the technology to the industry. Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) has approved two technologies for manufacture of DFS. The major advantage of the use of DFS is that salt is used by all segments of the population, is unlikely to be over-used, and is relatively inexpensive (DFS cost is higher by Rs. 1-3/kg as compared to iodised salt). The use of DFS has been made mandatory in hot cooked meals under the Mid-Day Meal (MDM) and Integrated Child Development Service (ICDS) programmes. Some states are currently providing DFS through the Public Distribution System (PDS) in selected districts. Centralised production and pre-existing programmes for fortification of salt with iodine offer a very ready platform to launch iron-fortified iodised salt. Once the production, distribution and sale of DFS have been scaled up, it might be possible to make DFS mandatory for human consumption, achieve sustained, population-wide increase in the intake of iodine and iron, and thereby combat IDD and anaemia.

The use of DFS in the daily diet increases iron intake by 10 mg/day; consistent use of DFS has been shown to improve Hb level by 0.5 g/year (Sivakumar et al., 2001). Dietary diversification and DFS together can improve Hb levels by 0.5-0.7g/year and, when sustained over a decade, can reduce the prevalence of anaemia substantially. The improvement in Hb response is higher in the iron-deficient and anaemic persons. Undoubtedly universal use of DFS is the most economic, effective and sustainable method of improving iron intake and Hb levels in Indians. However, the pace of increase in Hb by use of DFS...
is slow; more rapid improvement in Hb is needed in vulnerable groups such as pre-school and school children.

Based on clinical evidence and available epidemiological data, India initiated iron and folic acid (IFA) supplementation programmes to address anaemia in these two groups. Research studies have shown that, in situations where prevalence of anaemia is high, daily iron folic acid supplementation in pre-school children, adolescents and women for three months or longer resulted in improvement in mean Hb (of about 0.5 to 1 g/dL and ferritin levels (Thompson 2013, Pasricha 2013). Improvement in Hb was higher with daily supplementation as compared to bi-weekly or weekly supplementation, but compliance with daily supplementation was more difficult to maintain on a long-term basis. Given the operational difficulty in daily supervised administration of iron folic acid tablets to adolescent girls, the earlier WHO guidelines had recommended weekly IFA supplementation (WHO 2011). However, such intermittent supplementation has to be continued throughout the year and year after year. Year round supervised weekly IFA supplementation may pose problems in many settings. The current WHO guidelines (WHO 2016) recommend daily IFA supplementation for 3 months every year in settings where prevalence of anaemia is 40% or higher. Not all anaemic persons become non-anaemic after three months of daily supplementation; once supplementation is stopped some of those who did become non-anaemic may become anaemic (Lynch 2000, Allen 2002). Supplementation programmes are expensive because the personnel are required for counselling, distributing supplements and monitoring to improve compliance.

In 2015, the Government of India initiated the nationwide Weekly Iron Folic Acid Supplementation (WIFS) programme for pre-school and school-age children. The meagre reports available suggest that under the programme, coverage of pre-school children was sub-optimal in many states, because of erratic supply of IFA syrup and problems in administering it. Coverage under the programme is higher in school children than that in pre-school children; but troublesome side effects such as abdominal pain and nausea occur in about 10% of the children and come in the way of continued coverage. Nutrition education assuring the children, parents and teachers that these side-effects are transient and have no adverse effect on the children’s health might improve compliance and continuation. However, both global and Indian studies have shown that it is difficult to sustain year-round supplementation programmes year after year. This is partly due to the fact that anaemia itself is asymptomatic, side effects with IFA supplementation are obvious and improvement in anaemia is not perceptible to the person receiving the supplement.

Though more than 20% of pregnant women experience side effects, the coverage and compliance with IFA supplementation is higher because:

- pregnancy is a clearly defined short period;
- IFA supplementation has been an integral component of antenatal care;
- Pregnant women know that maternal anaemia is associated with higher maternal morbidity, lower birth weight and higher infant mortality,
- women will do whatever they can to improve the health of their offspring.

Data from all the surveys indicate that there was some improvement in coverage under iron-folic acid supplementation in pregnant women (NFHS 3 15.2%, NFHS 4 30.3%). The prevalence and severity of anaemia in pregnant women were lower in States with higher coverage under antenatal care and IFA supplementation. Improvement in content and coverage of antenatal care and increase in number of women who have taken IFA supplementation, have been the major factors responsible for the improvement in Hb status in pregnant women. It is noteworthy that both in DLHS and AHS states, the prevalence of anaemia in pregnant women is lower than in non-pregnant women; this is most likely to be due to the impact of IFA supplementation.

India still ranks the first in terms of maternal deaths due to anaemia; maternal anaemia is one of the major factors associated with low birth weight. This is partly because screening for anaemia and appropriate management envisaged in the national (NACP 1989, NIPI 2013) guidelines have not been widely implemented. In order to achieve rapid improvement in Hb levels within the limited time available during pregnancy and to prevent the adverse
consequences of anaemia on the mother-child dyad, it is imperative that the ‘test and treat’ strategy envisaged in the NIPI guidelines for management of anaemia is fully operationalised. NACP, as well as the NIPI envisaged the screening of all pregnant women for anaemia using either the Hb colour scale or Sahli’s haemoglobinometer because these have been provided under the programme right up to sub-centres across the country. Neither of these is accurate enough to grade anaemia or correctly assess the impact of treatment. The recent WHO antenatal care guidelines (WHO 2016) do not advocate the use of Hb colour scale for screening for anaemia. India should stop using inaccurate Hb colour scale and Sahli’s haemoglobinometer and start using cyanmethaemoglobin method for accurate estimation of Hb. This will enable clinicians to implement NIPI guidelines for prevention and management of anaemia and monitor improvement in Hb levels during treatment. Women will comply with treatment because they can understand the rationale of the treatment protocol and see improvement in Hb. Introducing accurate Hb estimation can thus trigger compliance with a virtuous treatment cycle and enable the country to rapidly achieve substantial reduction in anaemia and in its adverse consequences in pregnancy.

Summary and Conclusions

Data from large-scale national surveys have shown that, over the last decade, there has been some improvement in coverage under IFA supplementation programme in pregnant women, reduction in the prevalence of anaemia across all states and in preschool children adolescent girls and pregnant women. However, the country still has the highest prevalence of anaemia in the world. Low intake of micro-nutrient-rich vegetables and poor bio-availability of iron from Indian diets are the major factors responsible for the continued high prevalence of anaemia in the country. The three-pronged strategy of increasing iron intake in all households through dietary diversification and use of iron-fortified iodized salt, IFA supplementation to vulnerable groups (pre-school, and school age children) and testing for, detecting and treating anaemia in pregnant women will accelerate the pace of reduction in anaemia and enable the country to achieve the SDG target for reduction in anaemia.
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