

PRODUCTS OF SUMMABILITY METHODS

by T. PATI, *Department of Mathematics, Allahabad University*

(Communicated by B. N. Prasad, F.N.I.)

(Received September 15, 1953; read May 7, 1954)

1. In a recent paper Szász (1952) raised the following question.

Let A and B denote any two regular methods of summability for sequences $\{s_n\}$, and let AB denote the iteration-product which associates with a given sequence the A -transform of its B -transform; then does A -summability imply AB -summability?

In the same paper Szász demonstrated that the answer to this question is in the affirmative when A is Abel and B is (C, α) , $\alpha > 0$.*

In the present paper we generalize this result of Szász by replacing (C, α) by the wider class of regular Hausdorff transformations (H, μ) , of which Cesàro, Hölder and Euler transformations are well known special cases.

I should like to express my indebtedness to Dr. B. N. Prasad for his kind guidance and encouragement.

2. Definitions.

We write

$$f(x) = (1-x) \sum s_n x^n = \sum a_n x^n \quad (0 \leq x < 1).$$

If the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} f(x) = s$$

exists, then the sequence $\{s_n\}$, or the series $\sum a_n$, is said to be summable (A) .

The general Hausdorff transformation (H, μ) is defined by

$$t_m = \sum_n \lambda_{m,n} s_n,$$

where

$$\lambda_{m,n} \begin{cases} = \binom{m}{n} \Delta^{m-n} \mu_n & (n \leq m), \\ = 0 & (n > m). \end{cases}$$

* For the case $\alpha = 1$ see Zygmund (1926), p. 189. Incidentally it may be mentioned that the analysis in section 2 of Szász's paper has been vitiated by an obvious oversight in the step just preceding identity (2.4). Indeed (2.4) should read:

$$\frac{1}{y+1} \sum_0^{\infty} \sigma_n^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{y+1}\right)^n = \frac{\alpha}{y} \int_0^y \left(1 - \frac{u}{y}\right)^{\alpha-1} \phi(u) du,$$

where

$$\phi(u) = f(1 - (u+1)^{-1}),$$

and, following the notations of Szász's paper, we should finally have

$$A(C, \alpha) \{s_n\} = (\bar{C}, \alpha) \{\phi(u)\}.$$

We shall write

$$\mu_{n,p} = \Delta^p \mu_n,$$

so that

$$\lambda_{m,n} = \binom{m}{n} \mu_{n,m-n} \quad (0 \leq n \leq m).$$

If

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t), *$$

where $\chi(t)$ is a real function of bounded variation in $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then μ_n is called the *moment constant*, of rank n , of $\chi(t)$. We may suppose without loss of generality that

$$\chi(0) = 0.$$

If, further,

$$\chi(1) = 1,$$

and

$$\chi(+0) = \chi(0) = 0,$$

so that $\chi(t)$ is continuous at $t = 0$, then μ_n is said to be a *regular moment constant*.

We have, in general,

$$\mu_{n,p} = \Delta^p \mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n (1-t)^p d\chi(t).$$

We assume throughout that (H, μ) is a regular Hausdorff transformation.

3. We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *If A and B denote two regular methods of summability for sequences $\{s_n\}$, and AB denote their iteration product which associates with a given sequence the A -transform of its B -transform, then A -summability implies AB -summability where A is Abel and B a regular Hausdorff method.*

We require the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

LEMMA 1. † *In order that the (H, μ) -transform should be regular it is necessary and sufficient that μ_n should be a regular moment constant.*

LEMMA 2. ‡ *In order that the transformation*

$$G(y) = \int_0^1 g(ty) d\chi(t)$$

should be regular, i.e. that ' $g(y) \rightarrow s$, as $y \rightarrow \infty$ ' should imply ' $G(y) \rightarrow s$, as $y \rightarrow \infty$ ', it is necessary and sufficient that $\chi(1) = 1$ and $\chi(+0) = \chi(0) = 0$.

* The function t^0 is defined at $t = 0$ so as to be continuous. Thus

$$\mu_0 = \int_0^1 d\chi(t).$$

† Hardy (1949), Theorem 208 (i), p. 260.

‡ Hardy (1949), Theorem 217, p. 276.

Proof of the THEOREM.

Let t_n denote the regular (H, μ) -transform of the sequence $\{s_n\}$. Then our object is to show that if

$$f(x) = (1-x) \sum_n s_n x^n \rightarrow s,$$

as $x \rightarrow 1-0$, then

$$F(x) = (1-x) \sum_m t_m x^m \rightarrow s,$$

as $x \rightarrow 1-0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} t_m &= \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} \Delta^{m-n} \mu_n s_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} \mu_{n, m-n} s_n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} \left(\int_0^1 t^n (1-t)^{m-n} d\chi(t) \right) s_n \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} t^n (1-t)^{m-n} s_n \right) d\chi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t_m x^m = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} t^n (1-t)^{m-n} s_n \right) d\chi(t).$$

Now, putting

$$I \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} t^n (1-t)^{m-n} s_n,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n t^n s_n \sum_{m \geq n} x^{m-n} (1-t)^{m-n} \binom{m}{n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n t^n s_n (1-x(1-t))^{-n-1} \\ &= (1-x(1-t))^{-1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n t^n (1-x(1-t))^{-n} s_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= (1-x) \int_0^1 I d\chi(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1-x}{1-x(1-t)} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{xt}{1-x(1-t)} \right)^n s_n \right) d\chi(t), \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, putting

$$x = 1 - \frac{1}{y+1} = \frac{y}{y+1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right) &= \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{yt}{1+yt}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{yt}{1+yt}\right)^n s_n\right) d\chi(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{1}{1+yt}\right) d\chi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting

$$f\left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right) \equiv g(y)$$

and

$$F\left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right) \equiv G(y),$$

we have finally

$$G(y) = \int_0^1 g(yt) d\chi(t).$$

Now, as

$$x \rightarrow 1-0, \quad y \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\text{Lt}_{y \rightarrow \infty} g(y) = \text{Lt}_{x \rightarrow 1-0} f(x) = s,$$

by hypothesis.

Therefore, by Lemmas 1 and 2,

$$G(y) = F\left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right) \rightarrow s, \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is,

$$F(x) \rightarrow s, \text{ as } x \rightarrow 1-0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- Hardy, G. H. (1949). *Divergent Series*, Oxford.
 Szász, O. (1952). On products of summability methods. *Proc. American Math. Soc.*, **3**, 257-263.
 Zygmund, A. (1926). Remarque sur la sommabilité des séries de fonctions orthogonales. *Bulletin international de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences et des Lettres, Classe des Sciences. Math. et Nat., Série A*, 185-191.