

# MASS SCALE PRODUCTION OF *RHIZOBIUM* CULTURE

by P. NANDI and N. SINHA, *Department of Microbiology, Bose Institute, Calcutta-700009*

Experiments were conducted for mass scale production of *Rhizobium* inoculant in different cheap carbon sources and in different concentrations of yeast extracts in the fermentation medium.

Molasses could serve as a superior carbon source for rhizobial growth. Liquid malt extract could be ranked in the list and third one was the medium having sucrose and mannitol in combination.

Neutral Baker's yeast extract solution 200 ml/l or Oxoid yeast extract 500 mg/l and Difco 250 mg/l served as a very suitable substrate for growth.

The modified composition of medium comprised 10 g sucrose and 5 g mannitol and 200 ml yeast extract solution/l and served as a very suitable composition for rapid cell multiplication.

## INTRODUCTION

The suitability of culture media for large scale production of *Rhizobium* depends upon the rate of utilization of a carbon source and multiplication rate of bacteria. The extensive information gathered on nutritive requirement of rhizobia has been reviewed by Fred (1932), Wilson (1940) and Allen (1950, 1958). Nearly all rhizobia utilize mono saccharides, alcohol and acids. Starch is not utilized. Certain pentoses such as arabinose and xylose are preferred sources of carbon for *R. japonicum* (Wilson 1940; Graham and Parker 1964). However, the advantage gained from the use of pentoses would probably be offset by their higher cost, particularly since mannitol and sucrose are satisfactory carbon sources. Sucrose, i.e. cane sugar or beet sugar is most commonly used carbon sources; mannitol is sometimes employed in culturing *R. japonicum*, *R. lupini* and the cowpea rhizobia.

*Yeast extract* — Yeast extract is used as a source of organic nitrogen and growth factors. Maximum growth depends upon adequate supply of low molecular weight amino acids, such as are contained in yeast extract, cornsteep liquor, hydrolyzed casein or soybean protein.

Many cheap commercial carbon sources such as sugar, molasses and malt extract are available in the market. The present paper deals with a study of the comparative growth of *Rhizobium* in indigenous carbon sources as well as in different types of yeast extracts such as Oxoid, Difco and Baker's yeast extract solution.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rhizobial strains for groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and soybean were grown in 500 ml conical flasks in the rotary shaker (200 ml in each) and for large scale production in 10-litre glass fermentor containing 7 litres of medium. The temperature was controlled and maintained at  $28^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and sterile air was sparged in through the liquid medium at the rate of 7 litres of air per 10 litres of medium.

## RESULTS

Viable cell counts of *Rhizobium* were taken by dilution plate technique after 7 days incubation and results are given in Tables I and II.

TABLE I

*Growth of two strains of Rhizobium in the shake culture and in 10-litre glass fermentor (7 days growth)*

| Medium (Basal)                                                    | Carbon source               | g/l     | Cell count $\times 10^8/\text{ml}$ |         |           |            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
|                                                                   |                             |         | Shake culture                      |         | Fermentor |            |
|                                                                   |                             |         | Ground nut                         | Soybean | Soybean   | Ground nut |
| Yeast extract soln. (200 ml/l) + minerals                         | Mannitol                    | 10      | 16.0                               | 1.300   | 1.200     | 14.4       |
| Yeast extract soln. (200 ml/l) + minerals                         | Commercial sugar + Mannitol | 10<br>3 | 18.0                               | 2.500   | 2.800     | 21.1       |
| Yeast extract soln. (200 ml/l) + minerals + molasses (cane)       | Sucrose                     | 15      | 120.0                              | 27.000  | 31.000    | 150.0      |
| Yeast extract soln. (200 ml/l) + minerals + malt extract (liquid) | Maltose                     | 15      | 61.0                               | 1.400   | 1.200     | 71.0       |
| Yeast extract soln. (200 ml/l) + minerals + malt extract (dry)    | Maltose                     | 15      | 2.3                                | 0.026   | 0.017     | 3.4        |

TABLE II

*Growth of two strains of Rhizobium in shake culture as well as in fermentor in different concentrations of yeast extract (7 days growth)*

Inoculum :  $5 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$  (1 ml inoculant/100 ml medium)

| Strains          | Concentration of yeast extracts   |     |     |     |     |                              |     |     |     |     |                              |     |     |     |     |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|                  | Neutral Baker's yeast soln (ml/l) |     |     |     |     | Yeast extract (Oxoid) (mg/l) |     |     |     |     | Yeast extract (Difco) (mg/l) |     |     |     |     |
|                  | 200                               | 150 | 100 | 50  | 0   | 1000                         | 750 | 500 | 250 | 0   | 500                          | 375 | 250 | 125 | 0   |
| <i>Groundnut</i> |                                   |     |     |     |     |                              |     |     |     |     |                              |     |     |     |     |
| Shake culture    | 230                               | 195 | 142 | 102 | 3.0 | 371                          | 376 | 249 | 161 | 1.2 | 212                          | 221 | 172 | 96  | 1.3 |
| Fermentor        | 310                               | 192 | 172 | 123 | 2.0 | 377                          | 347 | 256 | 155 | 2.1 | 312                          | 215 | 163 | 111 | 1.4 |
| <i>Soybean</i>   |                                   |     |     |     |     |                              |     |     |     |     |                              |     |     |     |     |
| Shake culture    | 201                               | 187 | 131 | 107 | 1.5 | 272                          | 251 | 237 | 165 | 3.3 | 232                          | 213 | 195 | 176 | 3.1 |
| Fermentor        | 245                               | 195 | 158 | 131 | 2.1 | 287                          | 243 | 242 | 132 | 3.1 | 347                          | 219 | 201 | 181 | 2.2 |

Cell Count  $\times 10^6$

## DISCUSSION

The experimental results of shake flask culture as well as the fermentor are given in the Table I. The result showed that molasses could serve as a better substrate for growth of the strains of *Rhizobium*. Liquid malt extract could be ranked next in the list and the third one was the medium having sucrose and mannitol in combination. Molasses contain some organic substances and trace elements which help the growth of rhizobia. The next best medium was malt extract (liquid), the cost of which was prohibitive for large-scale cultures of bacterial cells. The medium with sucrose and mannitol in combination was finally selected on consideration of its moderate cost and suitability for storage as the production of gum served as protective agent for live bacterial cells in liquid medium.

Among the different types of yeast extract, Oxoid yeast served as more superior growth factor for both the strains. Neutral baker's yeast solution could be ranked next in the list and the third one was Difco yeast extract. Neutral baker's yeast was however finally selected as it was the cheapest source for mass culture production.

## REFERENCES

- Allen, E. K., and Allen, O. N. (1954). Biochemical and symbiotic properties of *Rhizobium*. *Bact. Rev.*, **14**, 273.
- (1958). *Handbuch der Pflanzenphysiologie*, ed. by W. Ruhland, Vol. 8, p. 48. Berlin, Springer Verlag.
- Fred, E. B. (1932). On the stability of physiological characters of bacteria. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.*, **18**, 455.
- Graham, P. H., and Parker, C. A. (1964). Diagnostic features in characterisation of the root-nodule bacteria of legumes. *Pl. Soil.*, **20**, 383-396.
- Wilson, P. W. (1940). *The Biochemistry of Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation.* University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin.